THE THEORY FOR THE NUMERICAL SOLUTION OF TIME-DEPENDENT AND TIME-INDEPENDENT MULTI-GROUP DIFFUSION EQUATIONS

R. S. VARGA AND M. A. MARTINO

Reprinted from UNITED NATIONS PEACEFUL USES OF ATOMIC ENERGY

PROCEEDINGS OF THE SECOND INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE,
GENEVA, SEPTEMBER 1958

PERGAMON PRESS

NEW YORK . LONDON . PARIS

LOS ANGELES

1959

Diffusion Equations* Time-Dependent and Time-Independent Multigroup Theory for the Numerical Solution of

By R. S. Vargat and M. A. Martinot

sisting of a finite number of mesh (lattice) points, but for the continuous space problem as well. In so doing, the discrete numerical approximations to the multigroup diffusion equations, as solved on digital machines, will be shown to be well-set. digital computers. In this paper, mathematically rigorous foundations are given for the time-dependent and time-independent multigroup approximations, not only for the discrete (space) numerical problem conbeen attacked numerically by means of large-scale solutions of these multigroup diffusion equations have design of many types of reactors. In recent years, the equation give very useful information in the nuclear It has long been recognized that the multigroup diffusion theory approximations to the transport

2. Statement of the Problems

nected region in n-dimensional Euclidean space, $n \leq 3$, with R equal to the union of a finite number of disjoint convex sub-regions R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_l . Let Γ denote the exterior boundary of R, and let γ denote the internal boundaries of R. If the number of dependent multigroup diffusion equations are: ethargy groups is m, then (Ref. 2, p. 291) the time Let the domain of the reactor R be a finite con-ected region in n-dimensional Euclidean space,

$$-\frac{\partial \phi_i(x,t)}{\partial t} = v_i \Big(\text{div } (D_i(x) \cdot \text{grad } \phi_i(x,t)) - \sigma_i(x) \phi_i(x,t) \Big)$$

*The theoretical work for Sections 3-5 is due jointly to Professor Garrett Birkhoff of Harvard University and the first author. The theoretical work for Sections 6-10 is due jointly to Dr. G. J. Habetler‡ and the second author.
† Bettis Atomic Power Division, operated for the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission by the Westinghouse Electric

Corporation.

**Knolls Atomic Power Laboratory, operated for the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission by the General Electric Company.

**See Ref. 1 for the range of existing machine codes currently being used in the design of water-moderated reactors.

|| The assumptions here concerning the domain R of the reactor are, for reasons of brevity, overly restrictive. For example (Ref. 8), the results for the discrete problem hold for arbitrary dimension n. See also Ref. 20.

¶ Precisely, $\gamma = U\{\bar{R}_i - R_i\} - \Gamma$, where \bar{R}_i denotes the

here

 $\sigma_i(x) \equiv \sigma_i^{(\mathrm{a})}(x) + \sum_i \sigma_{j,i}^{(\mathrm{r})}(x)$

 $+\sum_{j\neq i} \sigma_{i,j}^{(r)}(x)\phi_j(x,t) \bigg\} \bigg]_{i=1}^m, \quad x \in R_k, \quad 1 \leqslant k \leqslant l \quad (1)$

The quantity $\phi_i(x, t)$ is the neutron flux in the *i*th lethargy group, and v_i is the average velocity of the neutrons in this group; $D_i(x)$ is the diffusion coefficient, $\sigma_i(x)$ is the total cross-section, and $\sigma_{i,j}^{(r)}(x)$ is the slowing-down cross-section from the *j*th to the *i*th lethargy group. We have assumed that these latter quantities are time-independent, so that changes in these quantities due to depletion, poisoning, expansion by fission heating, etc., are ignored. By virtue of their physical definitions, we have, for $1 \leq i, j \leq m$,

We further assume, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, that 3. $\sigma_{i,j}^{(r)}(x) \geqslant 0$ for all $x \in R$.

2. $\sigma_i(x) \ge 0$ for all $x \in R$ 1. $D_i(x) \ge \delta > 0$ for all $x \in R$

3

their physical definitions, we have, for $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant m$,

1. $\phi_i(x, t)$ is continuous in $x, x \in R$, for all $t \ge 0$

2. $D_i(x) \frac{\partial \phi_i(x,t)}{\partial n}$ is continuous across any internal boundary, $x \in \gamma$, for all $t \geqslant 0$. (4)

On Γ , the external boundary of R, we assume for simplicity the extrapolated (homogeneous) boundary condition** (Ref. 2, p. 103):

$$\phi_i(x, t) + a_i(x) \frac{\partial \phi_i(x, t)}{\partial n} = 0, \quad x \in \Gamma,$$
 (5)

where $a_i(x)$ is continuous and non-negative on Γ . For the time-independent multigroup diffusion problem, we have, for $x \in R_k$,

$$igg\{ -\operatorname{div}(D_i(x) \operatorname{.grad} oldsymbol{\phi}_i(x)) + \sigma_i(x) oldsymbol{\phi}_i(x)$$

$$= \sum_{j < i} \sigma_{i,j}^{(r)}(x)\phi_j(x) + \frac{\chi_i \psi(x)}{\lambda} \Big|_{i=1}^m , \quad (6)$$

The normal derivative here refers to the outward normal.

$$\psi(x) \equiv \sum_i \left[\nu \sigma_i(x) \right]_i \phi_i(x).$$

in the time-independent problem to the annual independent problem to the The homogeneous boundary conditions of (5), and the roscopic fission cross section, and is thus non-negative. The probabilities χ_i are non-negative scalars with $\sum_i \chi_i = 1$. The quantity $[\nu \sigma_i(x)]_i$ is related to the mactime-independent problem, to the fluxes

 $\phi_i(x)$, as well as to the fission source $\psi(x)$. For the time-dependent problem, we seek solutions of (1) for given initial conditions, and we are especially is an eigenvalue problem, we seek to determine soluvalues of t. For the time-independent problem, which interested in the behavior of $\phi_i(x, t)$ for large positive

tions of (6) corresponding to the largest (in modulus) eigenvalue λ of (6). The theoretical results, and the methods for proving these results for the discrete and continuous space problems, are interesting in their own light, and will obviously leave the positive hyperoctant invariant) is the basis for results for the discrete space problems, whereas the abstraction of the Perron-Frobenius space is the basis for the results for the continuous operators which leave a cone invariant in a Banach theory by Krein and Rutman (Ref. 17) to quite similar. The Perron-Frobenius theory (Refs. 7, 9, 10) of non-negative square matrices (which the results in these different sections are, however, be treated separately. The basic tools used in proving

DISCRETE SPACE

Derivation of the Difference Equations and Properties

tions need not be constant. With the mesh Λ , the unknowns, numbering N, for the *i*th lethargy group in the discrete case are then defined to be the values of ϕ_i at the intersections of the horizontal and vertical lines of Λ . By replacing the differential equations of (1) and (6) with difference equations in the unknowns of the discrete case, we define the discrete timethe dimension of the space is n=2, and that the subregions R_i are rectangles. This enables us to impose a mesh Λ of horizontal and vertical line segments on the regions, and all external boundaries coincide with segments of Λ . The mesh spacings in the x and y direcplane in such a way that all interfaces between sub-For simplicity in exhibition, we now assume that

tesian coordinates), $-\text{div}\left[D_i(x) \text{ grad}\right] + \sigma_i(x)$, placed†† explicitly (Ref. 3, pp. 53-54) with an matrix A_i . We now make the following dependent and discrete time-independent problems. On the mesh Λ , the differential operator (in Cartesian coordinates), $-\operatorname{div}\left[D_{i}(x) \operatorname{grad}\right] + \sigma_{i}(x)$, is re-

sequence of integers k(0) = i, $k(1), \ldots, k(r) = j$, such that $m_{k(h-1),k(h)} \neq 0$ for $h = 1, 2, \ldots, r$. DEFINITION 1. A square matrix $M = ||m_{i,j}||$ is irreducible; if, for any i and j, there exists a finite

has the following properties: THEOREM 1. The $N \times N$ matrix $A_k = ||a_{i,j}^{(k)}||$

- 1. $a_{i,i}^{(k)} > 0$, $a_{i,j}^{(k)} \leqslant 0$ for $i \neq j$, and $a_{i,j}^{(k)} = a_{j,i}^{(k)}$ for $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant N$, $1 \leqslant k \leqslant m$.
- 2. $a_{i,i}^{(k)} \gg \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{i,j}^{(k)}|$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant N$, with strict inequality for some i.
- 3. A_k is irreducible.

Thus, each A_k is symmetric and positive definite, and A_k^{-1} is a positive matrix, i.e., each entry of A_k^{-1} is strictly positive.

nant, and hence has a non-zero determinant. Evidently, A_k is then positive definite. The conclusion concerning A_k^{-1} is an extension (Refs. 5, 6) of an old (Ref. 3), utilizing the inequalities of (3). The boundary conditions (5), coupled with the connectivity of the reactor R, give the diagonal dominance of the matresult due to Stieltjes. fying statements 2 and 3 have non-vanishing deterreal number, then $A_k - \lambda I$ is also diagonally domiminants (Ref. 4), and it follows that if λ is any negative rices A_k , as well as their irreducibility. Matrices satis-PROOF. The first statement follows by construction

Further properties of the derived matrices A_k are numerically important. For example (Ref. 2), it can be shown that the matrices A_k satisfy Young's rigorously applied to matrix equations of the form: (Ref. 12, 13) successive overrelaxation method can be property (A) (Ref. 12), and that the Young-Frankel

$$A_k \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{k}. \tag{8}$$

If ϕ_i represents a column vector with N components, then returning to problems (1) and (6), we have as their discrete matrix analogues:

$$\left\{\frac{\partial \mathbf{\phi}_i}{\partial t} = v_i \left(-A_i \phi_i + \sum_{j \neq i} B_{i,j} \mathbf{\phi}_j \right) \right\}_{i=1}^m \tag{9}$$

and

 $\left\langle A_i \phi_i = \sum_{j < i} B_{i,j} \phi_j + \frac{\chi_i \psi}{\lambda} \right\rangle_{i=1}^m,$

$$\mathbf{\Phi} \equiv \sum_{i} V_{i} \phi_{i}. \tag{11}$$

are non-negative diagonal matrices. The quantities $B_{i,j}$ and V_i are (Ref. 3), by virtue of derivation, diagonal matrices. Moreover, from (3), they

4. The Discrete Time-Independent Problem

(11) into a more compact form. From (10), we have: We shall first transform our matrix equations (10),

^{††} The method described in Ref. 3 permits of an easy extension to higher dimensions. The results of Theorem 1 apply to higher dimensional cases as well.

^{††} This is also called *indecomposable*, and transitive. See Refs. 9, 10, 11.

COROLLARY. If

$$\bar{\lambda}_{n+1} = \max_{i} \left(\frac{S_{n+1,i}}{\psi_{n,i}} \right) \text{ and } \hat{\lambda}_{n+1} = \min_{i} \left(\frac{S_{n+1,i}}{\psi_{n,i}} \right),$$
ere $S_{n+1,i}$ denotes the *i*th component of \mathbf{S}_{n+1} , an

where $S_{n+1,i}$ denotes the *i*th component of \mathbf{S}_{n+1} , and the subscript *i* varies over the range of *T*, then

$$\underline{J}_{n+1} \leqslant \underline{J}_{n+2} \leqslant \overline{J}_{n+2} \leqslant \overline{J}_{n+1}, \qquad n \geqslant 0 \tag{18}$$

$$\hat{\lambda}_{n+1} \leqslant \lambda^* \leqslant \bar{\lambda}_{n+1}, \qquad n \geqslant 0. \tag{19}$$

$$\text{DF. That } \hat{\lambda}_{n+1} \leqslant \lambda^* \leqslant \bar{\lambda}_{n+1} \text{ follows from a result}$$

PROOF. That $\hat{l}_{n+1} \leqslant \lambda^* \leqslant \bar{\lambda}_{n+1}$ follows from a result by Collatz||| (Ref. 14). The nested property of (18) follows easily from the fact that T_2 is a positive

convergent. Equation (19) of the Corollary gives for each iteration non-trivial upper and lower bounds on. λ^* , which is of considerable practical use, since λ^* corresponds physically to $k_{\rm eff}$. of the discrete time-independent eigenvalue problems. Thus, machine computations based on (16) are We remark that Theorem 2 gives the well-set nature

If $\mathbf{N}(t)$ is a vector with m.N components 5. The Discrete Time-Dependent Problem

 $\phi_1(i),\ldots,\frac{v_m}{v_m}$ $\frac{1}{n} \phi_m(t)$, then Eq. (9) can be written as:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{N}(t)}{dt} = Q\mathbf{N}(t),\tag{20}$$

where the entries of Q are determined*** from the entries of the matrices A_1 and $B_{i,j}$. From Theorem 1, it follows that the matrix $Q = \|q_{i,j}\|$ is such that:

$$q_{i,i} < 0, q_{i,j} \ge 0$$
 for $i \ne j, 1 \le i, j \le m.N.$ (21)

now define a matrix Q to be essentially positive if, and only if, it is essentially non-negative and irreducible. Thus, if we assume, as before, that each matrix $B_{i,i-1} \ 1 < i < m$ is a positive diagonal matrix, and that some diagonal element of $B_{m,1}$ is positive, then the matrix Q of (20) is essentially positive. This fact depends on the irreducible nature of the matrices A_{ν} following from Theorem 1. We have (Ref. 8, p. 10) negative matrix has a non-negative eigenvector. We We shall call such matrices essentially non-negative matrices. It is known (Ref. 8) that any essentially non-

entries of the matrix.

and, in general, $\phi_1 = \chi_1 A_1^{-1}(\psi/\lambda); \quad \phi_2 = A_2^{-1}(\chi_1 B_{2,1} A_1^{-1} + \chi_2.I)(\psi/\lambda),$

If we define $T \equiv \sum_{i} V_{i} L_{i}$, then, from (11), we obtain

 $\phi_i = L_i(\psi/\lambda), \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m.$

$$I \mathbf{\phi} = \lambda \mathbf{\phi}$$
.

 $1 < i \le m$, is a positive diagonal matrix. Thus, since A_i^{-1} is a positive matrix from Theorem 1, we can inductively show that each L_i , $1 \le i \le m$, is also a positive matrix. By definition, each matrix V_i is a rows and columns of the matrices V_i , we have: at least one of the sub-regions R_k contains some fissionable material. By the same permutation of led by the physics to assume that at least $B_{i,i-1}$ We may assume, without loss of generality, that $\chi_1 > 0$. Since the entries of the non-negative diagonal $B_{i,j}$, non-negative diagonal matrix. We now assume that < i, arise from slowing down cross sections, we are

$$V_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} d_{1}(i) & 0 \\ d_{2}(i) & \\ 0 & d_{N}(i) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(14)$$

 $d_l(i)=0$ for $1\leqslant i\leqslant r$, for all $1\leqslant l\leqslant m$, and for at least one l, say l^* , $d_{l^*}(i)>0$ for $r+1\leqslant i\leqslant N$. From the definition of T, we then have and there exists a non-negative integer r such that

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ T_1 & T_2 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{15}$$

eigenvalues of T_2 and an r-fold zero eigenvalue. By a theorem of Perron (Ref. 7), T_2 possesses an eigenvalue λ^* which is positive, simple, and greater in modulus than all other eigenvalues of T_2 . Moreover, to λ^* can be associated a unique§§ eigenvector $\mathbf{\psi}^*$ of T_2 with positive components. This is the basis of where T_1 is an $(N-r) \times r$ matrix, and T_2 is a square $(N-r) \times (N-r)$ matrix. It thus follows that T_2 is a positive matrix, using the fact that each L_i is a positive matrix. Now, the eigenvalues of T are the (N-r)

THEOREM 2. The largest (in modulus) eigenvalue λ^* of T is positive, simple, and its corresponding unique eigenvector Ψ can be chosen to have nonnegative components. Furthermore, for any arbitrary positive vector ψ_0 , the iteration procedure:

$$T\psi_n = \mathbf{S}_{n+1}; \quad \lambda_{n+1} = rac{(\mathbf{S}_{n+1}, \psi_n)}{(\psi_n, \psi_n)};$$

 $\mathbf{\psi}_{n+1} = \mathbf{S}_{n+1}/\lambda_{n+1}, \quad n \geqslant 0$ (16)

^{§§} Up to scalar factors.

on C,

THEOREM 3. The (essentially positive) matrix Q has a unique strictly positive eigenvector $\mathbf{\Phi}$, with real, and simple, eigenvalue $\mu_1 = M$. Moreover, $\mu_1 > \text{Re}\{\mu_j\}$ for any other eigenvalue μ_j of Q.

essentially positive matrix Q is also essentially positive, so that we can apply Theorem 3 to Q'. The positive eigenvector \mathbf{F} of Q' is called the *importance*

Q, if $\mathbf{N}(0)$ is a positive vector, then

$$\mathbf{N}(t) = Ke^{Mt}\mathbf{\Phi} + o(e^{\mu t}), \quad t \to \infty,$$
 (22)

ber strictly between M and $\sup Re\{\mu_j\}$, and K=where $oldsymbol{\Phi}$ and M are as in Theorem 3, μ is some num-

DEFINITION 2. For Q essentially positive, the process (20) will be called *subcritical*, *critical*, or *super-critical*, according as M < 0, M = 0, or M > 0 in Theorem 4.

matrix. For $\mathbf{N}(0)$ a positive vector, if we define $\|\mathbf{N}(t)\| = \sum_i N_i(t)$, so that $\|\mathbf{N}(t)\|$ is the (expected) COROLLARY. Let Q be any essentially positive

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \|\mathbf{N}(t)\| = \left\langle \begin{array}{l} +\infty, & \text{if the process is supercritical,} \\ \text{finite, if the process is critical,} \end{array} \right\rangle (23)$$

6. Definition and Properties of the Operators

and bounded second-order partial derivatives in the interior of each sub-region R_i , $1 \le i \le l$. Let $\Phi(x) \equiv (\phi_1(x), \phi_2(x), \dots, \phi_m(x))$, where $\phi_j(x)$ has bounded second-order derivatives in the interior of each sub-region R_i . A function $\Phi(x)$, satisfying these assump-(5), will be said to belong to class C, denoted $\Phi \in C$. We now define two operators, $\mathscr D$ and α : quantities $D_i(x)$, $\sigma_i(x)$, and $\sigma_{i,j}^{(r)}(x)$ have continuous

$$\omega_j(x) = \theta_j \phi_j(x) = \text{div } [D_j(x) \text{ grad } \phi_j(x)]$$

$$\Phi(x) \equiv (Z_1(x), \dots, Z_m(x)), \text{ with}$$

$$Z_i(x) = -\sigma_i(x)\phi_i(x) + \sum \sigma_{i,j}^{(r)}(x),$$

and the time-dependent (kinetics) multigroup diffu-

vector of Q, and its corresponding eigenvalue is $\mu_1=M$, since Q and Q' have the same characteristic polynomial. We have (Ref. 8, p. 16) Obviously, (off-diagonal) non-negativity and irreducibility are unaffected when a matrix Q is replaced by its transpose Q'. Hence, the transpose Q' of an

THEOREM 4. For any essentially positive matrix

$$: Ke^{Mt}\mathbf{\Phi} + o(e^{\mu t}), \quad t \to \infty,$$
 (22)

bounded self-adjoint operators on a Banach space, a complete answer to these questions will be given for

behavior for large values of t? ‡ ‡ ‡

By means of modern spectral theory

solution of (25)? Second, if so, what is its asymptotic

where $\Phi(x, t) \in C$ for each fixed $t \geqslant 0$. Concerning (25), two questions immediately arise. First, for a given initial function $\Phi(x, 0)$ satisfying reasonable continuity conditions, does there exist a

reactor. A

total number of neutrons at time t, then

$$|t| = \begin{cases} +\infty, & \text{if the process is supercritical,} \\ & \text{finite, if the process is critical,} \\ 0, & \text{if the process is subcritical.} \end{cases}$$

CONTINUOUS SPACE

tions, as well as the boundary conditions of (4) and In the analysis to follow, we shall assume that the

 $\Phi(x) \equiv (\omega_1(x), \ldots, \omega_m(x)), \text{ with }$

$$\omega_j(x) = \theta_j \phi_j(x) = \text{div } [D_j(x) \text{ grad } \phi_j(x)].$$

$$Z_i(x) = -\sigma_i(x)\phi_i(x) + \sum_{\substack{j \neq i}} \sigma_{i,j}{}^{(r)}(x)\phi_j(x).$$

The multigroup diffusion operator Q, defined is:

sion equations become: †††

 $\frac{\partial \Phi(x,t)}{\partial x} = Q\Phi(x,t),$

(25)

 $Q\Phi = \mathcal{D}\Phi + \alpha\Phi,$

$$Ke^{Mt}\mathbf{\Phi} + o(e^{\mu t}), \quad t \to \infty,$$
 (22)

 $(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{N}(0))/(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{\Phi}), \mathbf{F}$ being the importance vector of Q. Thus, we have obtained, in the discrete time-dependent case, the asymptotic behavior of $\mathbf{N}(t)$ for t large. This leads us naturally to

sional case. The principal tool in this analysis is an extension of Jentzsch's theorem^{15,16} on integral operators with positive kernels, obtained by M. G. Krein and M. A. Rutman (Ref. 17) in their study of

 $\Phi(r, 0)$ will be shown for the general three-dimen-

for arbitrary dimension in the case of the homogeneous the general one-dimensional multigroup model and

less complete theory covering

operators leaving invariant a cone in a Banach space. The result used here is:

THEOREM 5. Suppose the non-negative kernel

 $|K(s,t)|^2 dV_s dV_t < +\infty$, and that

K(s, t) satisfies

$$K(s,t) > 0$$
 except possibly on a set of measure zero. Then, the integral equation:
$$\begin{cases} W(s,t) & \text{of } V(s,t) \end{cases}$$

everywhere, for some positive number λ which exceeds has a single non-negative solution, positive almost $K(s, t)\phi(t) dV_t = \lambda\phi(s)$ (26)

$$K(t, s)\psi(t) dV_t = \lambda \psi(s)$$

same value of λ the adjoint equation:

the modulus of all other eigenvalues of (26). For the

has a solution $\psi(s)$ which is positive almost everywhere.

 $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$ Problems involving the addition of an extraneous source S:

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} = Q\Phi + S$$
e.f. 8 and 20.
er to the first question might

are also treated in Refs. 8 and 20.

† † † A naïve answer to the first question might be

$$\Phi(x, t) = e^{iQ}\Phi(x, 0) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k Q^k}{k!} \Phi(x, 0).$$
 (38)

Indeed, if $\Phi(x,0)$ and the coefficients of \mathscr{D} and α are sufficiently regular, Eq. (38) will be a valid solution of (25). However, for a heterogeneous reactor model, the coefficients of Q are generally discontinuous across material interfaces γ so that the terms of the series (38) do not satisfy the admissibility conditions for C, and are lacking for physical interpretation. Even in those special cases where (38) is valid, this form of the solution does not offer a direct insight into the second question.

The analysis of Q is carried out by imbedding the class C in the Hilbert space H of complex-valued functions $\Phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n)$ defined on R almost

everywhere and for which
$$\|\Phi\| = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_R |\phi_j(x)|^2 dV_x$$

is finite. Each of the differential operators θ_j is self-adjoint on C and possesses a Green's function $G_j(x, y)$. The operator \mathcal{D}^{-1} defined on H by:

$$G_{1}(x) = \left(\int_{R} G_{1}(x, y) \phi_{1}(y) dV_{y}, \dots, \int_{R} G_{m}(x, y) \phi_{m}(y) dV_{y} \right)$$

is inverse to \mathscr{D} in the sense that $\mathscr{D}^{-1}\mathscr{D}\Phi = \Phi$ for all $\Phi \in C$. Since \mathscr{D}^{-1} is defined everywhere on H, \mathscr{D} can be extended to the range R of \mathscr{D}^{-1} by setting $\mathscr{D}(\mathscr{D}^{-1}\Phi)$

= Φ for all $\Phi \in H$; we assume \mathscr{D} is so extended. \mathscr{D}^{-1} is self-adjoint and completely continuous (i.e., it maps every bounded set into a compact set) on H. Hence (Ref. 18) \mathscr{D}^{-1} possesses a complete orthonormal sequence of eigenfunctions $\{\Omega_j(x)\}$ where $\mathscr{D}^{-1}\Omega_j = (1/\omega_j)\Omega_j$, with $\omega_j < 0$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots$ The negativity of the eigenvalues of \mathscr{D}^{-1} follows from the negativity of the eigenvalues of each θ_k^{-1} , $1 \le k \le m$, which in turn is obtained from Green's Theorem as follows. Assume $\theta_k \phi = \lambda \phi$. Since θ_k is self-adjoint, $\phi(x)$ can be assumed to be real valued. Thus,

$$\begin{split} \lambda & \int_{R} \phi^{2}(x) \ dV_{x} = \int_{R} \phi(x) \theta_{k} \phi(x) \ dV_{x} \\ & = - \int_{R} D_{k}(x) \, || \operatorname{grad} \phi \, ||^{2} \ dV_{x} \\ & + \int_{\Gamma} D_{k}(x) \phi \, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n} \, d\sigma. \end{split}$$

that $\phi \equiv 0$, contradicting the assumption that ϕ is an eigenfunction. Thus, $\lambda < 0$. (27) are non-positive, vanishing only if $\phi \equiv \text{constant}$. In the latter case, the boundary condition (5) implies dition (5) show that the terms of the right member of

7. The Homogeneous Reactor

In the case of the single region reactor with constant coefficients D_i , $\sigma_{i,j}^{(r)}$, σ_i , with group-independent boundary conditions (i.e., $a_i(r)$ in (5) is independent of i) the theory is particularly simple, and will be given first.

The operator
$$\mathscr{D}^{-1}$$
 defined on H by:
$$\mathscr{D}^{-1}\Phi(x) = \left(\int_{R} G_{1}(x,y)\phi_{1}(y) \ dV_{y}, \ldots, \right)$$

$$\begin{split} {}^{\flat 2}(x) \ dV_x = & \int_R \phi(x) \theta_k \phi(x) \ dV_x \\ = & - \int_R D_k(x) \| \operatorname{grad} \phi \|^2 \ dV_x \\ + & \int_\Gamma D_k(x) \phi \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n} \ d\sigma. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

 $\nabla^2 l_k(x) = \mu_k i_k(x), \quad x \in R.$

The positive nature of the D_k and the boundary con-

 \mathcal{M}_k is spanned by m generalized eigenvectors of Q, say $\eta_j^{(k)} = (\eta_{j,1}l_k, \ldots, \eta_{j,m}l_k), \quad 1 \leqslant j \leqslant m.$ Hence, every $\Phi \in H$ has an expansion $\Phi = \Sigma c_k \Lambda_k$, where $c_k \Lambda_k$ is the

a complete sequence of generalized eigenfunctions (Ref. 24, pp. 67–70): THEOREM 6. For the homogeneous model, Q has

corresponding to eigenvalues $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \ldots$. That is,

$$Q\Phi_{i,0} = \lambda_i \Phi_{i,0},$$

$$Q\Phi_{i,j} = \lambda_i \Phi_{i,j} + \Phi_{i,j-1}, \quad 1 \leqslant j \leqslant k_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

There exists an eigenvalue, λ_0 , which is real, simple, and algebraically larger than the real part of all other eigenvalues: $\lambda_0 > \sup_{j>1} \operatorname{Re}\{\lambda_j\}$. The adjoint equations:

$$\begin{aligned} & Q * \Psi_{i,0} = \bar{\lambda}_i \Psi_{i,0}, \\ & Q * \Psi_{i,j} = \bar{\lambda}_i \Psi_{i,j} + \Psi_{i,j-1}, \quad 1 \leqslant j \leqslant k_i, \ i = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

have solutions. Φ_0 and $\Psi_0 = \Psi_{0,0}$ are positive in the interior of R and all the $\Phi_{i,j}$ and $\Psi_{i,j}$ belong to class C. Every function $\Phi \in H$ has a conditionally convergent bi-orthogonal expansion

$$\Phi = \sum_{i,j} (\Phi, \Psi_{i,k_i-j}) \Phi_{i,j}.$$

The solution to Eq. (25) for

$$\Phi(x, 0) = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \Phi_{i,j}(x) \in C$$
s:
$$\Phi(x, t) = e^{tQ} \Phi(x, 0) = \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} e^{\lambda_i t} \sum_{q=0}^{j} \frac{\Phi_{i,q}(x)}{(j-q)!} t^{(j-q)}. \quad (28)$$

If $\Phi(x, 0) \geqslant 0$ and $\Phi(x, 0) \not\equiv 0$ then $a_{0,0} > 0$ and $\Phi(x, t)$ is asymptotic to $a_{0,0} e^{\lambda_0 t} \Phi_0$ for large t.

normal set of eigenfunctions for the Laplacian operator, satisfying the bound.ry conditions PROOF. Let $l_0(x)$, $l_1(x)$, ... be a complete ortho-

$$l_k(x) + a(x) \frac{\partial l_k}{\partial n} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma.$$

$$\nabla^2 h_k(x) = \mu_k l_k(x), \quad x \in R.$$

Thus,

projection of Φ on \mathscr{M}_{ν} , given by the usual bi-orthogonal expansion in \mathscr{M}_{κ} . Since the Λ_k are orthogonal, the expansion for Φ converges absolutely. However, each $c_k \Lambda_k$ is in turn expressible as a sum $\sum b_{j,k} \eta_j^{(k)}$ Define \mathcal{M}_k to be the subspace of H consisting of all functions Φ of the form $\Phi = (a_1l_k, a_2l_k, \ldots, a_ml_k)$, where the a_j are arbitrary complex numbers. Each \mathcal{M}_k is an m-dimensional subspace of H, invariant under Q. Thus, the Jordan canonical form of any matrix representation of Q restricted to \mathcal{M}_k shows that and the double series:

$$\Phi = \sum_{j,k} b_{j,k} \eta_j^{(k)}$$

need not be absolutely convergent. The necessity for the proper grouping of terms in the bi-orthogonal expansions is accented in the general model. The Laplacian operator, ∇^2 , with the given boundary

conditions, has an inverse, ∇^{-2} , which is an integral operator with negative kernel. So, $-\nabla^{-2}$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 5. As far as real negative eigenvalues of ∇^2 are concerned, ∇^2 possesses a unique largest, simple eigenvalue μ_0 corresponding to an eigenfunction, say l_0 , which is positive in the interior of R. The eigenvalues of Q consist of the set of eigen-

$$M_k = \mu_k D +$$

8. The General One-Dimensional Model

denoted by $|||U||| = 1.u.b. \frac{||U\Phi||}{||\Phi||}$. An operator with finite norm is termed "bounded". Returning to the general multigroup operator $Q = \mathcal{D} + \alpha$, it is observed that α is bounded. As before, we denote the eigenof Q and is a bounded operator provided a is not an values of \mathscr{D} by $\{\omega_j\}$, and we denote $R_a = (aI - Q)^{-1}$ for any complex number a. R_a is termed the resolvent eigenvalue of Q. The supremum norm of an operator U on H will be

LEMMA. For any complex number a, let $\delta(a) = \frac{1}{2}$

$$\|(\alpha I - \mathcal{D})^{-1}\Phi\|^2 = \sum \frac{|a_i|^2}{|a_i - \omega_i|^2} \leqslant \frac{1}{\delta^2(a)} \sum_{} a|_i|^2 = \frac{\|\Phi\|^2}{\delta^2(a)}$$

nce
$$\|(aI-\mathcal{D})^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{\delta(a)}$$
.

$$\left|\left|\left|\left|\alpha(aI-\mathcal{D})^{-1}\right|\right|\right|\leqslant \left|\left|\left|\alpha\right|\right|\right|.\ \left|\left|\left|\left(aI-\mathcal{D})^{-1}\right|\right|\right|\leqslant \frac{\left|\left|\alpha\right|\right|}{\delta(a)}\leqslant \frac{1}{2}.$$

$$U(a) = (I - \alpha(aI - \mathcal{D})^{-1})^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\alpha(aI - \mathcal{D})^{-1})^k$$

values of the matrices:

$$M_k = \mu_k D + \alpha$$

tially positive (in the sense of Section 5), and hence possesses a positive eigenvector $v_k = (v_{k,1}, v_{k,2}, \dots, v_{k,m})$ corresponding to a real, simple eigenvalue ρ_k with maximal real part. If $\rho_0 > \rho_k$, k > 0, then clearly $\Phi_0 = v_0 \cdot \Lambda_0$ and $\lambda_0 = \rho_0$ satisfy the statements of Theorem 6. To show the dominance of $\rho_0 = \lambda_0$, let $w_k = (w_{k,1}, \dots, w_{k,m})$ be the positive eigenvector for the adjoint equation $M_k * w_k = \rho_k w_k$. It easily follows $(u_k - u_k) = v_k w_k$. where D is the (positive) diagonal matrix of (constant) diffusion coefficients, and a is as before. Each M_k is essential established as the constant of the constant of

that $\rho_0 - \rho_k =$ $(\mu_0 - \mu_k)(v_0, D_{w_k})$, which is positive, since

 v_0 and w_k are positive vectors. The validity of (28) and the differentiability claims for the generalized eigenfunctions, as well as other details of this theory, are in Refs. 19 and 20.

 $\min_{j\geqslant 1} |\alpha-\omega_j|. \ \ \text{If} \ \ \delta(a)\geqslant 2\, \big|\big|\big|\,\alpha\,\big|\big|\big|, \ \ \text{then} \ \ \big|\big|\big|\big|\,R_a\,\big|\big|\big|\leqslant \frac{z}{\delta(a)}.$

PROOF. Let $\Phi \in H$ and let $\Phi = \sum a_i \Lambda_i$ be its expansion in the eigenvectors of \mathscr{D} . Then

$$(aI - \mathcal{D})^{-1}\Phi = \sum_{\alpha - \omega_i} \frac{a_i}{\alpha - \omega_i} \Phi_i,$$

so
$$\|(aI - \mathcal{D})^{-1}\Phi\|^2 = \sum \frac{|a_i|^2}{|a_i - \omega_i|^2} \leqslant \frac{1}{\delta^2(a)} \sum_i a_i|^2 = \frac{\|\Phi\|^2}{\delta^2(a)}$$

By assumption,
$$\|\alpha(aI - \mathcal{D})^{-1}\| \le \|\alpha\|$$
. $\|\alpha(aI - \mathcal{D})^{-1}\| \le \|\alpha\|$

The Neumann expansion
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (G_i - G_i)^{-1} - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (G_i - G_i)^{-1} = 0$$

is therefore valid and

$$\begin{split} & \left\| \left\| U(a) \right\| \right\| \leqslant \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\| \left\| (aI - \mathscr{D})^{-1} \right\| \right|^k \leqslant \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} = 2. \\ & \text{Hence} \quad \left\| \left\| R_a \right\| \right\| = \left\| \left\| (aI - \mathscr{D})^{-1} U(a) \right\| \right\| \leqslant \frac{2}{\delta(a)}. \end{split}$$

We now consider the one-dimensional model. A comparison of the Green's function for

$$\theta = \frac{d}{dx} \left(D_j(x) \frac{d}{dx} \right)$$

with that for

$$\left(\max_{x \in R} D_j(x)\right) \frac{d^2}{dx^2}$$

and a straightforward computation of the eigenvalues of the latter, shows the existence of a constant k_0 such tend to infinity with n and such that: that there is a sequence of concentric circumferences in the complex plane, C_1, C_2, \ldots , whose radii r_1, r_2, \ldots , is no more than $k_0\sqrt{t}$. It follows from the above lemma that the number of eigenvalues ω_j for which —

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{n \in C} 1.\text{u.b. } |||R_a||| = 0.$$
 (29)

Now Q, defined on R, is a closed operator (Ref. 21). Also $R_a = (aI - \mathcal{D})^{-1}U(a)$ is completely continuous if $\delta(a) > \|\mathbf{a}\|$, so a theorem of M. A. Naimark (Refs. 30) of the state of the st disjoint circles c_1, c_2, \ldots in the complex plane such that every eigenvalue of Q is interior to one of the c_i , and every $\Phi \in R$ has an absolutely convergent repre-22, 23) shows that the generalized eigenfunctions of Q span H. It also follows that there exist a sequence of sentation:

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{C_k} R_a \Phi \, da. \tag{30}$$

generalized eigenfunctions corresponding to eigenvalues interior to c_k . Equation (30) can be written in the form of a bi-orthogonal expansion: The terms of (30) are projections on to the subspaces η_k spanned, respectively, by the eigenfunctions and

$$\Phi = \Sigma(\Phi, \Psi_{i,k_{i-j}})\Phi_{i,j}$$
 (31)

as in Theorem 6. Here it is necessary to group the terms of (31) arising from a common c_k in order to ensure a convergent representation. Thus (30) is a preferred form of the expansion.

absolutely convergent form of the solution of Eq. (25) the general reactor in the case of one dimension. An THEOREM 7. The results of Theorem 6 extend to

$$\Phi(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{c_k} e^{at} R_a \Phi(x, 0) \ da. \qquad (32)$$

PROOF. The proof of Theorem 7 can now be obtained

by expanding Φ in generalized eigenfunctions in (32). The result is of the form:

$$\Phi(x,t) = \int_{R} K_{t}(x,s)\Phi(s,0) \, ds. \tag{33}$$

If $\Phi(s, 0) \geqslant 0$, $\Phi(s, 0) \neq 0$, we have from (24):

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \geqslant \mathcal{D}\Phi(x,t)$$

 $\overline{\alpha}$

 $-\lambda_1|\leqslant (a-\lambda_0), \text{ so } |\mu_1(a)| = \widehat{|a-\lambda_1|} \geqslant \widehat{a-\lambda_0} = \mu_0.$

Theorem 5 can now be applied to (33) and Theorem 7 follows from the observation that the eigenvalues of Q and (33) are related through λ and e_t^{λ} , respectively. for all $t \ge 0$. It follows that $\Phi(x, t) \ge \Phi(x, t)$ where Φ is the solution to the diffusion equation $\partial \Phi/\partial t = \mathcal{D}\Phi$. Thus $\Phi(x, t) > 0$ for all t > 0, x in the interior of R. positive for almost all x and s in the interior of R. The kernel K_t of (33) is continuous and therefore

9. A General Result for λ_0

For the general multigroup operator in arbitrary dimensions we can establish the existence of the fundamental mode and importance function in the following sense.

THEOREM 8. For general Q there exists a simple, real eigenvalue $\lambda_0 \geqslant \operatorname{Re}\{\lambda_k\}$ for all eigenvalues λ_k of Q. The corresponding eigenfunction and adjoint eigenfunction, Φ_0 and Ψ_0 , respectively, are strictly positive in the interior of R and are the only eigenfunctions for Q, Q^* , respectively, which are everywhere nonnegative.

is completely continuous for sufficiently large positive $\alpha.\ R_\alpha$ also has a positivity property. Let PROOF. We have already seen that $R_a = (aI - Q)^{-1}$

$$= - \min_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant n} g.l.b. \ \sigma_j(x).$$

where non-negative. Setting $a_1 = a + \gamma$, Then the matrix elements of $\alpha_1 = \alpha + \gamma I$ are every-

$$R_{\alpha} = [(\alpha + \gamma)I - \mathcal{D} - (\alpha + \gamma I)]^{-1}$$

$$= (a_{1}I - \mathcal{D})^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [\alpha_{1}(a_{1}I - \mathcal{D})^{-1}]^{k}. \quad (34)$$

Green's kernel for the diffusion-absorption operator Here $(aI - \mathcal{D})^{-1}$ is the integral operator with the

> simple eigenvalue of Q. Should there be another eigenvalue, say λ_1 , for which $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_1 > \lambda_0$ then, for a sufficiently large positive a we will have: $_{\mathrm{f}}$ wh **₽** R_a given by Theorem 5. Then $\lambda_0 = a -$ (34) is seen to be a positive integral operator for ich Theorem 5 applies. Let $\mu_0(a)$ be the eigenvalue aI. Since this kernel is positive, the right member $\mu_0(a)$ is a real,

which contradicts Theorem 5 for $R_{\rm a}.$ This proves Theorem 8.

The equations for the time-independent model with up-scattering §§§ are of the form

no

$$-(\mathscr{D}+S)\Phi = \frac{1}{\lambda}F\Phi, \qquad (35)$$

where $\mathscr D$ is as before, and $S=\|S_{i,j}(x)\|$ is a lower triangular matrix, with $S_{i,i}(x)\leqslant 0$, $S_{i,j}(x)\geqslant 0$ for j< i, and $S_{i,j}(x)=0$ for j> i. The matrix $F=\|F_{i,j}(x)\|$ is non-negative, and is in general singular for certain values of x. It is easy to verify that $\mathscr D+S$ has an inverse, so

that λ is not infinite in (35); thus,

$$-(\mathscr{D}+S)^{-1}F\Phi = \lambda\Phi. \tag{36}$$

Consider the range of F, i.e., the set H_1 of all images $\Phi_1 = F\Phi$. In terms of Φ_1 , Eq. (36) becomes:

$$-F(\mathcal{D}+S)^{-1}\Phi_1 = \lambda \Phi_1. \tag{37}$$

The operator $-F(\mathcal{D}+S)^{-1}$ leaves H_1 invariant, and is positive on H_1 , in the sense of the Krein-Rutman theory. Hence, we obtain:

THEOREM 9. Equation (37) has a dominant eigenvalue λ_0 which is positive and simple, corresponding to a positive eigenfunction and positive adjoint eigenfunction (in H_1). For the general time-independent eigenvalue problem (35) in arbitrary dimension, $\lambda_0 > |\lambda_k|$ for all eigenvalues $\lambda_k \neq \lambda_0$.

§§§ For extensions to the case of up-scattering in the discrete space problem, see Ref. 8, pp. 38-40, and in the continuous space problem, see Ref. 20.

REFERENCES

- 1. E. M. Gelbard, G. J. Habetler and R. Ehrlich, The Role of Digital Computers in the Design of Water-Moderated Reactors, P/1843, Vol. 16, these Proceedings.
- S. Glasstone and M. C. Edlund, The Elements of Nuclear Reactor Theory, D. Van Nostrand Co., Inc., New York
- 3. R. S. Varga, Numerical Solution of the Two-Group Diffusion Equation in x-y Geometry, IRE Trans. Prof. Group on Nuclear Science, NS-4, 52-62 (1957).
- 4. O. Taussky, A Recurring Theorem on Determinants, Am Math. Monthly, 56, 672-75 (1949).
- R. S. Varga, On a Lemma of Stieltjes on Matrices, WAPD-T-566 (1957).
- F. R. Gantmaker, Applications of the Theory of Matrices, Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York (1958).

6.

- O. Perron, Zur Theorie der Matrices, Math. Annalen, 64
- G. Birkhoff and R. S. Varga, Reactor Criticality and Non-negative Matrices, WAPD-166 (1957).
- V. Romanovsky, Recherches sur les Chaînes de Markoff, Acta Mathematica, 66, 147-251 (1936).

G. Debreu and I. N. Herstein, Non-negative Square Matrices, Econometrica, 21, 597-607 (1953).
 D. Young, Iterative Methods for Solving Partial Difference Equations of Elliptic Type, Trans. Am. Math. Soc., 76, 92-111 (1954).

13. S. P. Frankel, Convergence Rates of Iterative Treatments of Partial Difference Equations of Elliptic Type, Trans. Am. Math. Soc., 76, 92–111 (1954).

L. Collatz, Einschlieszungssalz für die charakteristischen Zahlen von Matrizen, Math. Zeitschrift, 48, 221–96 (1942).

17. M. G. Krein and M. A. Rutman, Linear Operators Leaving Invariant a Cone in a Banach Space, Uspekhi Matem. Nauk G. Birkoff, Extensions of Jentzsch's Theorem, Trans. Am. Math. Soc., 85, 219–27 (1957).

R. Jentzsch, Über Inlegralgleichungen mit positivem Kern,
 J. Math., 141, 235-44 (1912).

(N.S.), β , No. 1 (23), 3–95 (1948). In Russian, A.M.S. Translation No. 26.

 F. Riesz and B. Sz.-Nagy, Functional Analysis, Frederick Ungar Co., New York (1985).
 M. A. Martino, Concerning the Multigroup Diffusion Operator, KAPL-1867 (AEC Res. and Dev. Report) (1987).
 G. J. Habetler and M. A. Martino, The Multigroup Diffusion Equations of Reactor Physics, KAPL-1886 (1958).
 A. E. Taylor, Spectral Theory of Closed Distributive Operators, Acta Mathematica, 84, 189-224 (1950).
 M. A. Naimark, On Some Criteria of Completeness of the System of Eigen and Associated Vectors of a Linear Operator in Hilbert Space, Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.), 98, 727-30 (1954). 23. M. A. Martino, An Extension of a Spectral Theorem of M. A. Naimark, Notices of the American Mathematical

Society, to appear.

24. B. Friedman, Principles and Techniques of Applied Mathematics, Wiley and Son, New York (1986).