Richard S. Varga

ACCURATE NUMERICAL METHODS FOR NONLINEAR BOUNDARY VALU PROBLEMS[1]

1. **Introduction.** The use of variational or projectional meth mate solutions of nonlinear boundary value problems has receiv of attention lately, cf. [**3**], [**9**], [**10**], [**23**], [**25**], [**26**], and [**39**]. Of co using the Ritz-Galerkin method to approximate these solutions is is new, however, is that effective error bounds for such approxima developed (cf. equation (2.5)) at roughly the same time that spli piecewise-polynomial functions have independently grown into spline and Hermite functions are particularly attractive for high-s since the proper choice of basis functions for these subspaces coefficient matrices which are *sparse* (cf. [**12**]). The net result is nation of using spline and Hermite functions in a Ritz-Galerkin sett error bounds offers a highly effective tool for approximating the s nonlinear boundary value problems.

The purpose of this paper is to show how this combination do very accurate numerical approximations of solutions of nonlinear problems. Since most of the extensive numerical computations Hermite functions have been for one-dimensional problems, we s discussion and numerical results to such problems.

In §2, we give a theoretical background for the special results in §3, we look specifically at two-point nonlinear boundary valu §4 contains sample numerical results of particular experiment techniques developed also apply quite easily to one-dimensi problems, we study such eigenvalue problems in §5, and give r results.

[1] This research was supported in part by AEC Grant AT(11-1)-1702.

2. **Theoretical background.** The theoretical basis for the material presented here is contained in [**14**]. Let B be a reflexive Banach space over the real field, and let B^* be the dual of B. We denote respectively by $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|^*$ the norms in B and in B^*, and $(\cdot\,,\cdot)$ denotes the usual pairing between B and B^*, i.e., if $v^* \in B^*$ and $u \in B$, then the value of the functional v^* at u is (v^*, u).

Let T be a (possibly nonlinear) mapping from B into B^* satisfying the following two hypotheses:

(H_1). T is *strongly monotone*, [**9**], [**26**], and [**39**], i.e., there exists a continuous and strictly increasing function $c(r)$ on $[0, +\infty)$ with $c(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{r\to+\infty} c(r) = +\infty$ such that

$$|(Tu - Tv, u - v)| \geq c(\|u - v\|) \cdot \|u - v\| \quad \text{for all } u, v \in B, \tag{2.1}$$

(H_2). T is *finitely continuous*, i.e., T is continuous from finite-dimensional subspaces of B into B^* with the weak-star topology of B^*. In other words, given any finite-dimensional subspace B^k of B and any sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of elements of B^k which converges to an element $u \in B^k$, the sequence $\{(Tu_n, v)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to (Tu, v) for any $v \in B$.

We consider the following problem, called *Problem P*: determine $u \in B$ such that

$$Tu = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

or equivalently, determined $u \in B$ such that

$$(Tu, v) = 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in B. \tag{2.3}$$

Similarly, given a finite-dimensional subspace B^k of B, we consider the following approximate problem, called *Problem* P^k: determine $u_k \in B^k$ such that

$$(Tu_k, v) = 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in B^k. \tag{2.4}$$

We now state the following result, due to Browder [**9**]:

LEMMA 2.1. *Let T satisfy (H_1) and (H_2). Then Problem P has a unique solution u. Similarly, given any finite-dimensional subspace B^k of B, the corresponding Problem P^k has a unique solution u_k.*

To have an estimate between the solution u of Problem P and the solution u_k of Problem P^k, we need additional hypotheses on the mapping T (cf. Theorem 2.1). These in turn will allow us to obtain sufficient conditions guaranteeing the convergence of the u_k's to the solution u (cf. Corollary 2.1). We begin with

THEOREM 2.1. *Let T satisfy (H_1), (H_2), and (H_3): T is bounded, i.e., T maps bounded subsets of B into bounded subsets of B^* (with respect to the strong topology of B^*). Then, given any finite-dimensional subspace B^k of B, there exists a constant K, independent of B^k, such that*

$$c(\|u_k - u\|) \cdot \|u_k - u\| \leq K \inf\{\|w - u\|;\ w \in B^k\}. \tag{2.5}$$

Similarly, let T satisfy (H_2),

(H$_1'$). Condition (H$_1$) holds with $c(r) \equiv \alpha r$, $\alpha > 0$, i.e.,

(2.6) $$|(Tu - Tv, u - v)| \geq \alpha(\|u - v\|)^2 \quad \text{for all } u, v \in B$$

and

(H$_3'$). T is Lipschitz continuous with respect to the strong top bounded arguments (a special case of hypothesis (H$_3$)), i.e., give exists a constant $C(M)$, depending only upon M, such that

(2.7) $$\|Tu - Tv\|^* \leq C(M)\, \|u - v\| \quad \text{for all } u, v \in B \text{ with } \|u\|, \|$$

Then, given any finite-dimensional subspace B^k of B, there exists independent of B^k, such that

(2.8) $$\|u_k - u\| \leq K' \inf\{\|w - u\|;\ w \in B^k\}.$$

Proof. We begin by showing that (H$_1$) implies that the same holds for both the solution u and the "approximate" solutions u_k using (2.1) and (2.4)

$$c(\|u_k\|)\, \|u_k\| \leq |(Tu_k - T0, u_k)| = |(T0, u_k)| \leq \|T0\|^*$$

and thus $c(\|u_k\|) \leq M_0$, with $M_0 = \|T0\|^*$. Clearly, the same bou

Let w be now an arbitrary element of B^k. Then by (2.3) and $(Tu_k - Tu, u_k - w) = 0$ since $\{u_k - w\} \in B^k \subset B$. Thus from (2

(2.9) $$\begin{aligned} c(\|u_k - u\|)\, \|u_k - u\| &\leq |(Tu_k - Tu, u_k - u)| = |(Tu_k - T \\ &\leq \|Tu_k - Tu\|^*\, \|w - u\|. \end{aligned}$$

If T is bounded, then $\|Tu_k - Tu\|^*$ is bounded independently conclusion of (2.5) follows, since w is arbitrary. Similarly, if T s (H$_3'$), the conclusion of (2.8) follows with $K' = C(M_0)/\alpha$, by (2.9).

As an immediate consequence, we have:

Corollary 2.1. *Let $\{B^k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of finite-dimensiona with the property that*

(2.10) $$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \{\inf\{\|w - u\|;\, w \in B^k\}\} = 0,$$

where u is the unique solution of Problem P. If T satisfies (H$_1$), (H$_2$ *as a special case* (H$_1'$), (H$_2$), (H$_3'$)), *then*

(2.11) $$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \{\|u_k - u\|\} = 0,$$

where u_k, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, are the unique solutions of Problem P^k.

We now introduce some standard notation for the following a positive integer, the Sobolev space $W^{m,2}[a, b]$ consists of all real- $f(x)$ defined on $[a, b]$ such that f and its distributional deriv $0 \leq j \leq m$ all belong to $L^2[a, b]$. The Sobolev space $W^{m,2}[a, b]$ is with respect to the inner product

(2.12) $$(u, v)_m \equiv \int_a^b \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{m} D^j u(x) \cdot D^j v(x) \right\} dx, \quad u, v \in W^{m,2}[a, b],$$

and we denote the norm associated with this inner product by $\|\cdot\|_m$. The space $W_0^{m,2}[a, b]$ is then the closure in the norm $\|\cdot\|_m$ of all infinitely differentiable functions with compact support in $[a, b]$. Finally,

(2.13) $$\|w\|_{L_\infty[a,b]} \equiv \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |w(x)|$$

denotes the uniform norm of any real-valued function $w(x)$ defined on $[a, b]$.

3. Two-point boundary value problems. As a particular application of the theory given in §2, consider the approximate solution of the following two-point nonlinear boundary value problem:

(3.1) $$M[u(x)] + f(x, u(x)) = 0, \quad a < x < b,$$

where

(3.1′) $$M[u(x)] \equiv \sum_{0 \le i,j \le n} (-1)^j D^j(\sigma_{i,j}(x) D^i u(x)), \quad n \ge 1, \quad D \equiv \frac{d}{dx},$$

subject to the homogeneous boundary conditions of

(3.2) $$D^j u(a) = D^j u(b) = 0, \quad 0 \le j \le n - 1.$$

For the coefficient functions $\sigma_{i,j}(x)$, $0 \le i, j \le n$, of (3.1′), we assume that

(i) the coefficient functions $\sigma_{i,j}(x)$, $0 \le i, j \le n$, are bounded, real-valued and measurable in x in $[a, b]$, and
(ii) there exists a positive constant c such that

(3.3) $$\int_a^b \left\{ \sum_{0 \le i,j \le n} \sigma_{i,j}(x) D^i w(x) \cdot D^j w(x) \right\} dx \ge c \, \|w\|_n^2$$

for all $w(x) \in W_0^{n,2}[a, b]$.

It follows from (3.3ii) that

(3.4) $$\Lambda \equiv \inf_{\substack{w \in W_0^{n,2}[a,b] \\ w \not\equiv 0}} \frac{\int_a^b \{\sum_{0 \le i,j \le n} \sigma_{i,j}(x) D^i w(x) \cdot D^j w(x)\} \, dx}{\int_a^b w^2(x) \, dx}$$

is *positive*. With respect to the function $f(x, u)$ of (3.1), we assume that

(i) $f(x, u)$ is a real-valued function on $[a, b] \times R$ such that $f(x, u_0(x)) \in L^2[a, b]$ for any $u_0(x) \in W_0^{n,2}[a, b]$, and
(ii) there exists a real constant γ such that

$$\frac{f(x, u) - f(x, v)}{u - v} \ge \gamma > -\Lambda$$

for almost all $x \in [a, b]$, and all $-\infty < u, v < +\infty$ w

(3.5) (iii) for each positive real number c, there exists a positive
such that

$$\frac{f(x, u) - f(x, v)}{u - v} \leq M(c)$$

for almost all $x \in [a, b]$, and all $-\infty < u, v < +$
and $|u| \leq c$, $|v| \leq c$.

With these assumptions, the following result is a slight ex
Theorem 7.1] to the nonselfadjoint case.

THEOREM 3.1. *With the assumptions of* (3.3) *and* (3.5), *the two-
boundary value problem of* (3.1)–(3.2) *has a unique generalized s*
$W_0^{n,2}[a, b]$. *Moreover, if* B^k *is any finite-dimensional subspace of*
the approximate Problem P^k (*cf.* (2.4)) *has a unique solution* $u_k(x)$
positive constants K_1 *and* K_2, *independent of the choice of* B^k, *such th*

(3.6) $\|D^i(u_k - u\|)_{L^\infty[a,b]} \leq K_1 \|u_k - u\|_n \leq K_2 \inf \{\|w_k - u\|_n;$

for all $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$.

PROOF. For any $u, v \in W_0^{n,2}[a, b]$, we formally define the '
form from (3.1):

$$(3.7) \qquad a(u, v) \equiv \int_a^b \Big\{ \sum_{0 \leq i,j \leq n} \sigma_{i,j}(x) D^i u(x) \cdot D^j v(x) + f(x, u(x)) \cdot v(x$$

From the assumptions of (3.3) and (3.5), it is easily seen that
$u \in W_0^{n,2}[a, b]$, there exists a constant $K = K_u$, depending only

$$(3.8) \qquad |a(u, v)| \leq K_u \|v\|_n \quad \text{for all } v \in W_0^{n,2}[a, b].$$

Consequently, $a(u, v)$ is for each $u \in W_0^{n,2}[a, b]$ a continuous linea
$v \in W_0^{n,2}[a, b]$, and we can thus write

$$(3.9) \qquad a(u, v) = (Tu, v)_n \quad \text{for all } u, v \in W_0^{n,2}[a, b],$$

where T defines a mapping of $W_0^{n,2}[a, b]$ into $W_0^{n,2}[a, b]$. That
bounded and finitely continuous also follows easily.

To show that T is strongly monotone, we have from (3.7) and

$$(Tu - Tv, u - v)_n = a(u, u - v) - a(v, u - v)$$

$$= \int_a^b \Big\{ \sum_{0 \leq i,j \leq n} \sigma_{i,j} D^i(u - v) \cdot D^j(u -$$

$$+ \left(\frac{f(x, u) - f(x, v)}{u - v} \right) (u - v)^2 \Big\} d$$

Using hypotheses (3.3ii) and (3.5ii) and the positivity of Λ, it then follows that

$$(3.10)\quad (Tu - Tv, u - v)_n \geq c\left(\frac{\Lambda + \min(\gamma, 0)}{\Lambda}\right)\|u - v\|_n^2 \qquad \text{for all } u, v \in W_0^{n,2}[a, b],$$

and hence, T is strongly monotone.

We now show that T is Lipschitz continuous for bounded arguments. For any $u, v, w \in W_0^{n,2}[a, b]$, we have, using Schwarz's inequality and hypothesis (3.5iii), that

$$\begin{aligned} |(Tu - Tv, w)_n| &= |a(u, w) - a(v, w)| \\ &= \left| \int_a^b \left\{ \sum_{0 \leq i, j \leq n} \sigma_{i,j} D^i(u - v) \cdot D^j w \right.\right. \\ &\qquad \left.\left. + \left(\frac{f(x, u) - f(x, v)}{u - v}\right)(u - v) \cdot w \right\} \right| dx \\ &\leq (\tau + M(c))\, \|u - v\|_n \cdot \|w\|_n, \end{aligned}$$

where we have assumed that $\tau \equiv \sum_{0 \leq i,j \leq n} \|\sigma_{i,j}\|_{L^\infty[a,b]}$, and that $\|u\|_{L^\infty[a,b]} \leq c$, $\|v\|_{L^\infty[a,b]} \leq c$. Thus,

$$(3.11)\quad \|Tu - Tv\|_n = \sup_{w \in W_0^{n,2}[a,b]} \frac{|(Tu - Tv, w)|}{\|w\|_n} \leq (\tau + M(c))\, \|u - v\|_n,$$

which establishes that T is Lipschitz continuous for bounded arguments. Finally, as a consequence of the Sobolev Imbedding Theorem in one dimension (cf. [**38**, p. 174]), we know that there exists a positive constant K_1 such that

$$\|D^i w\|_{L^\infty[a,b]} \leq K_1 \|w\|_n \quad \text{for all } w \in W_0^{n,2}[a, b], \quad \text{all } 0 \leq i \leq n - 1.$$

The remainder of Theorem 3.1 then follows immediately from Theorem 2.1. Q.E.D.

Our objective now is to specialize the general finite-dimensional subspaces of $W_0^{n,2}[a, b]$ to subspaces of L-splines, which were considered in [**2**] and [**34**]. To briefly explain the nature of L-splines, let L be any rth order linear differential operator of the form

$$(3.12)\qquad L[v(x)] = \sum_{j=0}^{r} c_j(x) D^j v(x), \quad r \geq 1,\ v \in C^r[a, b],$$

where we assume that the coefficient function $c_j(x)$ is in $C^j[a, b]$ for all $0 \leq j \leq r$, and that in addition there exists a positive constant ω such that

$$(3.13)\qquad c_r(x) \geq \omega > 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in [a, b].$$

Next, let Δ: $a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_{N+1} = b$ denote any partition of the interval $[a, b]$, and let $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_N)$, the *incidence vector* associated with Δ, be any vector with positive integer components z_i satisfying $1 \leq z_i \leq r$ for all $1 \leq i \leq N$. Then, $\mathrm{Sp}(L, \Delta, \mathbf{z})$ is defined [**34**] as the collection of all real-valued functions $s(x)$, called *L-splines*, defined on $[a, b]$, such that

$$(3.14)\quad \begin{array}{ll} L^*L[s(x)] = 0 & \text{for } x \in (x_i, x_{i+1}) \quad \text{for each } i, 0 \leq \\ D^k s(x_i-) = D^k s(x_i+) & \text{for all } 0 \leq k \leq 2r - 1 - z_i, 1 \leq \end{array}$$

where L^* denotes the formal adjoint of L, i.e., for any $v(x) \in C^r[a$

$$L^*[v(x)] \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{r} (-1)^j D^j(a_j(x)v(x)).$$

As an important special case, if $L[u(x)] \equiv D^r u(x)$, and $\hat{z}_1 = \hat{z}_2 =$ then the elements of $\mathrm{Sp}(D^r, \Delta, \hat{\mathbf{z}})$ are then simply *the natural spli* and $\mathrm{Sp}(D^r, \Delta, \hat{\mathbf{z}})$ becomes $\mathrm{Sp}^{(r)}(\Delta)$ in the notation of **[36]**. $L[u(x)] = D^r u(x)$ and $\tilde{z}_1 = \tilde{z}_2 = \cdots = \tilde{z}_N = r$, the elements of then simply the *Hermite piecewise-polynomial functions*, and $\mathrm{Sp}(D$ $H^{(r)}(\Delta)$ in the notation of **[12]** and **[36]**.

Given a function $f(x) \in C^{r-1}[a, b]$, where r is the order of the diff L of (3.12), there are various ways in which one might interpolate As a particular case, it is shown in **[34]** that there exists a $s(x) \in \mathrm{Sp}(L, \Delta, \mathbf{z})$ such that

$$(3.15)\quad \begin{array}{ll} D^k s(x_i) = D^k f(x_i), & 0 \leq k \leq z_i - 1, 1 \leq i \leq N, \\ D^k s(x_i) = D^k f(x_i), & 0 \leq k \leq r - 1 \text{ for i} = 0 \text{ and } i = N \end{array}$$

This element $s(x)$ is called the $\mathrm{Sp}(L, \Delta, \mathbf{z})$-*interpolate of* $f(x)$ example, if $f(x) \in C^1[a, b]$, if $L[u(x)] \equiv D^2 u(x)$, and if $\hat{z}_1 = \hat{z}_2 =$ then the piecewise-cubic function $s(x) \in \mathrm{Sp}(D^2, \Delta, \hat{z})$ which sati $r = 2$, is just the natural cubic spline interpolation (of Type I) o that, given the parameters $\alpha_i^{(k)}$, $0 \leq k \leq z_i - 1$, $0 \leq i \leq N + 1$ for convenience $z_0 = z_{N+1} = r$), there exists a unique function $s($ such that

$$D^k s(x_i) = \alpha_i^{(k)}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq z_i - 1, 0 \leq i \leq N + 1$$

and we denote by $\mathrm{Sp}^I(L, \Delta, \mathbf{z})$ the finite-dimensional subspace all such functions.

We now give some error bounds for interpolation in $\mathrm{Sp}^I(L$ partition $\Delta\colon a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_{N+1} = b$ of $[a, b]$, let $\bar{\Delta} \equiv \max$ and let $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \ldots, z_N)$ be any associated incidence vecto extension of results of [**12**, Theorems 7 and 9], it was show $f(x) \in W^{r,2}[a, b]$, then there exists a positive constant M su partition Δ of $[a, b]$ and *any* associated incidence vector $\mathbf{z}$,

$$(3.16)\quad \|D^j(f - s)\|_{L^2[a,b]} \leq M(\bar{\Delta})^{r-j} \|Lf\|_{L^2[a,b]}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq$$

where $s(x)$ is the unique $\mathrm{Sp}^I(L, \Delta, \mathbf{z})$-interpolate of $f(x)$ $f(x) \in W^{2r,2}[a, b]$, there exists a positive constant M' such that Δ and *any* associated incidence vector $\mathbf{z}$,

$$(3.17)\quad \|D^j(f - s)\|_{L^2[a,b]} \leq M'(\bar{\Delta})^{2r-j} \|L^*Lf\|_{L^2[a,b]}, \quad 0 \leq j$$

With these error bounds for interpolation in $\mathrm{Sp}^I(L, \Delta, \mathbf{z})$, we can apply the results of Theorem 3.1 as follows. For $r \geq n$, let $\mathrm{Sp}_0^I(L, \Delta, \mathbf{z})$ denote the subspace of $\mathrm{Sp}^I(L, \Delta, \mathbf{z})$ of elements which satisfy the homogeneous boundary conditions of (3.2). Then, it follows by construction that $\mathrm{Sp}_0^I(L, \Delta, \mathbf{z})$ is a finite-dimensional subspace of $W_0^{n,2}[a, b]$. Applying Theorem 3.1 with $B^k \equiv \mathrm{Sp}_0^I(L, \Delta, \mathbf{z})$ gives us (cf. [**14**, Theorems 7.2])

THEOREM 3.2. *With the assumptions of* (3.3) *and* (3.5), *let* $u(x)$ *be the unique generalized solution of* (3.1)–(3.2) *in* $W_0^n[a, b]$ *and for any partition* Δ *of* $[a, b]$, *and any associated incidence vector* $\mathbf{z}$, *let* $\hat{u}$ *be the unique solution of the approximate Problem* P^k *for the subspace* $B^k \equiv \mathrm{Sp}_0^I(L, \Delta, \mathbf{z})$, *where the order* r *of* L *satisfies* $r \geq n$. *Then there exist positive constants* K_1 *and* K_2, *independent of* Δ *and* $\mathbf{z}$, *such that if* $u(x) \in W^{t,2}[a, b]$ *with* $t \geq r$, *then*

$$(3.18) \qquad \|D^i(\hat{u} - u)\|_{L^\infty[a,b]} \leq K_1 \|\hat{u} - u\|_n \leq K_2(\bar{\Delta})^{r-n} \|Lu\|_{L^2[a,b]}$$

for all $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$. *Similarly, if* $u(x) \in W^{2t,2}[a, b]$ *with* $t \geq r$, *then there exist positive constants* K_1 *and* K_2', *independent of* Δ *and* $\mathbf{z}$, *such that*

$$(3.19) \qquad \|D^i(\hat{u} - u)\|_{L^\infty[a,b]} \leq K_1 \|\hat{u} - u\|_n \leq K_2'(\bar{\Delta})^{2r-n} \|L^*Lu\|_{L^2[a,b]}$$

for all $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$.

The error bounds of (3.19) of Theorem 3.2 can be improved, [**11**] and [**13**], if (i) the generalized solution $u(x)$ of (3.1)–(3.2) is smoother, say of class $W^{2m,2}[a, b]$ where $m = n + q$ and q is a nonnegative integer, and (ii) appropriate L-spline subspaces are selected. Specifically, suppose that we can express the differential operator M of (3.1′) as

$$(3.20) \qquad M[v(x)] = l^*l[v(x)] + \sum_{0 \leq i,j \leq k} (-1)^j D^j(\tilde{\sigma}_{i,j}(x) D^i v(x))$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}_{i,j}(x) \in C^i[a, b]$ for all $0 \leq i, j \leq k$, where $0 \leq k \leq n$, and

$$(3.21) \qquad l[v(x)] \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{n} \beta_j(x) D^j v(x),$$

where we assume that $\beta_j(x) \in C^j[a, b]$ for all $0 \leq j \leq n$, and that $\beta_n(x) \geq \omega > 0$ for all $x \in [a, b]$ for some positive constant ω. In this case, we select the finite-dimensional subspaces $H_q(l, \Delta, \mathbf{z})$ of $W_0^{n,2}[a, b]$, which are described in detail in [**30**] of this volume (see also [**22**] and [**28**]). The improved error bounds are then given by (cf. [**28**, Theorem 5])

THEOREM 3.3. *With the assumptions of* (3.3), (3.5), *and* (3.20), *assume that* $u(x)$, *the unique generalized solution of* (3.1)–(3.2) *in* $W_0^{n,2}[a, b]$, *is of class* $W^{2m,2}[a, b]$ *where* $m = n + q$, $q \geq 0$, *and for any partition* Δ: $a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_{N+1} = b$ *of* $[a, b]$ *and any associated incidence vector* $\mathbf{z} = (z_0, z_1, \ldots, z_{N+1})$ *with* $z_0 = z_{N+1} = m + q$ *and* $1 \leq z_i \leq m + q$ *for* $1 \leq i \leq N$, *let* $\hat{u}(x)$ *be the unique solution of the approximate problem* P^k *for the subspace* $B^k \equiv H_q(l, \Delta, \mathbf{z})$. *Then there exist positive* *constants* K_1 *and* K_2, *independent of* Δ *and* $\mathbf{z}$, *such that*

(3.22) $$\|D^i(\hat{u} - u)\|_{L^2[a,b]} \leq K_1(\bar{\Delta})^{2m-\max(\delta,i)}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n,$$

where $\delta \equiv \max\{2k - n;\ 0\}$, *and*

(3.23) $$\|D^i(\hat{u} - u)\|_{L^\infty[a,b]} \leq K_2(\bar{\Delta})^{2m-\max(\delta,i)-1/2}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n$$

To check the assumption in Theorem 3.3 that the generalized (3.1)–(3.2) is of class $W^{2m,2}[a, b]$, $m \geq n$, one can use known *reg* [**27**, Chapter 4]. For example, if the coefficient function $\sigma_{i,j}(x)$ o than just bounded and measurable (cf. (3.3i)), say of class $0 \leq i, j \leq n$, then the solution $u(x)$ is in $W^{2n,2}[a, b]$.

Improved error bounds in the uniform norm can be similarly somewhat stronger hypotheses (cf. [**28**, Theorem 5]).

THEOREM 3.4. *With the assumptions of Theorem* 3.3, *let* $\mathscr{F}$ *b subspaces* $H_q(l, \Delta, \mathbf{z})$ *of* $W_0^{n,2}[a, b]$ *such that* $\tilde{u}(x)$, *the unique* $H_q(l,$ *of* $u(x)$, *in the sense that*

(3.24) $$D^j\tilde{u}(x_i) = D^j u(x_i), \quad 0 \leq j \leq z_i - 1 - 2q,$$

for $z_i \geq 1 + 2q$, *satisfies for some positive constant* K'

(3.25) $$\|D^i(\tilde{u} - u)\|_{L^\infty[a,b]} \leq K'(\bar{\Delta})^{2m-i}$$

for all $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$, *all* $H_q(l, \Delta, \mathbf{z}) \in \mathscr{F}$.

If $u(x)$ *is in* $C^{2m}[a, b]$, *then there exists a positive constant* K_3 *such t*

(3.26) $$\|D^i(\hat{u} - u)\|_{L^\infty[a,b]} \leq K_3(\bar{\Delta})^{2m-\max(\delta,i)}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n -$$

and all $H_q(l, \Delta, \mathbf{z}) \in \mathscr{F}$.

Other finite-dimensional subspaces of $W_0^{n,2}[a, b]$ can of course Ritz-Galerkin approximation of the solution of (3.1)–(3.2). Thu sometimes useful in this regard [**1**], [**33**], and [**34**].

However, because the use of polynomial subspaces of $W_0^{n,}$ Galerkin methods is classic, and because the associated theory is p suited to the numerical result of the next section, we now summ cation of the classical results of D. Jackson and S. Bernstein approximation to the problem (3.1)–(3.2). Details can be found in

Let $P_0^{(N)}$ be the collection of all real polynomials $p_N(x)$ of de which satisfy the boundary conditions of (3.2), where $N > 2n -$ is a finite-dimensional subspace of $W_0^{n,2}[a, b]$, having dimensio Thus, using the inequalities of (3.6) of Theorem 3.1 in conjun results of D. Jackson (cf. [**24**, p. 66]) and S. Bernstein (cf. [**24**, gives us (cf. [**12**])

THEOREM 3.5. *With the assumption of* (3.3) *and* (3.5), *let* $u(x$ *generalized solution of* (3.1)–(3.2) *in* $W_0^{n,2}[a, b]$, *and let* $\hat{p}_N(x)$ *be the of the approximate problem* P^k *for the subspace* $B^k \equiv P_0^{(N)}$. *If* $u(x)$

$t \geq n$ and $N \geq \max(t, 2n-1)$, then there exist positive constants K_1 and K_2, independent of N, such that

$$\|D^i(\hat{p}_N - u)\|_{L^\infty[a,b]} \leq K_1 \|\hat{p}_N - u\|_n \leq \frac{K_2}{(N-n)^{t-n}} \, \omega\left(D^t u; \frac{1}{N-n}\right) \tag{3.27}$$

for all $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Moreover, if $u(x)$ can be extended to an analytic function in some domain which contains the real interval $[a, b]$, then there exists a constant μ with $0 \leq \mu < 1$ such that

$$\limsup_{N\to\infty} (\|D^i(\hat{p}_N - u)\|_{L^\infty[a,b]})^{1/N} \leq \mu \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq i \leq n-1. \tag{3.28}$$

The constant μ of (3.28) can be given a precise geometrical interpretation when the interval $[a, b]$ is such that $a = -1$ and $b = +1$. Let $\mathscr{E}_\rho$ be the largest ellipse in the complex plane with foci at $z = -1$ and $z = +1$ such that $u(z)$ is analytic in $\mathscr{E}_\rho$. If A and B are respectively the semimajor and semiminor axes of $\mathscr{E}_\rho$, then Bernstein has shown [**24**, p. 75] that

$$\mu = \frac{1}{A+B}. \tag{3.29}$$

In particular, if $u(z)$ is an entire function, then $\mu = 0$.

4. **Numerical results.** To show how the error estimates of the previous section compare with actual numerical results, we consider the particular special case of (3.1)–(3.2) [**12**], [**21**]:

$$-D^2u(x) + e^{u(x)} = 0, \quad 0 < x < 1, \tag{4.1}$$

subject to

$$u(0) = u(1) = 0. \tag{4.2}$$

For this problem, $\sigma_{1,1}(x) \equiv 1$ and $\sigma_{i,j}(x) \equiv 0$, $0 \leq i + j < 2$, in (3.1′), and the assumptions of (3.3) and (3.5) are all valid. Specifically, using the Rayleigh-Ritz inequality [**19**, p. 184], (3.3ii) is valid with $c = \pi^2/(1 + \pi^2)$, and Λ of (3.4) is π^2. Choosing any $u_0(x)$ in $W_0^{1,2}[0, 1]$ shows that (3.5 i) is satisfied, and γ can be chosen to be zero in (3.5ii). Similarly, (3.5iii) is easily seen to be valid.

A classical solution of (4.1)–(4.2) is known, viz.

$$u(x) = -\ln 2 + 2 \ln \{c \sec [c(x - 1/2)/2]\}, \quad c \doteqdot 1.3360557 \tag{4.3}$$

which can be extended to a function which is analytic in the region in the complex domain defined by an ellipse with foci at $z = 0$ and $z = 1$, and semiaxes 4.7 and 4.6. In this case, μ of (3.29) is approximately 0.107.

To give an application of Theorem 3.4, we choose $l = D$ in (3.21) with all $\tilde{\sigma}_{i,j}(x) \equiv 0$, and $k = 0$, and we choose $m = 2$, so that $n = q = 1$. Using a uniform partition $\Delta(h)$ of $[0, 1]$, i.e., $\Delta(h)$: $0 = x_0(h) < x_1(h) < \cdots < x_{N+1}(h) = 1$ where $x_j(h) \equiv j/(N + 1)$, the choice of the incidence vector $\mathbf{z} = (3, 2, 2, \ldots, 2, 3)^T$ is such that the finite-dimensional subspace $H_1(D, \Delta(h), \mathbf{z})$ of $W_0^{1,2}[0, 1]$, described

in §3, is in fact the *Hermite space* $H_0^{(2)}(\Delta(h))$ *of piecewise cubic poly*
this subspace, it is known [**4**], [**7**], and [**35**] that the inequality of (3.
any collection $\mathscr{F}$, and thus the inequality of (3.26) is valid, i.e., in t

$$\|\hat{u}(h) - u\|_{L^\infty[0,1]} \leq K_3(\bar{\Delta}(h))^4. \quad (4.4)$$

Table I below gives the associated numerical results for this case.

N	$\dim H_0^{(2)}(\Delta(h))$	$\|\hat{u}(h) - u\|_{L^\infty[0,1]}$	α
1	4	$5.10 \cdot 10^{-5}$	—
2	6	$1.21 \cdot 10^{-5}$	3.54
3	8	$4.24 \cdot 10^{-6}$	3.65
5	12	$9.58 \cdot 10^{-7}$	3.65
7	16	$3.10 \cdot 10^{-7}$	3.93
9	20	$1.28 \cdot 10^{-7}$	3.96

TABLE I

More accurate numerical results were obtained for the polynon
$P_0^{(N)}$, and these are given below in Table II. In this case, as previou
the semimajor and semiminor axes are respectively 4.7 and 4.6, so th
This means from Theorem 3.4 that for N large, we expect $\|\hat{p}_{N+1}$
be roughly 0.107 times $\|\hat{p}_N - u\|_{L^\infty[1,0]}$, which is already the cas
from Table II for N quite small.

N	$\dim P_0^{(N)}$	$\|p_N - \hat{u}\|_{L^\infty[0,1]}$
3	2	$4.23 \cdot 10^{-4}$
5	4	$3.12 \cdot 10^{-6}$
7	6	$5.03 \cdot 10^{-8}$

TABLE II

5. **Eigenvalue problems.** We next consider the eigenvalue prob

$$\mathscr{L}[u(x)] = \lambda \mathscr{M}[u(x)], \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad (5.1)$$

where

$$\mathscr{L}[u(x)] \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^j D^j(p_j(x) D^j u(x)),$$
$$\mathscr{M}[u(x)] \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{r} (-1)^j D^j(q_j(x) D^j u(x)), \quad (5.1')$$

subject to the homogeneous boundary conditions of

$$D^j u(0) = D^j u(1) = 0, \quad 0 \leq j \leq n - 1. \quad (5.2)$$

We assume that $0 \leq r < n$, and that the coefficient functions $p_j($
real-valued functions of class $C^j[0, 1]$, $0 \leq j \leq n$, and class $C^k[0,$

respectively, and in addition, we require that

$$p_n(x) \text{ and } q_r(x) \text{ do not vanish on } [0, 1]. \tag{5.3}$$

Letting $\mathscr{D}$ denote the set of real-valued functions in $C^{2n}[0, 1]$ which satisfy (5.2), we assume that (cf.(2.12))

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathscr{L}[u], v)_0 &= (u, \mathscr{L}[v])_0 \quad \text{for all } u, v \in \mathscr{D}, \\ (\mathscr{M}[u], v)_0 &= (u, \mathscr{M}[v])_0 \quad \text{for all } u, v \in \mathscr{D}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

and that there exist positive constants K and d such that

$$(\mathscr{L}[u], u)_0 \geq K(\mathscr{M}[u], u)_0 \geq d(u, u)_0 \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathscr{D}. \tag{5.5}$$

Defining the following inner products on $\mathscr{D}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (u, v)_D &\equiv (\mathscr{M}[u], v)_0 \quad \text{for all } u, v \in \mathscr{D}, \\ (u, v)_N &\equiv (\mathscr{L}[u], v)_0 \quad \text{for all } u, v \in \mathscr{D}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

denote by H_D and H_N the Hilbert space completions of $\mathscr{D}$ with respect to the norms $\|\cdot\|_D$ and $\|\cdot\|_N$, respectively. It is then well known [**15**], [**16**], [**17**] that solving the eigenvalue problems (5.1)–(5.2) is equivalent to finding the extreme values and critical points of the *Rayleigh quotient*:

$$R[w] \equiv \|w\|_N^2/\|w\|_D^2, \quad w(x) \in H_N. \tag{5.7}$$

With the above assumptions, it is well known [**8**] that the eigenvalue problem of (5.1)–(5.2) has countably many eigenvalues $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ which are real, have no finite limit point, and can be arranged as

$$0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_k \leq \lambda_{k+1} \leq \cdots. \tag{5.8}$$

Moreover, there is a corresponding sequence of eigenfunctions $\{\phi_j(x)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of (5.1)–(5.2) with $\phi_j(x) \in \mathscr{D}$, for which $\mathscr{L}[\phi_j] = \lambda_j \mathscr{M}[\phi_j]$. These eigenfunctions are orthonormal in the sense that

$$(\phi_i, \phi_j)_D = \delta_{i,j} \quad \text{for all } i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, \tag{5.9}$$

and the sequence $\{\phi_j(x)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is complete in H_D.

Employing the Rayleigh-Ritz method, i.e., finding the extremal values of $R[w]$ of (5.7) over particular finite-dimensional subspaces of H_N, the following results have been proved [**13**]. These results extend the results of Birkhoff, de Boor, Swartz, and Wendroff [**6**] for cubic spline functions, which correspond to the special case $m = 2$ and $n = 1$ of (5.10) and (5.11). We now state these results.

THEOREM 5.1. *With the assumptions of* (5.4)–(5.6), *let* $\{\Delta_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ *be a sequence of partitions of* $[0, 1]$, *let* $\{\mathbf{z}_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ *be a corresponding sequence of incidence vectors associated with* $\{\Delta_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$, *and let* $\hat{\lambda}_{k,j}$ *and* $\hat{\phi}_{k,j}(x)$ *be the kth-approximate eigenvalue and the kth-approximate eigenfunction of* (5.1)–(5.2), *obtained by applying the Rayleigh-Ritz method to the subspace* $\mathrm{Sp}_0(L, \Delta_j, \mathbf{z}_j)$ *of* H_N. *If the eigenfunctions* $\{\phi_i(x)\}_{i=1}^{k}$ *of* (5.1)–(5.2) *are of class* $W^{t,2}[0, 1]$, *with* $t \geq 2m \geq 2n$, *there exists a positive constant*

K_1, independent of j, and a positive integer j_0 such that

$$\lambda_k \le \hat{\lambda}_{k,j} \le \lambda_k + K_1(\bar{\Delta}_j)^{2(2m-n)} \quad \textit{for all } j \ge j_0. \tag{5.10}$$

Moreover, if the first k eigenvalues are simple, i.e., $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdot$ exists a positive constant K_2, independent of j, and a positive integer

$$\|\hat{\phi}_{k,j} - \phi_k\|_{L^\infty[0,1]} \le K\, \|\hat{\phi}_{k,j} - \phi_k\|_N \le K_2(\bar{\Delta}_j)^{2m-n} \quad \textit{for al} \tag{5.11}$$

Explicit calculations of eigenvalues by Birkhoff and de Boor exponent of $\bar{\Delta}$ in (5.10) is *best possible*. The analogue of this for th (5.11) is similarly true for the eigenfunction approximation in t However, in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^\infty[0,1]}$, the exponent of $\bar{\Delta}$ in (5.11) is *not* possible, and can in fact be improved using particular l-spli Specifically, it is shown in [**29**] for particular cases that the exponent can be *increased* to $2m$.

The choice of the polynomial subspace $P_0^{(m)}$ of H_N, where m similarly gives from the Rayleigh-Ritz a kth eigenvalue approxi λ_k and a kth eigenfunction approximation $\hat{\phi}_{k,m}(x)$ to $\phi_k(x)$. For s we again state the following result of [**13**].

THEOREM 5.2. *With the assumptions of (5.4)–(5.6), assume functions $\{\phi_i(x)\}_{i=1}^k$ of (5.1)–(5.2) are of class $C^t[0, 1]$, with $t \ge 2$ exist constants M_1 and M_2 such that*

$$\lambda_k \le \hat{\lambda}_{k,m} \le \lambda_k + M_1\left\{\frac{1}{(m-n)^{t-n}}\left[\max_{1\le i\le k} \omega\left(D^t\phi_i, \frac{1}{m-}\right.\right.\right. \tag{5.12}$$

for all $m \ge M_2$. Moreover, if $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots < \lambda_k$, there exi and M_4 such that

$$\|\hat{\phi}_{k,m} - \phi_k\|_{L^\infty[0,1]} \le K\, \|\hat{\phi}_{k,m} - \phi_k\|_N \le M_3 \times \left\{\frac{1}{(m-n)^{t-n}}\left[\max_{1\le i\le k} \omega\left(D^t\phi_i, \frac{1}{m-}\right.\right.\right. \tag{5.13}$$

for all $m \ge M_4$. If the eigenfunctions $\{\phi_i(x)\}_{i=1}^k$ can be extended to a in some domain in the complex plane which contains the interval exist two constants μ_1 and μ_2 with $0 \le \mu_1 < 1$ and $0 \le \mu_2 < 1$, su

$$\limsup_{m\to\infty}\, (\hat{\lambda}_{k,m} - \lambda_k)^{1/m} = \mu_1, \tag{5.14}$$

and

$$\limsup_{m\to\infty}\, (\|\hat{\phi}_{k,m} - \phi_k\|_{L^\infty[0,1]})^{1/m} = \mu_2. \tag{5.15}$$

There are extensive numerical results in [**6**] for cubic splines a subspaces as applied to the Mathieu equation. However, w complementary numerical results here for a simpler eigenvalue problem [**13**], [**20**], namely

(5.16) $$-D^2u(x) = \lambda u(x), \quad 0 < x < 1,$$

subject to the boundary conditions of

(5.17) $$u(0) = u(1) = 0.$$

If the quintic Hermite subspace $H_0^{(3)}(\Delta(h))$ is applied to (5.16)–(5.17), then the inequality of (5.10) of Theorem 5.1 is valid with $m = 3$, $n = 1$, i.e., the exponent of Δ_j in (5.10) is 10. The numerical results are given in Table III. On the other hand, since the eigenfunctions of (5.16)–(5.17) are entire functions, i.e., analytic in the entire complex plane, then (5.14) of Theorem 5.2 is valid with $\mu_1 = 0$. The exceedingly rapid convergence of the approximate eigenvalues in this case for the polynomial subspaces $P_0^{(m)}$ is given in Table IV.

h	$\dim (H_0^{(3)}(\Delta(h))$	$\hat{\lambda}_1(h) - \pi^2$	$\hat{\lambda}_2(h) - 4\pi^2$	$\hat{\lambda}_3(h) - 9\pi^2$	$\hat{\lambda}_4(h) - 16\pi^2$
1/2	7	$1.27 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$1.65 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.51 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$3.83 \cdot 10^{-1}$
1/3	10	$3.66 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$1.18 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$5.98 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.59 \cdot 10^{-2}$
1/4	13	$2.42 \cdot 10^{-10}$	$9.96 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$1.18 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.32 \cdot 10^{-2}$
1/5	16	$7.41 \cdot 10^{-11}$	$9.53 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$1.62 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$5.06 \cdot 10^{-4}$

TABLE III. Quintic Hermite Subspaces $H_0^{(3)}(\Delta(h))$

m	$\dim P_0^{(m)}$	$\hat{\lambda}_{1,m} - \pi^2$	$\hat{\lambda}_{2,m} - 4\pi^2$	$\hat{\lambda}_{3,m} - 9\pi^2$	$\hat{\lambda}_{4,m} - 16\pi^2$
4	3	$1.45 \cdot 10^{-4}$	2.52	13.3	—
6	5	$8.66 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$2.31 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$3.47 \cdot 10^{-1}$	42.6
8	7	$2.60 \cdot 10^{-12}$	$5.56 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$3.03 \cdot 10^{-3}$	2.08

TABLE IV. Polynomial Subspaces $P_0^{(m)}$

For further numerical results for Rayleigh-Ritz methods applied to piecewise-polynomial subspaces, see also [**6**], [**18**], and [**37**].

REFERENCES

1. J. H. Ahlberg and E. N. Nilson, *The approximation of linear functionals*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **3** (1966), 173–182.

2. J. H. Ahlberg, E. N. Nilson, and J. L. Walsh, *The theory of splines and their applications*, Academic Press, New York, 1967, 284 pp.

3. Jean-Pierre Aubin, *Approximation des espaces de distributions et des opérateurs differentiels*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, Mémoire 12, 1967, 139 pp.

4. G. Birkhoff and C. de Boor, *Error bounds for spline interpolation*, J. Math. Mech. **13** (1964), 827–836.

5. ———, "Piecewise polynomial interpolation and approximation," in *Approximation of functions*, edited by H. L. Garabedian, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1965, pp. 164–190.

6. G. Birkhoff, C. de Boor, B. Swartz, and B. Wendroff, *Rayleigh-Ritz approximation by piecewise cubic polynomials*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **3** (1966), 188–203.

7. G. Birkhoff, M. H. Schultz, and R. S. Varga, *Piecewise Hermite interp* *two variables with applications to partial differential equations*, Numer. Math. 1

8. Fred Brauer, *Singular self-adjoint boundary value problems for the di* $Lx = \lambda Mx$, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **88** (1958), 331–345.

9. F. E. Browder, *Existence and uniqueness theorems for solutions of n* *value problems*, Proc. Sympos. Appl. Math., vol. 17, Amer. Math. Soc., 1965, 24–49.

10. J. Céa, *Approximation variationelle des problèmes aux limites*, Ann. (1964), 345–444.

11. P. G. Ciarlet, *An* $O(h^2)$ *method for a non-smooth boundary value proble* **2** (1968), 33–49.

12. P. G. Ciarlet, M. H. Schultz, and R. S. Varga, *Numerical methods of h* *for nonlinear boundary value problems. I. One dimensional problem*, Numer 394–430.

13. ———, *Numerical methods of high-order accuracy for nonlinear bounda* *III. Eigenvalue problems*, Numer. Math. **12** (1968), 120–133.

14. ———, *Numerical methods of high-order accuracy for nonlinear bounda* *V. Monotone operator theory*, Numer. Math. **13** (1969), 51–77.

15. L. Collatz, *The numerical treatment of differential equations*, 3rd ed Berlin, 1960, 568 pp.

16. R. Courant, *Variational methods for the solution of problems of equilibri* Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **49** (1943), 1–23.

17. R. Courant and D. Hilbert, *Methods of mathematical physics*, vol New York, 1953, 561 pp.

18. C. C. Farrington, R. T. Gregory, and A. H. Taub, *On the numerical* *Liouville differential equations*, Math. Tables Aids Comput. **11** (1957), 131–1

19. G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, 2nd ed., Press, 1952, 324 pp.

20. R. J. Herbold, *Consistent quadrature schemes for the numerical solution* *problems by variational techniques*, Doctoral Thesis, Case Western Reserve U

21. R. J. Herbold, M. H. Schultz, and R. S. Varga, *Quadrature schemes* *solution of boundary value problems by variational techniques*, Aequat. Math. (

22. B. L. Hulme, *Interpolation by Ritz approximation*, J. Math. Mech. **1**

23. H. B. Keller, *Numerical methods for two-point boundary-value pr* Waltham, Mass., 1968, 184 pp.

24. G. G. Lorentz, *Approximation of functions*, Holt, New York, 1966, 1

25. S. G. Mikhlin, "Variational methods of solving linear and non-linea problems" in *Differential equations and their applications*, edited by I. B Press, New York, 1963, pp. 77–92.

26. G. Minty, *Monotone (nonlinear) operators in Hilbert space*, Duke M 341–346.

27. J. Nečas, *Les méthodes directes en théorie des équations elliptiques*, Ma 1967, 351 pp.

28. F. M. Perrin, H. S. Price, and R. S. Varga, *On higher-order numeric* *linear two-point boundary value problems*, Numer. Math. **13** (1969), 180–198

29. J. G. Pierce and R. S. Varga, *Higher order convergence results for* *method applied to a special class of eigenvalue problems* (to appear).

30. H. S. Price and R. S. Varga, *Error bounds for semidiscrete Galerkin* *parabolic problems with applications to petroleum reservoir mechanics*, t pp. 74–94.

31. M. E. Rose, *Finite difference schemes for differential equations*, Math. 179–195.

32. I. J. Schoenberg, *Contributions to the problem of approximation of* *analytic functions. Parts* A *and* B, Quart. Appl. Math. **4** (1946), 45–99, 112-

33. ———, *On the Ahlberg-Nilson extension of spline interpolation: the* *optimal properties*, MRC Technical Summary Report 716, Madison, Wiscons

34. M. H. Schultz and R. S. Varga, *L-splines*, Numer. Math. **10** (1967),

35. A. Sharma and A. Meir, *Degree of approximation of spline interpolati* **15** (1966), 759–767.

36. R. S. Varga, "Hermite interpolation-type Ritz methods for two-point boundary value problems" in *Numerical solution of partial differential equations*, edited by J. H. Bramble, pp. 365–373, Academic Press, New York, 1966.

37. B. Wendroff, *Bounds for eigenvalues of some differential operators by the Rayleigh-Ritz method*, Math. Comp. **19** (1965), 218–224.

38. K. Yosida, *Functional analysis*, Academic Press, New York, 1965, 458 pp.

39. E. H. Zarantonello, *Solving functional equations by contractive averaging*, MRC Technical Report 160, Madison, Wisconsin, 1960.

CASE WESTERN RESERVE UNIVERSITY