## ON POWERS OF NON-NEGATIVE MATRICES

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{BY}}$$  J. C. HOLLADAY and R. S. VARGA

Reprinted from the Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society Vol. 9, No. 4, pp. 631-634
August, 1958

## ON POWERS OF NON-NEGATIVE MATRICES

JOHN C. HOLLADAY AND RICHARD S. VARGA<sup>1</sup>

Let  $A = ||a_{i,j}||$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix consisting of non-negative elements. It is well known [1, p. 463] that A is *primitive* if and only if, for some positive integer n,  $A^n$  has all its elements positive. One needs to know only this property of primitive matrices to understand this paper. If  $A^k$  is positive (i.e. has all its elements positive), then  $A^h$  is also positive for all integers h > k [1, p. 463]. Letting A be primitive, we shall define  $\gamma(A)$  as the smallest positive integer h such that  $A^h$  is positive.

Wielandt [2, p. 648] stated without proof the inequality<sup>3</sup>

$$\gamma(A) \le n^2 - 2n + 2,$$

and gave an example to show that  $\gamma(A)$  could equal  $n^2-2n+2$ . In the special case that all the diagonal elements of A are positive, Wielandt [2, p. 644] showed that one may obtain the better bound

$$\gamma(A) \le n - 1.$$

In this paper, we show that when there are one or more positive diagonal elements of A (or of one of its low order powers), bounds may be found for  $\gamma(A)$  which are better than (1), although not necessarily as good as (2). We shall also give an easy proof of (1).

In our discussion, we shall assume that the matrix A is non-negative and primitive.<sup>4</sup> Let J be the set of positive integers one through n. For L a subset of J, define  $F^0(L) = L$  and, by induction, for h a positive integer, define  $F^h(L)$  as the set of all  $i \in J$  such that for some  $j \in F^{h-1}(L)$ ,  $a_{i,j} > 0$ . For h a non-negative integer, and  $j \in J$ , define  $F^h(j)$  as  $F^h(L)$  where L is the set containing j and only j. We remark that, for h a positive integer, the element of  $A^h$  in the ith row and jth column is positive if and only if  $i \in F^h(j)$ .

LEMMA 1. F(J) = J.

Received by the editors January 16, 1958.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Work done under the auspices of the A.E.C.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> One may also use Lemma 1 of this paper.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Others, in examining the fundamental properties of non-negative primitive matrices have indirectly obtained bounds for  $\gamma(A)$ . For example, as pointed out by Wielandt [2, p. 647], Frobenius [1, p. 463] indirectly obtained the bound  $2n^2-2n$ , while Herstein [3, p. 20] indirectly obtained the bound  $n^n$  for  $\gamma(A)$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This obviously implies that A is irreducible. See [1, p. 463].

PROOF. For  $j \in J$ ,  $J = F^{\gamma(A)}(j) \subseteq F^{\gamma(A)}(J) = F[F^{\gamma(A)-1}(J)] \subseteq F(J) \subseteq J$ .

Lemma 2. If L is a proper subset of J, then F(L) contains some element not in L.

PROOF. If not, then  $J \supseteq L \supseteq F(L) \supseteq \cdots \supseteq F^{\gamma(A)}(L) = J$  which contradicts  $J \neq L$ .

COROLLARY. If  $h \le n-1$ , then  $\{j\} \cup F(j) \cup \cdots \cup F^h(j)$  contains at least h+1 elements.

PROOF. This is obviously true for h=0. Using mathematical induction, assume it is true for some  $0 \le h \le n-1$ . Set  $L = \{j\} \cup \cdots \cup F^h(j)$ , and apply Lemma 2.

We remark that, given  $j \in J$ , the set of integers h such that  $j \in F^h(j)$  is a semigroup. Therefore, properties described below may be easily observed by observing the first few iterates of A.

LEMMA 3. Let k be a non-negative integer, and  $j \in J$ . For  $h \ge k$ , let  $j \in F^h(j)$ . Then,  $F^{n-1+k}(j) = J$ .

PROOF. The corollary above implies that  $\{j\} \cup \cdots \cup F^{n-1}(j) = J$ . For each  $0 \le h \le n-1$ ,  $j \in F^{n-1+k-h}(j)$ , and so  $F^h(j) \subseteq F^{n-1+k}(j)$ . Therefore,  $J = \bigcup_{h=0}^{n-1} F^h(j) \subseteq F^{n-1+k}(j) \subseteq J$ .

THEOREM 1. Let k be a non-negative integer. Let there be at least d>0 elements j of J such that for  $h \ge k$ , the jth diagonal element of  $A^h$  is positive. Then,  $\gamma(A) \le 2n - d - 1 + k$ .

PROOF. The corollary above implies that, for each  $j \in J$ , there exists  $0 \le h \le n-d$  such that  $F^h(j)$  contains at least one of the d elements described above. Then,

$$J \supseteq F^{2n-d-1+k}(j) = F^{n-d-h}\{F^{n-1+k}[F^{h}(j)]\} \supseteq F^{n-d-h}(J) = J.$$

COROLLARY. Let at least d>0 of the diagonal elements of A be positive. Then,  $\gamma(A) \leq 2n-d-1.5$ 

THEOREM 2. Let h be a positive integer, and let  $A + A^2 + \cdots + A^h$  have at least d > 0 of its diagonal elements positive. Then,  $\gamma(A) \leq n - d + h(n-1)$ .

PROOF. Let j be one of the d elements such that  $j \in F^p(j)$  for some  $p, 1 \le p \le h$ . Then, if we substitute 0 for k, and  $F^p$  for F, we may apply Lemma 3, and conclude that  $F^{(n-1)p}(j) = J$ . Choose arbitrarily  $j' \in J$ . Then, the corollary to Lemma 2 implies that there exists an l,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> If all the diagonal elements of A are positive, then d=n, and the inequality of the corollary reduces to Wielandt's result (2).

 $\begin{array}{l} 0 \leq l \leq n-d \text{ such that } F^l(j') \text{ contains at least one of these } d \text{ elements.} \\ \text{Therefore,} \quad J \supseteq F^{n-d+h(n-1)}(j') = F^{n-d-l+(h-p)(n-1)} \left\{ F^{p(n-1)} \left[ F^l(j') \right] \right\} \\ \supseteq F^{n-d-l+(h-p)(n-1)}(J) = J, \text{ since } n-d-l+(h-p)(n-1) \geq 0. \end{array}$ 

COROLLARY. Let A be non-negative and positively symmetric in that  $a_{i,j} > 0$  if and only if  $a_{j,i} > 0$ . Then,  $\gamma(A) \leq 2(n-1)$ .

PROOF.  $A^2$  has all its diagonal elements positive. Now, apply Theorem 2.

Theorem 3.  $\gamma(A) \leq n^2 - 2n + 2$ .

PROOF. Given  $j \in J$ , consider the case where  $\{j\} \cup \cdots \cup F^{n-2}(j) \neq J$ . Then, for  $1 \leq h \leq n-1$ ,  $F^h(j)$  contains exactly one element not in  $\{j\} \cup \cdots \cup F^{h-1}(j)$ . Let p be the smallest positive integer such that  $F^p(j)$  contains at least two elements. Then, there exists an integer m < p such that m > 0 (unless p = 1, in which case m = 0) and such that  $F^m(j) \subseteq F^p(j) = F^{m+(p-m)}(j) \subseteq F^{m+2(p-m)}(j) \subseteq \cdots$ . Lemma 2 implies that  $F^{m+(n-1)(p-m)}(j) = J$ . But  $p \leq n$  implies that

$$m + (n-1)(p-m) = p + (n-2)(p-m) \le n^2 - 2n + 2.$$

If  $\{j\} \cup \cdots \cup F^{n-2}(j) = J$ , then there exists an integer h,  $0 \le h \le n-1$ , such that  $F^0(j) \subseteq F^h(j) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq F^{(n-1)h}(j) = J$ . But,  $(n-1)h \le n^2 - 2n + 1 < n^2 - 2n + 2$ . This completes the proof.

Let A and B be two non-negative primitive matrices such that if  $A = ||a_{i,j}||$ , and  $B = ||b_{i,j}||$ , then  $a_{i,j} > 0$  implies that  $b_{i,j} > 0$ . It is clear that  $\gamma(A) \ge \gamma(B)$ . Furthermore, if B has many positive elements for which there are no corresponding positive elements of A, then one would expect to have  $\gamma(A) > \gamma(B)$ . We shall show that when there are many positive off-diagonal elements of a non-negative primitive matrix, some of the preceding inequalities may be improved.

Given a positive integer j,  $1 \le j \le n$ , define X(j) as the number of elements  $a_{i,j}$ ,  $i \ne j$ , for which  $a_{i,j} > 0$ . Then, the corollary to Lemma 2 implies that  $X(j) \ge 1$  whenever n > 1, for all j. Whenever X(j) > 1, we may improve the result of the corollary to Lemma 2 by observing that if  $1 \le h \le n - X(j)$ , then  $\{j\} \cup F(j) \cup \cdots \cup F^h(j)$  contains at least h + X(j) elements. If we use this result in the proofs of Lemma 3 and Theorem 1, we obtain the following improvements.

Lemma 4. Let k and j be as in Lemma 3. Then,  $F^{n-X(j)+k}(j) = J$ .

THEOREM 4. Let A be as in Theorem 1. Let  $X_1$  be the minimum of X(j) for the d elements  $j \in J$ . Let  $X_2$  be the minimum of X(j) for the remaining n-d elements  $j \in J$ . Then,

$$\gamma(A) \leq 2n - d - X_1 - \min[X_2 - 1; n - d] + k.$$

Corollary. Let d > 0 of the diagonal elements of A be positive. Then,

$$\gamma(A) \le 2n - d - X_1 - \min[X_2 - 1; n - d].$$

A similar improvement may also be obtained for Theorem 2.

For any non-negative irreducible matrix, we may define the (irreducible) order of A, denoted by  $\Lambda(A)$ , as the smallest positive integer h such that  $I+A+A^2+\cdots+A^h$  is positive, or equivalently,  $\{j\}\cup\cdots\cup F^h(j)=J$  for each j. By definition of irreducibility, it is clear that  $\Lambda(A)\leq n-1$ . If  $\Lambda(A)$  is less than n-1, and the value of  $\Lambda(A)$  is known, many of the preceding inequalities may be improved. We summarize how the order of A may be used to sharpen respectively the results of Lemma 4, Theorem 4, and its corollary above. These results are respectively:

(3) 
$$F^{\min[n-X(j); \Lambda(A)]+k}(j) = J,$$

(4) 
$$\gamma(A) \leq \min [n - X_1; \Lambda(A)] + \min \{n - d - \min [X_2 - 1; n - d]; \Lambda(A)\} + k,$$

$$\gamma(A) \leq \min [n - X_1; \Lambda(A)]$$

(5) 
$$\frac{\gamma(A) \leq \min\{n - A_1; \Lambda(A)\}}{+ \min\{n - d - \min[X_2 - 1; n - d]; \Lambda(A)\}}.$$

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. G. Frobenius, Über Matrizen aus nicht negativen Elementen, Sitzungsberichte der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin, 1912, pp. 456–477.
- 2. Helmut Wielandt, Unzerlegbare, nicht negativen Matrizen, Math. Zeit. vol. 52 (1950) pp. 642–648.
- 3. I. N. Herstein, A note on primitive matrices, Amer. Math. Monthly vol. 61 (1954) pp. 18–20.

Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory of the University of California and Westinghouse Electric Corporation, Bettis Plant