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#### Abstract

Let $K$ and $L$ be two convex bodies in $\mathbb{R}^{5}$. Assume that their orthogonal projections $K \mid H$ and $L \mid H$ onto every 4 -dimensional subspace $H$ are directly $S U(2)$-congruent, i.e., they coincide up to a $S U(2)$-rotation for some complex structure in $H$ and a translation in $H$. We prove that the bodies coincide up to a translation and a reflection in the origin, provided that the set of diameters of one of the bodies is contained in a finite union of two-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{5}$. We obtain this result as a consequence of a more general statement about a functional equation on the unit sphere.


Keywords Projections of convex bodies • Spherical Funk Transform • Bodies with directly congruent projections

## 1 Introduction

In this paper we address the following problem (cf., for example, [2, Problem 3.2, p. 125]).
Problem 1 Let $2 \leq k \leq d-1$. Assume that $K$ and $L$ are convex bodies in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ such that the projections $K \mid H$ and $L \mid H$ are congruent for all $H \in \mathcal{G}(d, k)$. Is $K$ a translate of $\pm L$ ?

Here we say that $K \mid H$, the projection of $K$ onto $H$, is congruent to $L \mid H$ if there exists an orthogonal transformation $\varphi \in O(k, H)$ in $H$ such that $\varphi(K \mid H)$ is a translate of $L \mid H$; $\mathcal{G}(d, k)$ stands for the Grassmann manifold of all $k$-dimensional subspaces in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.

Recently, Myroshnychenko [6] together with the author gave an affirmative answer to Problem 1 in the class of polytopes. We refer the reader to $[1,3,5,7]$ and [8], for the history and some partial results related to Problem 1.

Our first result is

[^0]Theorem 1 Let $K$ and $L$ be two convex bodies in $\mathbb{R}^{5}$. Assume that for every $\xi \in S^{4}$ the projections $K \mid \xi^{\perp}$ and $L \mid \xi^{\perp}$ are directly $S U(2)$-congruent, i.e., for every $\xi \in S^{4}$ there is a rotation $\varphi_{\xi} \in S U\left(2, \xi^{\perp}\right)$ for some complex structure in $\xi^{\perp}$ and a vector $a_{\xi} \in \xi^{\perp}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\xi}\left(K \mid \xi^{\perp}\right)+a_{\xi}=L \mid \xi^{\perp} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $K+b=L$ or $-K+b=L$ for some $b \in \mathbb{R}^{5}$, provided that the set of diameters of one of the bodies is contained in a finite union of two-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{5}$.

We obtain Theorem 1 as a consequence of a more general statement about a functional equation on the unit sphere. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(g_{e}\right)=\left\{x \in S^{4}: g_{e}(x)=\max _{S^{4}} g_{e}\right\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the set of directions of the maxima of the even part of a continuous function $g$ defined on $S^{4}$. We have

Theorem 2 Let $f$ and $g$ be two continuous functions on $S^{4}$. Assume that for every $\xi \in S^{4}$ there is a rotation $\varphi_{\xi} \in S U\left(2, \xi^{\perp}\right)$ for some complex structure in $\xi^{\perp}$ and a vector $a_{\xi} \in \xi^{\perp}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\varphi_{\xi}(x)\right)+a_{\xi} \cdot x=g(x) \quad \forall x \in S^{4} \cap \xi^{\perp} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists $b \in \mathbb{R}^{5}$ such that $f(x)+b \cdot x=g(x)$ for all $x \in S^{4}$ or $f(-x)+b \cdot x=g(x)$ for all $x \in S^{4}$, provided that $M\left(g_{e}\right)$ is contained in a finite union of large 1-dimensional circles of $S^{4}$.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we recall some definitions and prove several auxiliary Lemmata that will be used later. We prove Theorems 2 and 1 in Sects. 3 and 4.

### 1.1 Notation

We denote by $S^{4}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{5}:|x|=1\right\}$ the set of all unit vectors in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{5}$. For any unit vector $\xi \in S^{4}$ we let $\xi^{\perp}$ to be the orthogonal complement of $\xi$ in $\mathbb{R}^{5}$, i.e., the set of all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{5}$ such that $x \cdot \xi=0$; here $x \cdot \xi$ stands for a usual scalar product of $x$ and $\xi$ in $\mathbb{R}^{5}$. The notation for the orthogonal group $O(k)$ and the special orthogonal group $S O(k), k \geq 2$, is standard; $\operatorname{span}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)$ stands for a $m$-dimensional subspace that is a linear span of linearly independent vectors $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}, m \geq 1$. We will write $f_{e}$ and $f_{o}$ for the even and odd parts of the function $f$,

$$
f_{e}(x)=\frac{f(x)+f(-x)}{2}, \quad f_{o}(x)=\frac{f(x)-f(-x)}{2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{5} .
$$

## 2 Auxiliary definitions and results

We introduce a complex structure in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ by identifying it with $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. We will say that two bodies $A$ and $B$ in $\mathbb{R}^{4}=\mathbb{C}^{2}$ are directly $S U(2)$-congruent if there exists a vector $a \in \mathbb{R}^{4}$ and a $S U(2)$-rotation $\varphi_{\mathbb{R}^{4}}$ such that $\varphi(A)+a=B$.

Consider any 4-dimensional subspace $\xi^{\perp}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{5}$ orthogonal to $\xi \in S^{4}$. We say that $\varphi_{\xi} \in$ $S O\left(4, \xi^{\perp}\right)$, meaning that there exists a choice of an orthonormal basis in $\mathbb{R}^{5}$ and a rotation $\Phi \in S O(5)$, with a matrix written in this basis, such that the action of $\Phi$ on $\xi^{\perp}$ is the rotation $\varphi_{\xi}$ in $\xi^{\perp}$, and the action of $\Phi$ on $l(\xi)=\left(\xi^{\perp}\right)^{\perp}$ is trivial, i.e., $\Phi(y)=y$ for every $y \in l(\xi)$.

We say that a rotation $\varphi_{\xi}$ is in $S U\left(2, \xi^{\perp}\right)$ if its matrix $A_{\xi}$ with respect to a certain basis in $\xi^{\perp "}={ }^{\prime \prime} \mathbb{R}^{4 "}=" \mathbb{C}^{2}$ is of the form (see [9], page 130):

$$
A_{\xi}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
e^{i \varphi} & 0 \\
0 & e^{-i \varphi}
\end{array}\right], \quad \varphi \in[-\pi, \pi] .
$$

Here the invariant subspaces of $\varphi_{\xi}$ (for $\varphi \neq 0, \pi$ ) are the orthogonal complex lines (twodimensional real subspaces of $\left.\xi^{\perp}\right) l_{1}=l_{1}(\xi)$ and $l_{2}=l_{2}(\xi)$; the restriction $\left.\varphi \xi\right|_{l_{1}}$ is equivalent to a multiplication by $e^{i \varphi}$, and the restriction $\left.\varphi_{\xi}\right|_{l_{2}}$ is equivalent to a multiplication by $e^{-i \varphi}$.

We identify $S U\left(2, \xi^{\perp}\right)$ with a subgroup of $S O\left(4, \xi^{\perp}\right)$ of the so-called isoclinic rotations, [11].

Lemma 1 If $f$ and $g$ verify the conditions of Theorem 2, then $f_{e}=g_{e}$ on $S^{4}$.
Proof Comparing the even parts of Eq. (3) we have

$$
f_{e}\left(\varphi_{\xi}(u)\right)=g_{e}(u) \text { for any } \xi \in S^{4} \quad \text { and any } \quad u \in S^{4} \cap \xi^{\perp} .
$$

Integrating over $S^{4} \cap \xi^{\perp}$ and using the invariance of the Lebesgue measure under rotations, we obtain

$$
\int_{S^{4} \cap \xi^{\perp}} f_{e}\left(\varphi_{\xi}(u)\right) d \sigma(u)=\int_{S^{4} \cap \xi^{\perp}} f_{e}(u) d \sigma(u)=\int_{S^{4} \cap \xi^{\perp}} g_{e}(u) d \sigma(u) .
$$

In other words, $F f_{e}=F g_{e}$ on $S^{4}$, where

$$
F f_{e}(\xi)=\int_{S^{4} \cap \xi^{\perp}} f_{e}(u) d \sigma(u), \quad \xi \in S^{4}
$$

is the Funk transform on $S^{4}$. Since it is injective on even functions (see [4], Corollary 2.7, p. 128), we obtain the desired result.

From now on in this section we will assume that the functions are odd.
Lemma 2 (cf. Lemma 1 [7]). Let $z \in S^{4}$ and let $S^{4} \cap z^{\perp}=\Lambda_{0} \cup \Lambda_{\pi}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Lambda_{0}=\left\{\xi \in S^{4} \cap z^{\perp}: f_{o}(x)=g_{o}(x) \quad \forall x \in S^{4} \cap \xi^{\perp}\right\}, \\
& \Lambda_{\pi}=\left\{\xi \in S^{4} \cap z^{\perp}:-f_{o}(x)=g_{o}(x) \quad \forall x \in S^{4} \cap \xi^{\perp}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $f_{o}=g_{o}$ on $S^{4}$ or $f_{o}=-g_{o}$ on $S^{4}$.
Proof Observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall x \in S^{4}, \quad S^{4}=\bigcup_{\left\{\xi \in S^{4} \cap z^{\perp} \cap x^{\perp}\right\}}\left(S^{4} \cap \xi^{\perp}\right) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, for any $y \in S^{4}$ we take $\xi \in S^{4} \cap z^{\perp} \cap x^{\perp} \cap y^{\perp}$ to obtain that $y \in S^{4} \cap \xi^{\perp}$.
Assume that there exists $x \in S^{4}$ such that ( $\left.S^{4} \cap z^{\perp} \cap x^{\perp}\right) \subset \Lambda_{0}$, then, using (4), we see that $f_{o}=g_{o}$ on $S^{4}$. Similarly, if there exists $x \in S^{4}$ such that $\left(S^{4} \cap z^{\perp} \cap x^{\perp}\right) \subset \Lambda_{\pi}$, then, $f_{o}=-g_{o}$ on $S^{4}$.

On the other hand, if for any $x \in S^{4}$ there exists two directions $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2} \in S^{4} \cap z^{\perp} \cap x^{\perp}$, $\xi_{1} \neq \pm \xi_{2}$, such that $\xi_{1} \in \Lambda_{0}$ and $\xi_{2} \in \Lambda_{\pi}$, then $f_{o}(x)=g_{o}(x)=-f_{o}(x)=0$. Hence, $f_{o}=g_{o}=0$ on $S^{4}$.

Let $z \in S^{4}$. Define

$$
\Xi_{0}=\left\{\xi \in S^{4} \cap z^{\perp}: f_{o}(x)+a_{\xi} \cdot x=g_{o}(x) \quad \forall x \in S^{4} \cap \xi^{\perp}\right\},
$$

and

$$
\Xi_{\pi}=\left\{\xi \in S^{4} \cap z^{\perp}:-f_{o}(x)+a_{\xi} \cdot x=g_{o}(x) \quad \forall x \in S^{4} \cap \xi^{\perp}\right\} .
$$

Theorem 3 (cf. Theorem 1.3 [5]). Let $f$ and $g$ be two odd continuous functions on $S^{4}$ and let $z \in S^{4}$. Assume that $S^{4} \cap z^{\perp}=\Xi_{0} \cup \Xi_{\pi}$. Then there exists $b \in \mathbb{R}^{5}$ such that for all $u \in S^{4}$ we have $g_{o}(u)=f_{o}(u)+b \cdot u$, or for all $u \in S^{4}$ we have $g_{o}(u)=-f_{o}(u)+b \cdot u$.

Proof Since the proof is very similar to the one of Theorem 1.3, [5], we sketch it briefly. Take $n=5$ in Theorem 1.3 and Lemma 4.3 [5]. Repeating the argument, we obtain $S^{4} \cap z^{\perp}=$ $\Lambda_{0} \cup \Lambda_{\pi}$ (except an obvious difference with the definitions of $\Xi_{0}$ and $\Xi_{\pi}$ in this note and in [5], Lemmata 3.7 and 3.8 follow without any changes). It remains to apply the previous lemma with the sets $\Lambda_{0}$ and $\Lambda_{\pi}$ that are defined analogously to those in Lemma 4.2, [5], and with the functions $\tilde{f}_{o}$ and $\tilde{g}_{o}$ that appear in the proof of Lemma 4.3 [5].

## 3 Proof of Theorem 2

Assume at first that the set of maxima of $g_{e}$ consists of two opposite points, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(g_{e}\right)=\left\{x \in S^{4}: g_{e}(x)=\max _{S^{4}} g_{e}\right\}=\{ \pm z\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $z \in S^{4}$. Consider any $\xi \in S^{4} \cap z^{\perp}$. We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\xi}\left(f_{e}\right)=\left\{x \in S^{4} \cap \xi^{\perp}: f_{e}(x)=M\left(f_{e}\right)\right\}=\{ \pm z\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

To show (6), observe at first that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{S^{4} \cap \xi^{\perp}} f_{e}=g_{e}(z) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, let $y \in S^{4} \cap \xi^{\perp}$ be such that $f_{e}(y)=\max _{S^{4} \cap \xi^{\perp}} f_{e}>g_{e}(z)$. Since the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{e}\left(\varphi_{\xi}(x)\right)=g_{e}(x) \quad \forall x \in S^{4} \cap \xi^{\perp} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

obtained by taking even parts of (3), is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{e}(y)=g_{e}\left(\varphi_{\xi}^{-1}(y)\right) \quad \forall y \in S^{4} \cap \xi^{\perp} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see that (9) does not hold, for, $f_{e}(y)>g_{e}(z) \geq g_{e}\left(\varphi_{\xi}^{-1}(y)\right)$. Hence, $\max _{S^{4} \cap \xi^{\perp}} f_{e} \leq g_{e}(z)$. Since $f_{e}\left(\varphi_{\xi}^{-1}(z)\right)=g_{e}(z)$, a similar argument shows that $\max _{S^{4} \cap \xi \perp} f_{e}$ may not be smaller than $g_{e}(z)$. We have proved (7).

Next, we observe that for each $\xi \in S^{3} \cap z^{\perp}$, the set $M_{\xi}\left(f_{e}\right)$ consists of two opposite points on $S^{4}$. Indeed, if the maximum were reached at two points $y_{1}, y_{2} \in S^{4} \cap \xi^{\perp}, y_{1} \neq \pm y_{2}$, then, using (9), we see that $g_{e}$ would reach the maximum at two different points $\varphi_{\xi}^{-1}\left(y_{1}\right)$ and $\varphi_{\xi}^{-1}\left(y_{2}\right) \neq \pm \varphi_{\xi}^{-1}\left(y_{1}\right)$. This contradicts (5).

Now we show (6). If it is $\{ \pm y\}$ for some $y \neq z, y \in S^{4} \cap \xi^{\perp}$, we take $\zeta \in\left(S^{3} \cap z^{\perp}\right) \backslash$ ( $S^{3} \cap y^{\perp}$ ). Since $y \notin S^{4} \cap \zeta^{\perp}$, Eq. (8) may not hold with $\xi=\zeta$. Thus, (6) holds, and we obtain $M\left(f_{e}\right)=M\left(g_{e}\right)=\{ \pm z\}$.

Using the previous identity and (8), we see that $\varphi_{\xi}(z)= \pm z$ for all $\xi \in S^{4} \cap z^{\perp}$. For, $\varphi_{\xi}(z)$ must be a point where the maximum of $f_{e}$ is reached. Hence, we can assume that for every $\xi \in S^{3} \cap z^{\perp}$ the angle of rotation of $\varphi_{\xi} \in S U\left(2, \xi^{\perp}\right)$ is zero or $\pi$ (since the rotations $\varphi_{\xi}$ are all isoclinic [11], any ray $r$ in $\xi^{\perp}$ emanating from the origin is not parallel to $\varphi_{\xi}(r)$, unless the angle of rotation is zero or $\pi$ ).

Thus, we can assume that for all $\xi \in S^{4} \cap z^{\perp}$, there exists $a_{\xi} \in \xi^{\perp}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)+a_{\xi} \cdot x=g(x) \quad \forall x \in S^{4} \cap \xi^{\perp} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(-x)+a_{\xi} \cdot x=g(x) \quad \forall x \in S^{4} \cap \xi^{\perp} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of Theorem 2 in the case when $M\left(g_{e}\right)$ consists of a pair of opposite points on $S^{4}$ now follows from Lemma 1 and Theorem 3.

Consider the general case. Assume that $M\left(g_{e}\right)$ is a subset $A$ of finitely many onedimensional large circles of $S^{4}, A \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} \mathbb{S}_{j}, \mathbb{S}_{j}=S^{4} \cap \Pi_{j}$, where $\Pi_{j}$ is a two-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{5}$.

Let $z \in A$ and let $\xi \in S^{4} \cap z^{\perp}$. Then, $\xi^{\perp} \supset \Pi_{j}$ if and only if $\xi \in \Pi_{j}^{\perp}, j=1, \ldots, k$. Consider

$$
G_{z}=\left(S^{4} \cap z^{\perp}\right) \backslash\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{k}\left(S^{4} \cap \Pi_{j}^{\perp}\right)\right.
$$

For every $\xi \in G_{z}$, the subspace $\xi^{\perp}$ does not contain any $\Pi_{j}$, and we have $\xi^{\perp} \cap A=\{ \pm z\}$. Then, for any $\xi \in G_{z}, M_{\xi}\left(g_{e}\right)=\{ \pm z\}$. Repeating the argument of the first part of the proof, we obtain (10), (11) for any $\xi \in G_{z}$. Since $G_{z}$ is dense in $S^{4} \cap z^{\perp}$, we have (10) and (11) for any $\xi \in S^{4} \cap z^{\perp}$ (for any $\xi \in S^{4} \cap z^{\perp}$ it is enough to consider a sequence of subspaces $\left\{\xi_{k}^{\perp}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}, \xi_{k} \in G_{z}, \xi_{k} \rightarrow \xi$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, for which (10) or (11) holds in the corresponding $\xi_{k}^{\perp}$, and pass to the limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$; one can use a converging subsequence of $\left\{a_{\xi_{k}}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ if necessary). It remains to apply Lemma 1 and Theorem 3.

The proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1

We denote by $h_{K}(x)$ the support function of a convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ it is defined as $h_{K}(x)=\sup _{y \in K} x \cdot y$, ([10], page 37), and it is a homogeneous function of degree 1 . The width of a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ in the direction $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, is defined as $\omega_{A}(x)=h_{A}(x)+h_{A}(-x)$. A segment $[z, y] \subset K$ is called a diameter of the convex body $K$ if $|z-y|=\max _{\left\{\theta \in S^{n-1}\right\}} \omega_{K}(\theta)$. We also define $M\left(\left.\omega_{L}\right|_{S^{4}}\right)$ as in (2).

We will use the following well-known properties of the support function. For every convex body $K$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{K \mid \xi^{\perp}}(x)=h_{K}(x) \text { and } h_{\varphi_{\xi}\left(K \mid \xi^{\perp}\right)}(x)=h_{K \mid \xi^{\perp}}\left(\varphi_{\xi}^{-1}(x)\right), \quad \forall x \in \xi^{\perp}, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see, for example [2, (0.21), (0.26), pages 17-18]); here $\varphi_{\xi}^{-1}$ stands for the inverse of $\varphi_{\xi} \in S O\left(4, \xi^{\perp}\right)$.

Theorem 1 can be reformulated in terms of support functions as follows.

Theorem 4 Let $K$ and $L$ be two convex bodies in $\mathbb{R}^{5}$. Assume that for every $\xi \in S^{4}$ there is a rotation $\varphi_{\xi} \in S U\left(2, \xi^{\perp}\right)$ for some complex structure in $\xi^{\perp}$ and a vector $a_{\xi} \in \xi^{\perp}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{K \mid \xi^{\perp}}\left(\varphi_{\xi}^{-1}(x)\right)+a_{\xi} \cdot x=h_{L \mid \xi^{\perp}}(x) \quad \forall x \in \xi^{\perp} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume also that $M\left(\left.\omega_{L}\right|_{S^{4}}\right)$ is contained in finitely many 1-dimensional great circles of $S^{4}$. Then there exists $b \in \mathbb{R}^{5}$ such that $h_{K}(x)+b \cdot x=h_{L}(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{5}$, or $h_{K}(x)+b \cdot x=$ $h_{L}(-x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{5}$.

The proof of Theorems 4 and 1 now follows directly from Theorem 3, provided we take $f=h_{K}$ and $g=h_{L}$.
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