

ARE L^2 -BOUNDED HOMOGENEOUS SINGULAR INTEGRALS NECESSARILY L^p -BOUNDED?

LOUKAS GRAFAKOS, PETR HONZÍK, AND DMITRY RYABOGIN

ABSTRACT. We present a dyadic one-dimensional version of the construction of even integrable functions Ω on the unit sphere \mathbf{S}^{n-1} with mean value zero satisfying

$$\operatorname{es\,sup}_{\xi \in \mathbf{S}^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} |\Omega(\theta)| \log \frac{1}{|\theta \cdot \xi|} d\theta < +\infty,$$

such that the singular integral operator T_Ω given by convolution with the distribution p.v. $\Omega(x/|x|)|x|^{-n}$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ if and only if $p = 2$.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENTS OF RESULTS

Let Ω be an even complex-valued integrable function on the sphere \mathbf{S}^{n-1} , with mean value zero with respect to the surface measure. The classical theory of singular integral operators says that the Calderón and Zygmund principal-value singular integral initially defined for functions f in the Schwartz class $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$

$$(1) \quad T_\Omega(f)(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|y| > \varepsilon} \frac{\Omega(y/|y|)}{|y|^n} f(x-y) dy,$$

is given by a convolution with the distribution p.v. $\Omega(x/|x|)|x|^{-n}$, whose Fourier transform is the homogeneous of degree zero function

$$(2) \quad m(\Omega)(\xi) := (\text{p.v. } \Omega(x/|x|)|x|^{-n})^\wedge(\xi) = \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} \Omega(\theta) \log \frac{1}{|\xi \cdot \theta|} d\theta.$$

Thus, the L^2 boundedness of T_Ω is equivalent to the condition that $m(\Omega)$ is an essentially bounded function, i.e. $m(\Omega) \in L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$. The theory of singular integrals of the form (1) was developed by Calderón and Zygmund [1], [2] who established their L^p boundedness in the range $1 < p < \infty$ for Ω in $L \log L(\mathbf{S}^{n-1})$. It was proved by Weiss and Zygmund [8] that T_Ω may be unbounded even on L^2 for Ω in $L(\log L)^{1-\varepsilon}(\mathbf{S}^{n-1})$ when $\varepsilon > 0$. Thus the $L \log L$ condition on Ω is the sharpest possible, in this sense, that implies the L^p boundedness for in the whole range of $p \in (1, \infty)$. The weak type (1, 1) boundedness of such singular integrals with Ω in $L \log L(\mathbf{S}^{n-1})$ was studied much later by Christ and Rubio de Francia [3] and Seeger [7].

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 42B20. Secondary 42E30.

Key words and phrases. Homogeneous Calderón-Zygmund singular integrals, rough kernels.

Grafakos' research was partially supported by the NSF under grant DMS0400387 and by the University of Missouri Research Council. Honzík was supported by 201/03/0931 Grant Agency of the Czech Republic. Ryabogin's research was partially supported by the NSF under grant DMS0400789.

In [5] the following result was established:

Theorem 1. *There is an integrable function Ω with mean value zero on the unit sphere \mathbf{S}^{n-1} , satisfying*

$$(3) \quad \operatorname{es\,sup}_{\xi \in \mathbf{S}^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} |\Omega(\theta)| \log \frac{1}{|\xi \cdot \theta|} d\theta < \infty,$$

but such that T_Ω is L^p bounded exactly when $p = 2$.

In this note we consider the one-dimensional dyadic model D_Ω of T_Ω ,

$$(4) \quad \widehat{D_\Omega f}(x) = m(\Omega)(x) \widehat{f}(x), \quad m(\Omega)(x) = \chi_{[0,1]}(x) \sum_{I \ni x} \int_I \Omega(y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Here the sum is extended over all dyadic subintervals I of $[0, 1]$, and Ω is a nonnegative function in $L^1([0, 1])$. We observe that

$$\sum_{I \ni x} \int_I \Omega(y) dy = \int_0^1 \sum_{I \ni x, y} \chi_I(y) \Omega(y) dy \leq \int_0^1 \log \frac{1}{|x - y|} \Omega(y) dy,$$

provided x does not belong to a set of ends of dyadic intervals. We prove the following

Theorem 2. *There exists a nonnegative function $\Omega \in L^1([0, 1])$ such that $m(\Omega)$ is bounded and is not a L^p Fourier multiplier for any $p \neq 2$.*

To show that the multiplier norm $\|m(\Omega)\|_{M_p(\mathbf{R})}$ is infinite for $p \neq 2$, we use deLeeuw [4] type result which comes from the work of Lebedev and Olevski [6]:

Theorem 3. *Let b be a function on the real line and let y_j be a sequence of real numbers such that $y_{j+1} - y_j$ is a constant for all j . Assume that the function b is regulated at the points y_j , i.e. the average of left and right limits of b at each y_j coincides with $b(y_j)$. Then we have*

$$\|b\|_{M_p(\mathbf{R})} \geq \|\{b(y_j)\}_j\|_{M_p(\mathbf{Z})}.$$

Here $\|\{b(y_j)\}_j\|_{M_p(\mathbf{Z})}$ is the norm of the operator $f \rightarrow \sum_j b(y_j) \widehat{f}(j) e^{2\pi i j x}$ acting on functions f on the circle $[0, 1]$. For compactly supported sequences this norm is at most the size of the support of the sequence times its L^∞ norm.

Given a compactly supported sequence $\{\epsilon_j\}_j$ with a large norm $\|\{\epsilon_j\}_j\|_{M_p(\mathbf{Z})}$ we will construct an integrable function Ω and take an arithmetic progression $\{x_j\}_j$ such that $\|\{m(\Omega)(x_j)\}_j\|_{M_p(\mathbf{Z})} \geq c \|\{\epsilon_j\}_j\|_{M_p(\mathbf{Z})}$.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

To pick up a sequence $\{\epsilon_j\}_j$ with a large multiplier norm, we use the fact that the Riesz basis of $L^p(\mathbf{T})$, $\{e^{2\pi i j x}\}_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty}$ is not unconditional for $p \neq 2$. That means that for any $K > 0$ we can find a compactly supported sequence a_j and a sequence ϵ_j of 0's and 1's such that

$$(5) \quad \left\| \sum_j \epsilon_j a_j e^{2\pi i j x} \right\|_p \geq K \left\| \sum_j a_j e^{2\pi i j x} \right\|_p.$$

Consider a decreasing sequence $p_1 > p_2 > p_3 > \dots$ which converges to 2 and let a_j^k be a sequence supported in $\{1, \dots, l_k\}$ and ε_j^k be a sequence of zeros and ones such that (5) holds with $p = p_k$ and $K = k$, i.e.

$$(6) \quad \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{l_k} \varepsilon_j^k a_j^k e^{2\pi i j x} \right\|_{p_k} \geq k \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{l_k} a_j^k e^{2\pi i j x} \right\|_{p_k}.$$

To construct Ω (depending on ε_j^k), we look at $m(\Omega)$ where $\Omega = \chi_{I_0}$ is the characteristic function of any dyadic interval $I_0 \subset [0, 1]$ of length 2^{-i_0} . We observe that $m(\chi_{I_0})(x) = (i_0 + 1)2^{-i_0}$ for $x \in I_0$, and $m(\chi_{I_0})(y) \leq n_0 2^{-i_0}$, for y outside I_0 . Here n_0 is the number of dyadic subintervals of $[0, 1]$ that contain both I_0 and y . This means that given any dyadic interval I and any $\delta > 0$, one can find a centrally located (within I) dyadic subinterval J of I of length 2^{-j} and a function $\Omega_{\delta, I} = 2^j \chi_J / (j + 1)$ such that $m(\Omega_{\delta, I})(x) = 1$ when $x \in J$ and $m(\Omega_{\delta, I})(x) \leq \delta$ when x is not in I . Note that the L^1 norm of $\Omega_{\delta, I}$ is $1/(j + 1)$, $j = -\log |J|$, and it can be made small.

We set

$$\Omega = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Omega_{I_k}, \quad \Omega_{I_k} = \sum_{j=1}^{l_k} \varepsilon_j^k \Omega_{\delta_{k,j}, I_{k,j}}.$$

where Ω_{I_k} are supported in I_k , the dyadic subintervals of $[0, 1]$,

$$I_1 = [0, 1/2], \quad I_2 = [1/2, 3/4], \quad I_3 = [3/4, 7/8], \quad I_4 = [7/8, 15/16], \quad \dots,$$

and ε_j^k are as in (6). To define $\Omega_{\delta_{k,j}, I_{k,j}}$ we pick irrational points

$$x_{k,1} < x_{k,2} < \dots < x_{k,l_k}$$

inside I_k so that the intervals spanned by two consecutive such points have the same length. We choose small disjoint subintervals $I_{k,j}$ of I_k centered at the points $x_{k,j}$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, l_k\}$. Next, we select an interval $J_{k,j} \subset I_{k,j}$ such that the function

$$\Omega_{\delta_{k,j}, I_{k,j}} = \frac{\chi_{J_{k,j}}}{|J_{k,j}|(\log(1/|J_{k,j}|) + 1)}$$

satisfies

$$(7) \quad m(\Omega_{\delta_{k,j}, I_{k,j}})(x) = 1 \quad \text{when} \quad x \in J_{k,j},$$

and

$$(8) \quad m(\Omega_{\delta_{k,j}, I_{k,j}})(x) \leq \delta_{k,j} = 2^{-2-j-k} / l_k^2 \quad \text{when} \quad x \notin I_{k,j}.$$

We can also assume that $J_{k,j}$ satisfies

$$(9) \quad \log \frac{1}{|J_{k,j}|} \geq k^2 l_k.$$

Observe that (9) implies

$$\|\Omega\|_1 \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{l_k} \frac{1}{\log(1/|J_{k,j}|)} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} < \infty.$$

Observe also that $m(\Omega)$ is a bounded function. Indeed, let $x \in [0, 1]$. Then $x \in I_n$ for some $n \geq 1$, and

$$(10) \quad m(\Omega)(x) \leq \sum_{k=1, k \neq n}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{l_k} m(\Omega_{\delta_{k,j}, I_{k,j}})(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} m(\Omega_{\delta_{n,j}, I_{n,j}})(x).$$

The first term in the right hand side of (10) is bounded due to the choice of $I_{k,j}$ and $\delta_{k,j}$, see (8). To estimate the second one, we consider two cases, a) $x \in I_{n,s} \setminus J_{n,s}$ for some fixed $s = 1, 2, \dots, l_n$, and b) $x \in J_{n,s}$, or $x \in I_n \setminus I_{n,s}$. We write

$$(11) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{l_n} m(\Omega_{\delta_{n,j}, I_{n,j}})(x) = \sum_{j=1, j \neq s}^{l_n} m(\Omega_{\delta_{n,j}, I_{n,j}})(x) + m(\Omega_{\delta_{n,s}, I_{n,s}})(x).$$

In the case a) we have

$$m(\Omega_{\delta_{n,s}, I_{n,s}})(x) \leq \sum_{I \ni x, J_{n,s}} \int_I \Omega_{\delta_{n,s}, I_{n,s}}(y) dy \leq \frac{|J_{n,s}| \sum_{I \ni x, J_{n,s}} 1}{|J_{n,s}| (\log(1/|J_{n,s}|) + 1)} < \infty,$$

and the boundedness of the right-hand side in (11) follows from (8). In the case b) we use (7) and (8). Thus, the second term in (10) is bounded and $m(\Omega)$ is bounded.

It remains to show that $m(\Omega)$ is not an L^p Fourier multiplier for any $p \neq 2$. We fix a $p > 2$ and pick a k_0 so that $2 < p_{k_0} < p$. Then $\|m(\Omega)\|_{M_p(\mathbf{R})} \geq \|m(\Omega)\|_{M_{p_{k_0}}(\mathbf{R})}$ and it suffices to show that the latter can become arbitrarily large.

Observe that the function $m(\Omega)$ is regulated at the points $\{x_{k_0,j}\}_{j=1}^{l_{k_0}}$, (this can be easily seen by splitting $m(\Omega)(x_{k_0,j})$ into the sums similar to (10), (11)), and by Theorem 3 we have

$$\|m(\Omega)\|_{M_{p_{k_0}}(\mathbf{R})} \geq \|\{m(\Omega)(x_{k_0,j})\}_{j=1}^{l_{k_0}}\|_{M_{p_{k_0}}(\mathbf{Z})}.$$

But the last expression is at least as big as

$$\|\{m(\Omega_{I_{k_0}})(x_{k_0,j})\}_{j=1}^{l_{k_0}}\|_{M_{p_{k_0}}(\mathbf{Z})} - \|\{\sum_{k \neq k_0} m(\Omega_{I_k})(x_{k_0,j})\}_{j=1}^{l_{k_0}}\|_{M_{p_{k_0}}(\mathbf{Z})}.$$

Note that the functions $\sum_{k \neq k_0} m(\Omega_{I_k})$ are constant on the interval I_{k_0} and therefore the sequence $\{\sum_{k \neq k_0} m(\Omega_{I_k})(x_{k_0,j})\}_{j=1}^{l_{k_0}}$ is constant of length l_{k_0} . The multiplier norm of this sequence is a constant $c(p_{k_0})$ which is bounded above by a constant $c(p) = \cot(\pi/2p)$ independent of k_0 . Now

$$m(\Omega_{I_{k_0}})(x_{k_0,j}) = \epsilon_j^{k_0} + E_j^{k_0},$$

where

$$E_j^{k_0} = \sum_{1 \leq j' \neq j \leq l_{k_0}} \epsilon_{j'}^{k_0} m(\Omega_{\delta_{k_0,j'}, I_{k_0,j'}})(x_{k_0,j}),$$

and (8) implies $|E_j^{k_0}| \leq 2^{-2-j-k_0}/l_{k_0}$, $\|\{E_j^{k_0}\}_{j=1}^{l_{k_0}}\|_{M_{p_{k_0}}(\mathbf{Z})} \leq 2^{-2-j-k_0}$, due to the compactness of the support of $\{E_j^{k_0}\}_j$. We conclude that $\|m(\Omega)\|_{M_p(\mathbf{R})} \geq k_0 - 1 - c(p)$ and this can be made arbitrarily large. Hence $\|m(\Omega)\|_{M_p(\mathbf{R})} = \infty$.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. P. Calderón and A. Zygmund, *On the existence of certain singular integrals*, Acta Math. **88** (1952), 85–139.
- [2] A. P. Calderón and A. Zygmund, *On singular integrals*, Amer. J. Math. **78** (1956), 289–309.
- [3] M. Christ and J.-L. Rubio de Francia, *Weak type (1,1) bounds for rough operators II*, Invent. Math. **93** (1988), 225–237.
- [4] K. deLeeuw, *On L^p multipliers*, Ann. Math, **81** (1964), 364–379.
- [5] L. Grafakos, P. Honzík and D. Ryabogin, *On the p -independence boundedness property of Calderon-Zygmund theory*, Journal fur die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik, to appear.
- [6] V. Lebedev and A. Olevskii, *Idempotents of Fourier multiplier algebra*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **4** (1994), 539–544.
- [7] A. Seeger, *Singular integral operators with rough convolution kernels*, Jour. Amer. Math. Soc., **9** (1996), 95–105.
- [8] M. Weiss and A. Zygmund, *An example in the theory of singular integrals*, Studia Math. **26** (1965), 101–111.

LOUKAS GRAFAKOS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, COLUMBIA, MO 65211, USA

E-mail address: loukas@math.missouri.edu

PETR HONZÍK, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING, MI 48824, USA

E-mail address: petrhonez@math.msu.edu

DMITRY RYABOGIN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY, MANHATTAN, KS 66503 USA

E-mail address: ryabs@math.ksu.edu