

Corollary: Assume notation (**). Construct a basis B_i for K_i by finding a basis for $E_i = \ker(T - \lambda_i I)$, extending to $\ker(T - \lambda_i I)^2$, etc., up to a basis for $K_i = \ker(T - \lambda_i I)^{l_i}$.
 If $B = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \dots \cup B_r$, then B is a basis for V and

$$[T]_B = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & & & \\ & A_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & A_r \end{bmatrix} \text{ is block diagonal, where}$$

$$A_i = [T_i]_{B_i} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_i & & & \\ & \lambda_i & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \lambda_i \end{bmatrix} \text{ is an upper triangular } n_i \times n_i \text{ matrix with } \lambda_i \text{ on the diagonal.}$$

Corollary: A linear operator T on V is diagonalizable if and only if $m_T(t)$ factors as a product of distinct linear factors.

Proof: First note that if T is diagonalizable, then $\Delta_T(t)$ and hence $m_T(t)$ factors over K into linear factors. Thus we may assume notation (***) holds. Now T is diagonalizable if and only if a basis of eigenvectors exists, hence if and only if $E_i = K_i$ for all i .

\Rightarrow If $m_T(t)$ is a product of distinct linear factors, then $l_i = 1$ for all i , hence $E_i = K_i$ and T is diagonalizable.

\Leftarrow If T is diagonalizable, then $K_i = E_i$ for all i , and so $V = E_1 \oplus \dots \oplus E_r$. If $\vec{v} \in V$, then $\vec{v} = \vec{w}_1 + \dots + \vec{w}_r$, where $\vec{w}_i \in E_i$ that is, \vec{w}_i is an eigenvector for λ_i , and so $(T - \lambda_i I)(\vec{w}_i) = \vec{0}$ for all i . Hence

$$(T - \lambda_1 I)(T - \lambda_2 I) \dots (T - \lambda_r I)(\vec{w}_i) = \vec{0} \text{ for all } i,$$

and so $(T - \lambda_1 I)(T - \lambda_2 I) \dots (T - \lambda_r I)$ is the zero operator.

End Lec 35 Therefore $m_T(t)$ divides, hence equals, $(t - \lambda_1)(t - \lambda_2) \dots (t - \lambda_r)$ \square