

Theorem If $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$ is a linear transformation, then

- (i) $\ker \varphi$ is a subspace of V , and
- (ii) $\text{Im } \varphi$ is a subspace of W .

Proof: (i) We know $\varphi(\bar{0}_V) = \bar{0}_W$, so $\bar{0}_V \in \ker \varphi$ and $\ker \varphi$ is nonempty.
 If $\bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2 \in \ker \varphi$, then $\varphi(\bar{v}_1) = \varphi(\bar{v}_2) = \bar{0}_W$, and by linearity of φ ,
 $\varphi(\bar{v}_1 + \bar{v}_2) = \varphi(\bar{v}_1) + \varphi(\bar{v}_2) = \bar{0}_W + \bar{0}_W = \bar{0}_W$. Hence $\bar{v}_1 + \bar{v}_2 \in \ker \varphi$.
 If $\alpha \in K$ and $\bar{v} \in \ker \varphi$, then $\varphi(\bar{v}) = \bar{0}_W$, and by linearity of φ ,
 $\varphi(\alpha \bar{v}) = \alpha \varphi(\bar{v}) = \alpha \bar{0}_W = \bar{0}_W$, and so $\alpha \bar{v} \in \ker \varphi$.

(ii) Again, $\bar{0}_V \in V$ and $\varphi(\bar{0}_V) = \bar{0}_W$, so $\bar{0}_W \in \text{Im } \varphi$. Hence $\text{Im } \varphi \neq \emptyset$.
 Let $\bar{w}_1, \bar{w}_2 \in \text{Im } \varphi$. Then $\bar{w}_1 = \varphi(\bar{v}_1)$, $\bar{w}_2 = \varphi(\bar{v}_2)$ for some $\bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2 \in V$.
 By linearity of φ , $\bar{w}_1 + \bar{w}_2 = \varphi(\bar{v}_1) + \varphi(\bar{v}_2) = \varphi(\bar{v}_1 + \bar{v}_2)$, and $\bar{v}_1 + \bar{v}_2 \in V$,
 hence $\bar{w}_1 + \bar{w}_2 \in \text{Im } \varphi$.
 Let $\alpha \in K$, $\bar{w} \in \text{Im } \varphi$, so that $\bar{w} = \varphi(\bar{v})$ for some $\bar{v} \in V$.
 By linearity of φ , $\alpha \bar{w} = \alpha \varphi(\bar{v}) = \varphi(\alpha \bar{v})$, and $\alpha \bar{v} \in V$, hence
 $\alpha \bar{w} \in \text{Im } \varphi$. \square

More generally, let $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation.

If S is a subset of V , the image of S under φ is
 $\varphi(S) = \{ \bar{w} \in W \mid \bar{w} = \varphi(\bar{s}) \text{ some } \bar{s} \in S \} = \{ \varphi(\bar{s}) \mid \bar{s} \in S \}$.

If T is a subset of W , the preimage of T under φ is
 $\varphi^{-1}(T) = \{ \bar{v} \in V \mid \varphi(\bar{v}) \in T \}$.

NOTES: (1) $\varphi^{-1}(T)$ is simply notation for a subset of V ; it does not indicate that φ has an inverse map.

(2) The kernel of φ is the preimage of $\bar{0}_W$ under φ , that is,
 $\ker \varphi = \varphi^{-1}(\{ \bar{0}_W \})$.

(3) The image of φ is $\varphi(V)$, the image of V under φ .