

Proof: Let $B_1 = \{\bar{u}_1, \dots, \bar{u}_m\}$ be a basis for $\ker \varphi$, so $\dim \ker \varphi = m$.

Extend B_1 to a basis for V , say

$$B = \{\bar{u}_1, \dots, \bar{u}_m, \bar{v}_1, \dots, \bar{v}_r\},$$

so $\dim V = m+r$.

We will show that $B_2 = \{\varphi(\bar{v}_1), \dots, \varphi(\bar{v}_r)\}$ is a basis for $\text{Im } \varphi$, hence $\dim \text{Im } \varphi = r$ and $\dim V = m+r = \dim \ker \varphi + \dim \text{Im } \varphi$ as claimed.

B_2 spans $\text{Im } \varphi$:

Let $\bar{w} \in \text{Im } \varphi$, so that $\bar{w} = \varphi(\bar{v})$ for some $\bar{v} \in V$.

Since B is a basis for V , we can write

$$\bar{v} = \alpha_1 \bar{u}_1 + \dots + \alpha_m \bar{u}_m + \beta_1 \bar{v}_1 + \dots + \beta_r \bar{v}_r$$

with $\alpha_i, \beta_j \in K$, and so since φ is linear,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{w} = \varphi(\bar{v}) &= \varphi(\alpha_1 \bar{u}_1 + \dots + \alpha_m \bar{u}_m + \beta_1 \bar{v}_1 + \dots + \beta_r \bar{v}_r) \\ &= \alpha_1 \varphi(\bar{u}_1) + \dots + \alpha_m \varphi(\bar{u}_m) + \beta_1 \varphi(\bar{v}_1) + \dots + \beta_r \varphi(\bar{v}_r) \\ &= \beta_1 \varphi(\bar{v}_1) + \dots + \beta_r \varphi(\bar{v}_r), \end{aligned}$$

as $\bar{u}_i \in \ker \varphi$ for all i ($\varphi(\bar{u}_i) = \bar{0}_W$). Hence \bar{w} is a linear combination of B_2 , and so B_2 spans $\text{Im } \varphi$.

B_2 is linearly independent.

Suppose $\beta_1 \varphi(\bar{v}_1) + \dots + \beta_r \varphi(\bar{v}_r) = \bar{0}_W$. Since φ is linear, this means $\bar{0}_W = \varphi(\beta_1 \bar{v}_1 + \dots + \beta_r \bar{v}_r)$ and $\beta_1 \bar{v}_1 + \dots + \beta_r \bar{v}_r \in \ker \varphi$.

Since B_1 is a basis for $\ker \varphi$, we have

$$\beta_1 \bar{v}_1 + \dots + \beta_r \bar{v}_r = \gamma_1 \bar{u}_1 + \dots + \gamma_m \bar{u}_m$$

for some $\gamma_i \in K$. But then

$$\beta_1 \bar{v}_1 + \dots + \beta_r \bar{v}_r + (-\gamma_1) \bar{u}_1 + \dots + (-\gamma_m) \bar{u}_m = \bar{0}_V,$$

and since B is a basis for V , hence linearly independent, this implies $\beta_1 = \dots = \beta_r = \gamma_1 = \dots = \gamma_m = 0$. In particular, we have shown that if $\beta_1 \varphi(\bar{v}_1) + \dots + \beta_r \varphi(\bar{v}_r) = \bar{0}_W$, then $\beta_1 = \dots = \beta_r = 0$, and so B_2 is linearly independent. \square

Endlec 18 [See Problems. 23 for a slightly different proof.]