

Chebyshev Rational Approximations to e^{-x} in $[0, +\infty)$ and Applications to Heat-Conduction Problems

W. J. CODY¹

Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60440

G. MEINARDUS

Institut für Angewandte Mathematik der Universität Erlangen, West Germany

AND

R. S. YARGA²

Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio 44106

1. INTRODUCTION

For any nonnegative integer m , let π_m denote the collection of all real polynomials of degree at most m , and for any nonnegative integers m and n , let $\pi_{m,n}$ denote the collection of all real rational functions $r_{m,n}(x)$ of the form

$$r_{m,n}(x) \equiv \frac{p_m(x)}{q_n(x)}, \quad \text{where } p_m \in \pi_m \quad \text{and} \quad q_n \in \pi_n. \quad (1.1)$$

With this notation, let

$$\lambda_{m,n} \equiv \inf_{r_{m,n} \in \pi_{m,n}} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq x < \infty} |r_{m,n}(x) - e^{-x}| \right\}, \quad m \leq n, \quad (1.2)$$

be the error associated with the best *Chebyshev rational approximation* in $\pi_{m,n}$ to e^{-x} in $[0, +\infty)$. It is known ([1], p. 55) that there exists a unique $\hat{r}_{m,n}(x) \in \pi_{m,n}$ such that

$$\lambda_{m,n} = \sup_{0 \leq x < \infty} |\hat{r}_{m,n}(x) - e^{-x}|. \quad (1.3)$$

In this paper, we specifically give (in §4) the value of $\lambda_{0,n}$ and $\lambda_{n,n}$ for $0 \leq n \leq 9$ and $0 \leq n \leq 14$, respectively, along with the associated minimizing Chebyshev rational approximations $\hat{r}_{n,n}(x)$. These $\lambda_{n,n}$ and $\hat{r}_{n,n}(x)$ were determined because they can be used in the numerical solution of certain heat-conduction problems, and this is illustrated in §3. In a sense, the results of §§3 and 4 continue the original investigation of [7], where only $\lambda_{1,1}$ and $\lambda_{2,2}$ were given.

¹ Work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

² This research was supported in part by AEC Grant AT(11-1)-1702.

where $g_n(x)$ is defined by

$$g_n(x) \equiv \frac{1}{S_n(x)} - e^{-x}. \quad (2.3)$$

We establish

LEMMA 1. For any integer $n \geq 0$, we have

$$0 \leq g_n(x) \leq \frac{1}{2^n} \quad \text{for } x \geq 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. Obviously,

$$g_n(0) = 0.$$

Now, let $n \geq 1$. Since

$$e^x > S_n(x) \quad \text{for } x > 0,$$

it follows that

$$g_n(x) > 0 \quad \text{for } x > 0.$$

Let ξ be a positive number at which $g_n(x)$ possesses its maximum in $[0, \infty)$. Then by differentiating (2.3), we have

$$\frac{S_n'(\xi)}{S_n^2(\xi)} = e^{-\xi}.$$

Because of

$$S_n'(x) = S_{n-1}(x),$$

this implies that

$$(g_n(\xi) + e^{-\xi})^2 = e^{-\xi}(g_{n-1}(\xi) + e^{-\xi}).$$

Taking square roots, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq g_n(\xi) &= e^{-\xi} \{ [1 + g_{n-1}(\xi)] e^{\xi/2} - 1 \} \\ &< e^{-\xi} \cdot \frac{1}{2} g_{n-1}(\xi) e^{\xi} = \frac{1}{2} g_{n-1}(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$0 \leq g_n(x) < \frac{1}{2} \max_{0 \leq t < \infty} g_{n-1}(x) \quad \text{for all } x \leq 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Thus, as

$$\max_{0 \leq x < \infty} |g_0(x)| = 1,$$

then (2.4) follows by induction. Q.E.D.

LEMMA 2. For any integer $n \geq 0$, we have

$$\max_{0 \leq x < \infty} \left| \frac{e^{-\alpha n}}{S_n(x - \alpha n)} - e^{-x} \right| \leq \frac{1}{(2e^\alpha)^n}, \quad (2.6)$$

α being the real solution of (1.7).

Proof. We shall prove that

$$|g_n(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2^n}$$

holds not only for $x \geq 0$, but even for $x \geq -\alpha n$. Then, putting

$$x = t - \alpha n,$$

it follows that

$$\left| \frac{1}{S_n(t - \alpha n)} - e^{-(t - \alpha n)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2^n} \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0,$$

which gives (2.6).

To prove the above reduced proposition, let $n \geq 1$. Writing

$$S_n(-y) = e^{-y} - \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} (-1)^j \frac{y^j}{j!},$$

we see that for $0 < y \leq \alpha n < n + 1$, the above series is an alternating series whose terms decrease in modulus monotonically to zero. This gives us that

$$e^{-y} < S_n(-y) < e^{-y} + \frac{y^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}, \quad n \text{ even}, \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$e^{-y} - \frac{y^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} < S_n(-y) < e^{-y}, \quad n \text{ odd}, \quad (2.8)$$

for $0 < y \leq \alpha n$. To obtain a (positive) lower bound for the left side of (2.8) for $0 < y \leq \alpha n$, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-y} - \frac{y^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} &\geq e^{-\alpha n} - \frac{(\alpha n)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} = \frac{n^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \left\{ \frac{(n+1)! e^{-\alpha n}}{n^{n+1}} - \alpha^{n+1} \right\} \\ &> \frac{n^{n+1} e^{-(1+\alpha)n}}{(n+1)!} \left\{ \sqrt{2\pi n} - \alpha(\alpha e^{1+\alpha})^n \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

the last inequality following from Stirling's inequality. But since

$$\alpha e^{\alpha+1} = \frac{1}{2e^\alpha}$$

from (1.7), and

$$\left\{ \sqrt{2\pi n} - \alpha \left(\frac{1}{2e^\alpha} \right)^n \right\} > 1$$

for all $n \geq 1$, then

$$e^{-y} - \frac{y^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} > \frac{n^{n+1} e^{-(1+\alpha)n}}{(n+1)!} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1, \quad 0 < y \leq \alpha n. \quad (2.9)$$

The inequalities (2.8), (2.9) imply for odd n that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \frac{1}{S_n(-y)} - e^y < \frac{1}{e^{-y} - \frac{1}{y^{n+1}}} - e^y \\ &= \frac{y^{n+1} e^y}{(n+1)! \left(e^{-y} - \frac{y^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \right)} \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha^{n+1} n^{n+1} e^{\alpha n}}{n^{n+1} e^{-(1+\alpha)n}} = \alpha(\alpha e^{2\alpha+1})^n = \frac{\alpha}{2^n}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$0 < \frac{1}{S_n(-y)} - e^y < \frac{1}{2^n} \quad \text{for } n \text{ odd}, \quad 0 < y \leq \alpha n. \quad (2.10)$$

For n even, one similarly arrives at

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &> \frac{1}{S_n(-y)} - e^y > -\frac{y^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} e^{2y} \\ &\geq -\frac{n^{n+1} e^{-n}}{(n+1)!} \alpha(\alpha e^{2\alpha+1})^n \\ &> -\frac{\alpha}{2^n}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$0 > \frac{1}{S_n(-y)} - e^y > -\frac{1}{2^n} \quad \text{for } n \text{ even}, \quad 0 < y \leq \alpha n, \quad (2.11)$$

and (2.10) and (2.11) imply the desired inequality (2.6) Q.E.D.

Lemma 2 directly gives us

THEOREM 1. For any integer $n \geq 0$, we have

$$0 < \lambda_{n,n} \leq \lambda_{n-1,n} \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{0,n} \leq \frac{1}{2e^{\alpha} n^n}, \quad (2.12)$$

where α is the solution of (1.7).

COROLLARY. Let $\{m(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be any sequence of nonnegative integers such that $0 \leq m(n) \leq n$ for each $n \geq 0$. Then,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lambda_{m(n),n}} (\lambda_{m(n),n})^{1/n} \leq \frac{e^{-\alpha}}{2} = 0.43501 \dots \quad (2.13)$$

2. Now, we want to show that at least in the case $m = 0$, the speed of convergence of the sequence $\lambda_{m,n}$ is not greater than geometric. Again, we need two lemmas. First, we introduce the quantity

$$K_n = \min_{P_n \in \pi_n} \left\{ \max_{0 \leq x \leq 2n/3} |P_n(x) - e^x| \right\}. \tag{2.14}$$

LEMMA 3. Suppose that there exists a sequence of polynomials $\{Q_n(x)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ with $Q_n(x) \in \pi_n$ for all $n \geq 0$, a real number $q \geq 2$, and an integer n_0 such that

$$\left| \frac{1}{Q_n(x)} - e^{-x} \right| \leq \frac{1}{q^n} \quad \text{for all } x \geq 0 \text{ and for all } n \geq n_0. \tag{2.15}$$

Then,

$$K_n \leq \frac{(e^{2/3})^n}{(qe^{-2/3})^n - 1} \quad \text{for } n \geq n_0. \tag{2.16}$$

Proof. First, observe that $e^{2/3} < 2$. Then, from (2.15), it follows for $0 \leq x \leq \frac{2}{3}n$, $n > n_0$, that

$$0 < e^{-x} - q^{-n} \leq \frac{1}{Q_n(x)} \leq e^{-x} + q^{-n},$$

and therefore

$$\frac{e^x}{e^{-x}q^n + 1} \leq Q_n(x) - e^x \leq \frac{e^x}{e^{-x}q^n - 1}.$$

Thus,

$$|Q_n(x) - e^x| \leq \frac{(e^{2/3})^n}{(qe^{-2/3})^n - 1} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{2}{3}n, \quad n \leq n_0,$$

from which (2.16) is evident. Q.E.D.

LEMMA 4. For any integer $n \geq 0$,

$$K_n > \frac{e^{n/3} n^{n+1}}{3 \cdot 6^n (n+1)}. \tag{2.17}$$

Proof. Writing

$$x = \frac{n}{3}(t+1),$$

we see that

$$K_n = \inf_{P_n \in \pi_n} \left\{ \sup_{-1 \leq t \leq +1} |\tilde{P}_n(t) - e^{n(t+1)/3}| \right\}.$$

For $t \in [-1, +1]$, we have the representation

$$e^{n(t+1)/3} = e^{n/3} \left(I_0 \left(\frac{n}{3} \right) + 2 \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} I_{\nu} \left(\frac{n}{3} \right) T_{\nu}(t) \right).$$

Here, $T_{\nu}(t)$ denotes the ν -th-Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind and

$$I_{\nu}(z) \equiv \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z/2)^{2\mu+\nu}}{\mu!(\nu+\mu)!}$$

is the Bessel function of order ν with so-called purely imaginary argument. Obviously,

$$I_{\nu}(x) > 0 \quad \text{for } x > 0.$$

By a theorem of Hornecker (cf. [4], Theorem 66), then

$$K_n \geq 2 e^{n/3} \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} I_{(2\mu+1)(n+1)} \left(\frac{n}{3} \right).$$

Since

$$I_{n+1} \left(\frac{n}{3} \right) > \frac{n^{n+1}}{6^{n+1}(n+1)!},$$

it follows that

$$K_n > 2 e^{n/3} I_{n+1} \left(\frac{n}{3} \right) > \frac{e^{n/3} n^{n+1}}{3 \cdot 6^n (n+1)!},$$

which establishes (2.17). Q.E.D.

Now, we are able to prove

THEOREM 2. *For the quantity σ_1 defined in (1.4), we have*

$$\sigma_1 \geq \frac{1}{6}. \tag{2.18}$$

Proof. By Theorem 1, we know already

$$\sigma_1 < \frac{1}{2}.$$

For every number q with

$$\sigma_1 < \frac{1}{q} < \frac{1}{2}, \tag{2.19}$$

there exists, by the definition of σ_1 , a sequence of polynomials $Q_n(x)$ and an integer n_0 such that the assumptions of Lemma 3 are satisfied. Combining (2.16) and (2.17) we see that for all $n \geq n_0$, the inequality

$$\frac{e^{n/3} n^{n+1}}{3 \cdot 6^n (n+1)!} < \frac{e^{2/3n}}{(qe^{-2/3})^n - 1}$$

must hold. Using Stirling's formula, i.e.,

$$n! < \sqrt{2\pi n} n^n e^{-n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{4n}\right),$$

leads to

$$g^n < (e^{2/3})^n + 3 \left[\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right) 6^n \sqrt{2\pi n} \right] \left(1 + \frac{1}{4n}\right), \quad n \geq n_0.$$

Thus, as $e^{2/3} < 2$, it is clear that the above inequality is valid for all $n \geq n_0$ only if

$$q \leq 6.$$

Since q is an arbitrary number which has only to satisfy the inequalities (2.19), it is obvious that

$$\sigma_1 \geq \frac{1}{6}.$$

Q.E.D.

3. APPLICATIONS TO HEAT-CONDUCTION PROBLEMS

We begin with the matrix differential equation

$$B \frac{dc(t)}{dt} = -Ac(t) + g, \quad t > 0, \tag{3.1}$$

subject to the initial condition

$$c(0) = \tilde{c}. \tag{3.2}$$

Here, A and B are assumed to be *commuting Hermitian and positive definite* $N \times N$ matrices, and $\tilde{c} = (\tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2, \dots, \tilde{c}_N)^T$. The solution $c(t)$ of (3.1)–(3.2) can be verified to be

$$c(t) = A^{-1}g + \exp(-tB^{-1}A)\{\tilde{c} - A^{-1}g\}, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0. \tag{3.3}$$

For any fixed nonnegative integers m and n with $0 \leq m \leq n$, let $\hat{r}_{m,n}(x) \equiv \hat{p}_{m,n}(x)/\hat{q}_{m,n}(x)$ denote the (m, n) -th Chebyshev rational approximation of e^{-x} in $[0, +\infty)$, i.e.,

$$\sup_{0 \leq x < \infty} |\hat{r}_{m,n}(x) - e^{-x}| = \lambda_{m,n} \tag{3.4}$$

where $\lambda_{m,n}$ is defined in (1.2). Then, we define the (m, n) -th *Chebyshev approximation* $c_{m,n}(t)$ of $c(t)$ in (3.3), by

$$c_{m,n}(t) = A^{-1}g + \hat{r}_{m,n}(tB^{-1}A)\{\tilde{c} - A^{-1}g\}, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0, \tag{3.5}$$

where $\hat{r}_{m,n}(tB^{-1}A)$ is the matrix formally given by

$$(\hat{q}_{m,n}(tB^{-1}A))^{-1} \cdot (\hat{p}_{m,n}(tB^{-1}A)).$$

From (3.3) and (3.5), we have

$$\mathbf{c}_{m,n}(t) - \mathbf{c}(t) = (\hat{r}_{m,n}(tB^{-1}A) - \exp(-tB^{-1}A))\{\tilde{\mathbf{c}} - A^{-1}\mathbf{g}\}, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (3.6)$$

We now associate with the positive definite Hermitian matrix B of (3.1), the particular vector norm

$$\|\mathbf{c}\|_B^2 \equiv \mathbf{c}^* B \mathbf{c} = \|B^{1/2} \mathbf{c}\|_2^2, \quad \text{where } \|\mathbf{v}\|_2^2 \equiv \mathbf{v}^* \cdot \mathbf{v}. \quad (3.7)$$

For any $N \times N$ matrix D , the induced operator norm of D is then

$$\|D\|_B \equiv \sup_{\mathbf{x} \neq 0} \frac{\|D\mathbf{x}\|_B}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_B} = \|B^{1/2} D B^{-1/2}\|_2 \equiv \sup_{\mathbf{x} \neq 0} \frac{\|B^{1/2} D B^{-1/2} \mathbf{x}\|_2}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2}.$$

Using the facts that A and B are commuting Hermitian matrices, and the polynomials $\hat{p}_{m,n}(x)$ and $\hat{q}_{m,n}(x)$ are both real, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{r}_{m,n}(tB^{-1}A) - \exp(-tB^{-1}A)\|_B &= \|\hat{r}_{m,n}(tB^{-1}A) - \exp(-tB^{-1}A)\|_2 \\ &= \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\hat{r}_{m,n}(t\lambda_i) - e^{-t\lambda_i}|, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^N$ denote the positive eigenvalues of $B^{-1}A$. But as $t\lambda_i \in [0, +\infty)$ for any nonnegative t and any eigenvalue λ_i , we evidently have from (3.4) that

$$\|\hat{r}_{m,n}(tB^{-1}A) - \exp(-tB^{-1}A)\|_B \leq \lambda_{m,n} \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0. \quad (3.8)$$

Thus, taking norms in (3.6), gives us the *global* error bound

$$\|\mathbf{c}_{m,n}(t) - \mathbf{c}(t)\|_B \leq \lambda_{m,n} \|\tilde{\mathbf{c}} - A^{-1}\mathbf{g}\|_B, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0. \quad (3.9)$$

To indicate how the inequality (3.9) can be used in the numerical solution of parabolic partial differential equations, we consider here the solution $u(x, t)$ of the simple one-dimensional heat-conduction problem

$$\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u(x, t)}{\partial x^2} + r(x), \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad t > 0, \quad (3.10)$$

subject to the boundary conditions

$$u(0, t) = u(1, t) = 0, \quad \text{for all } t > 0, \quad (3.11)$$

and the initial condition

$$u(x, 0) = \tilde{u}(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \quad (3.12)$$

where $r(x)$ and $\tilde{u}(x)$ are given real functions on $[0, 1]$. We remark that similar applications are valid in higher dimensions.

For any fixed positive integer N , let $h = 1/(N + 1)$, and let $\{w_i(x)\}_{i=1}^N$ be the piecewise-linear functions defined by

$$w_i(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 - \left(\frac{x - ih}{h}\right), & ih \leq x \leq (i + 1)h, \\ 1 + \left(\frac{x - ih}{h}\right), & (i - 1)h \leq x \leq ih, \\ 0, & x \notin [(i - 1)h, (i + 1)h] \end{array} \right\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq N. \quad (3.13)$$

The set S of all real linear combinations of the $w_i(x)$'s is known in the literature as an *Hermite space* (cf. [2], §6). All functions of S vanish at the endpoints of $[0, 1]$.

The *semi-discrete Galerkin approximation* (cf. [6])

$$\tilde{w}(x, t) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^N c_i(t) w_i(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (3.14)$$

of the solution $u(x, t)$ of (3.10)–(3.12), is determined by solving the matrix differential equation (3.1)–(3.2) for the functions $c_i(t)$, $1 \leq i \leq N$, where the matrices $B = (b_{i,j})$ and $A = (a_{i,j})$ have their entries explicitly defined by

$$b_{i,j} = \int_0^1 w_i(x) w_j(x) dx; \quad a_{i,j} = \int_0^1 w_i'(x) w_j'(x) dx, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq N, \quad (3.15)$$

and where the vector g of (3.1) has components g_i defined by

$$g_i = \int_0^1 r(x) w_i(x) dx, \quad 1 \leq i \leq N. \quad (3.16)$$

The vector \tilde{c} of (3.2) is determined from the coefficients of the best L^2 -approximation in S of $\tilde{u}(x)$ of (3.12), i.e.,

$$\inf_{s \in S} \|\tilde{u} - s\|_{L^2(0,1)} = \left\| \tilde{u}(x) - \sum_{i=1}^N \tilde{c}_i w_i(x) \right\|_{L^2(0,1)}. \quad (3.17)$$

From (3.15), it can be verified that A and B are commuting real tridiagonal symmetric positive definite matrices, so that the inequality of (3.9) is applicable.

Based on energy-type inequalities, it can be deduced from [6], Theorem 1, that for $r(x)$ of (3.10) and $\tilde{u}(x)$ of (3.12) sufficiently smooth, there exists a constant K , independent of h and t , such that

$$\|\tilde{w}(\cdot, t) - u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(0,1)} \leq Kh^2, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0. \quad (3.18)$$

On the other hand, for any $0 \leq m \leq n$, define the (m, n) th *Chebyshev-Galerkin approximation* of the solution of (3.10)–(3.12), as

$$\tilde{w}_{m,n}(x, t) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^N c_{m,n,i}(t) w_i(x), \quad (3.19)$$

where the functions $c_{m,n,i}(t)$ are the components of the vector $\mathbf{c}_{m,n}(t)$ of (3.5). Now, using the definitions of (3.7) and (3.15), we verify that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{w}_{m,n}(\cdot, t) - \hat{w}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2\alpha_{0,1}}^2 &= \int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N (c_{m,n,i}(t) - c_i(t)) w_i(x) \right\|^2 dx \\ &= \|\mathbf{c}_{m,n}(t) - \mathbf{c}(t)\|_B^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Hence, from (3.9), we have

$$\|\hat{w}_{m,n}(\cdot, t) - \hat{w}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2\alpha_{0,1}} \leq \lambda_{m,n} \|\tilde{\mathbf{c}} - A^{-1} \mathbf{g}\|_B, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0. \tag{3.21}$$

Thus, combining (3.18) and (3.21) gives

$$\|\hat{w}_{m,n}(\cdot, t) - u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2\alpha_{0,1}} \leq K\eta^2 + \lambda_{m,n} \|\tilde{\mathbf{c}} - A^{-1} \mathbf{g}\|_B, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0. \tag{3.22}$$

The point of this global error analysis is that $\hat{w}_{m,n}(x, t)$ can be calculated for any $t \geq 0$ in just one step, in contrast with standard difference methods which arrive at an approximation for $u(x, m\Delta t)$ only after all intermediate approximations of $u(x, j\Delta t)$, $1 \leq j \leq m$, are computed.

We also remark that the difficult part in determining $\mathbf{c}_{m,n}(t)$ of (3.5) consists of solving the linear system of equations:

$$\hat{q}_{m,n}(tB^{-1}A)(\mathbf{c}_{m,n}(t) - A^{-1} \mathbf{g}) = \hat{p}_{m,n}(tB^{-1}A)(\tilde{\mathbf{c}} - A^{-1} \mathbf{q}). \tag{3.23}$$

Since $\hat{p}_{m,n}(tB^{-1}A)$ enters into the computation of $\mathbf{c}_{m,n}(t)$ only as a matrix factor, there is little to be gained computationally by choosing $m < n$ in (3.5). For this basic reason, we were initially interested in the values of $\lambda_{n,n}$, as in [7].

4. THE CONSTANTS $\lambda_{n,n}$ AND $\lambda_{0,n}$

In this section, we give the explicit values of $\lambda_{0,n}$, $0 \leq n \leq 9$, in Table I, and of $\lambda_{n,n}$, $0 \leq n \leq 14$, in Table II. These numbers (and the associated rational functions $\hat{r}_{n,n}(x)$) were determined by using a Remez-type algorithm ([9], p. 173). The actual algorithm used is fully described in Cody, Fraser, and Hart [3].

TABLE I

n	$\lambda_{0,n}$
0	5.000 (-01)
1	9.357 (-02)
2	2.307 (-02)
3	6.353 (-03)
4	1.848 (-03)
5	5.553 (-04)
6	1.703 (-04)
7	5.294 (-05)
8	1.663 (-05)
9	5.264 (-06)

TABLE II

n	$\lambda_{n,n}$
0	5.000 (-01)
1	6.685 (-02)
2	7.359 (-03)
3	7.994 (-04)
4	8.653 (-05)
5	9.346 (-06)
6	1.008 (-06)
7	1.087 (-07)
8	1.172 (-08)
9	1.263 (-09)
10	1.361 (-10)
11	1.466 (-11)
12	1.579 (-12)
13	1.701 (-13)
14	1.832 (-14)

The following functions $r_{n,n}(x)$, $0 \leq n \leq 14$, constitute a partial *Walsh Table* (cf. [4], p. 162) for Chebyshev rational approximations of e^{-x} in $[0, +\infty)$.

TABLE III

$$e^{-x} \simeq \sum_{i=0}^n p_i x^i / \sum_{i=0}^n q_i x^i, \quad 0 \leq x < \infty$$

i	p_i	q_i

$n = 1$		
0	1.0669	(00)
1	-1.1535	(-01)

$n = 2$		
0	9.92641	(-01)
1	-1.88332	(-01)
2	4.21096	(-03)

$n = 3$		
0	1.00079 9	(00)
1	-2.23657 8	(-01)
2	1.24996 2	(-02)
3	-9.98100 9	(-05)

TABLE III—continued

i	p_i	q_i

$n = 4$		

0	9.99913 47	(-01) 1.00000 00
1	-2.40253 73	(-01) 7.56683 22
2	1.84005 09	(-02) 2.91754 68
3	-4.49812 30	(-04) 4.57502 12
4	1.67651 42	(-06) 1.93769 80

$n = 5$		

0	1.00000 935	(00) 1.00000 000
1	-2.50230 902	(-01) 7.50174 555
2	2.24805 919	(-02) 2.69910 157
3	-8.33629 264	(-04) 6.76687 392
4	1.07797 622	(-05) 6.93457 968
5	-2.19125 327	(-08) 2.34468 866

$n = 6$		

0	9.99998 991	(-01) 1.00000 000
1	-2.56774 988	(-01) 7.43173 208
2	2.53896 499	(-02) 2.68982 436
3	-1.17690 441	(-03) 6.15930 326
4	2.48209 105	(-05) 1.13649 362
5	-1.90699 255	(-07) 8.25674 222
6	2.34264 503	(-10) 2.32303 566

$n = 7$		

0	1.00000 0109	(00) 1.00000 0000
1	-2.61399 8104	(-01) 7.38606 6424
2	2.75489 3180	(-02) 2.66094 7238
3	-1.46758 9943	(-03) 6.22100 6831
4	4.06054 4787	(-05) 1.02296 0372
5	-5.37067 6308	(-07) 1.48784 8134
6	2.65391 0891	(-09) 8.08876 9796
7	-2.11893 3743	(-12) 1.94833 4848

TABLE III—continued

i	p_i	q_i
$n = 11$		
0	1.00000 00000 147	(00)
1	-2.71308 69737 149	(-01)
2	3.24525 83980 923	(-02)
3	-2.23434 38385 867	(-03)
4	9.70327 53192 328	(-05)
5	-2.74176 69166 461	(-06)
6	5.02362 65041 453	(-08)
7	-5.77549 91658 630	(-10)
8	3.88619 42441 125	(-12)
9	-1.34133 12302 919	(-14)
10	1.80098 07948 555	(-17)
11	-3.97762 94455 404	(-21)
$n = 12$		
0	9.99999 99999 8420	(-01)
1	-2.72732 01038 1007	(-01)
2	3.31862 74887 8945	(-02)
3	-2.36102 86093 3434	(-03)
4	1.08182 04721 4783	(-04)
5	-3.31706 70455 2847	(-06)
6	6.85640 06647 2736	(-08)
7	-9.40255 67465 0549	(-10)
8	8.21592 17852 2494	(-12)
9	-4.24605 37294 1828	(-14)
10	1.13357 45322 5507	(-16)
11	-1.18241 93272 9819	(-19)
12	2.03287 74252 3846	(-23)
$n = 13$		
0	1.00000 00000 00170	(00)
1	-2.73931 40321 02750	(-01)
2	3.38101 16410 46875	(-02)
3	-2.47106 93187 70823	(-03)
4	1.18246 13397 91637	(-04)
5	-3.86917 56932 66464	(-06)
6	8.78467 34854 71303	(-08)
7	-1.37667 89576 47893	(-09)
8	1.45460 93630 79049	(-11)
9	-9.90411 24433 78351	(-14)
10	4.02014 83515 52472	(-16)
11	-8.47538 24867 61699	(-19)
12	7.00505 36680 34527	(-22)
13	-9.55806 72950 74149	(-26)

TABLE III—continued

i	p_i	q_i
$n = 14$		
0	9.99999 99999 99816 8	1.00000 00000 00000 0
1	-2.74956 04296 30004 3	7.25043 95703 48866 6
2	3.43469 84175 67147 5	2.59390 94125 01801 2
3	-2.56744 39819 02861 8	6.09681 85127 28359 5
4	1.27340 70715 23318 1	1.05740 49161 69115 6
5	-4.39328 08492 51123 6	1.44055 27154 58731 6
6	1.07532 02054 48522 7	1.60192 11440 61219 0
7	-1.87102 55961 08945 3	1.49082 34724 80024 2
8	2.28495 15765 30015 5	1.18202 91576 35577 2
9	-1.90386 40942 83534 5	8.01639 97982 35750 3
10	1.03101 51365 35049 5	4.83618 00878 64828 1
11	-3.34902 80333 66753 3	2.24720 30400 42852 9
12	5.67438 25539 52350 1	1.29228 02705 79277 9
13	-3.77945 23874 50329 5	1.89218 38854 02244 9
14	4.16098 26642 37661 3	2.27106 80218 89129 5

REFERENCES

1. N. I. ACHESER, "Theory of Approximation." Frederick Ungar Publishing Co., New York, 1956. Translated by C. J. Hyman.
2. P. G. CLARKE, M. H. SCHULTZ, AND R. S. VARGA, Numerical methods of high-order accuracy for nonlinear boundary value problems. I. One dimensional problem. *Numer. Math.* **9** (1967), 394-430.
3. W. J. CODY, W. FRASER, AND J. F. HART, Rational Chebyshev approximation using linear equations. *Numer. Math.* To appear.
4. GÜNTER MEINARDUS, "Approximation of Functions: Theory and Numerical Methods." Springer-Verlag, New York, 1967. Translated by L. L. Schumaker.
5. GÜNTER MEINARDUS, Abschätzungen der Minimalabweichung bei rationaler Approximation. In "Funktionalanalysis, Approximationstheorie, Numerische Mathematik." (L. Collatz, G. Meinardus, and H. Unger, ed) pp. 42-47. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1967.
6. HARVEY S. PRICE AND RICHARD S. VARGA, Numerical analysis of simplified mathematical models of fluid flow in porous media. *Proceedings of Symposia in Applied Mathematics*, Vol. XXX (to appear). American Mathematical Society, Providence.
7. RICHARD S. VARGA, On higher order stable implicit methods for solving parabolic partial differential equations. *J. Math. Phys.* **40** (1961), 220-231.
8. J. L. WALSH, The convergence of sequences of rational functions of best approximation with some free poles. In "Approximations of Functions." (H. L. Garabedian, ed.) pp. 1-16. Elsevier Publishing Co., New York, 1965.
9. HELMUT WERNER, "Vorlesung über Approximationstheorie." Springer-Verlag, New York, 1966.