

EXTENDED ERROR BOUNDS FOR SPLINE  
AND L-SPLINE INTERPOLATION

Stephen Demko and Richard S. Varga

1. Introduction

The basic object of this paper is to extend many of the error bounds for spline and L-spline interpolation, as given in Swartz and Varga [1] and Scherer [2]. To describe these extensions, the following standard notation is used. If  $-\infty < a < b < +\infty$ , and if  $N$  is a positive integer, then

$$(1) \Delta: a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_N = b$$

is a partition of the interval  $[a, b]$  with knots  $x_i$ , and we set

$$\bar{\Delta} \equiv \max_{0 \leq i < N-1} \{x_{i+1} - x_i\}, \text{ and } \underline{\Delta} \equiv \min_{0 \leq i < N-1} \{x_{i+1} - x_i\}. \text{ For } \sigma \geq 1,$$

$P_\sigma(a, b)$  then denotes all partitions  $\Delta$  of  $[a, b]$  for which

$$\bar{\Delta}/\underline{\Delta} \leq \sigma. \text{ Next, if } \pi_n \text{ is the set of all real polynomials of}$$

degree at most  $n$  and  $W_p^k[a, b]$  is the usual Sobolev space with

$$\text{norm } \|\cdot\|_{W_p^k[a, b]}^k, \text{ then for nonnegative integers } n \text{ and } m \text{ with } n \geq m \geq 0,$$

$$(2) \text{Sp}(n, m, \Delta) \equiv \{s \in W_\infty^m[a, b]: s(x) \in \pi_n \text{ for } x \in [x_i, x_{i+1}], 0 \leq i < N-1\}$$

is the space of polynomial splines on  $[a, b]$  relative to the

partition  $\Delta$ . Similarly, if  $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{N-1})$  is an  $(N-1)$ -

tuple of positive integers  $z_i$  with  $1 \leq z_i \leq m$ , then as in [1], with

$$\mu \equiv \max_{1 \leq i < N-1} \{z_i\},$$

$$(3) \text{Sp}(L, \Delta, z) = \{s \in W_\infty^{2m-\mu}[a, b]: L * s(x) = 0 \text{ on } (a, b) - \{x_i\}_{i=1}^{N-1},$$

$$\text{and } D^k s(x_i -) = D^k s(x_i +) \text{ for all } 0 \leq k \leq 2m-1 - z_i, 0 < i < N\}$$

is the space of L-splines on  $[a, b]$ , with incidence vector  $z$ .

A typical result from [1, Theorem 7.4] is the following.

Theorem 1. Given  $f \in C^k[a, b]$  with  $0 \leq k \leq 2m$  and given  $\Delta \in P_1(a, b)$ , let  $s$  be the unique interpolant of  $f$  in  $Sp(2m-1, 2m-1, \Delta)$  such that

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} (f-s)(x_i) = 0, & 1 \leq i \leq m-1, \\ D^j(f-s)(a) = D^j(f-s)(b) = 0 & \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq \min(k, m-1), \\ D^j s(a) = D^j s(b) = 0 & \text{if } \min(k, m-1) < j \leq m-1. \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$(5) \quad K(\overline{\Delta})^k \cdot j \cdot \omega_\infty(D^k f, \overline{\Delta}) \geq \begin{cases} \|D^j(f-s)\|_{L_\infty[a,b]}, & 0 \leq j \leq k, \\ \|D^j s\|_{L_\infty[a,b]}, & \text{if } k < j \leq 2m-1, \end{cases}$$

where  $\omega_\infty$  denotes the usual modulus of continuity.

From the above result, one deduces (cf. [1, Corollary 7.5])

Corollary 2. With the hypotheses of Theorem 1, if  $f \in W^{k+1}_p[a, b]$  with  $0 \leq k \leq 2m$  and  $2 \leq p \leq \infty$ , then for  $p \leq q \leq \infty$ ,

$$(6) \quad K(\overline{\Delta})^{k+1-j} + \frac{1}{q} \frac{1}{P} \|D^{k+1} f\|_{L_p[a,b]} \geq \begin{cases} \|D^j(f-s)\|_{L_q[a,b]}, & 0 \leq j \leq k, \\ \|D^j s\|_{L_q[a,b]}, & \text{if } k < j \leq 2m-1. \end{cases}$$

The basic results of this paper can be described then as extensions of Theorem 1, and improvements of Corollary 2.

## 2. Basic Comparison Theorem

Given any  $f \in L_p[a, b]$  with  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , let

$$(7) \quad \omega_p(f, t) \equiv \sup_{|h| \leq t} \left\{ \int_a^b |f(x+h) - f(x)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p}, \quad 0 < t \leq (b-a),$$

denote the  $p^{\text{th}}$  modulus of continuity of  $f$ , where we assume that  $f$  has been suitably extended to an  $L_p$ -function on  $[2a-b, 2b-a]$ . As is well known,

$$(8) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \omega_p(f, t) = 0 \text{ if } \begin{cases} f \in L_p[a, b], & 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ f \in C^0[a, b], & p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, if  $f \in W_r^k[a, b]$  with  $1 \leq r \leq p$ , then

$$(9) \quad \omega_p(f, t) \leq 4t^{1+\frac{1}{p}} - \frac{1}{t} \|Df\|_{L_r[a, b]}.$$

Next, for any  $0 < h \leq 2(b-a)$ , we define from  $f$  the function

$$(10) \quad f_h(x) = \frac{1}{h} \int_{x-h/2}^{x+h/2} f(t) dt, \quad x \in [a, b],$$

the so-called Stekloff function of  $f$ . If  $f \in W_p^k[a, b]$ , then  $f_h \in W_p^{k+1}[a, b]$ , and moreover, it can be verified that

$$(11) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} D^j f_h(x) &= (D^j f)_h(x), & x \in [a, b], & \quad 0 \leq j \leq k, \\ \|D^j(f-f_h)\|_{L_p[a, b]} &\leq \omega_p(D^j f, h/2), & \quad 0 \leq j \leq k, \\ \|D^{k+1} f_h\|_{L_p[a, b]} &\leq \frac{1}{h} \omega_p(D^k f, h). \end{aligned} \right.$$

With the function  $f_h$ , the following comparison theorem, the analogue of Swartz and Varga [1, Lemma 3.2], can be proved by means of a Peano Kernel Theorem argument.

Theorem 3. Given  $f \in W_p^k[a, b]$  with  $0 \leq k \leq 2m$  and given  $\Delta \in P_0^k(a, b)$ , let  $g$  be the unique interpolant of  $f$  in  $Sp(4m+1, 2m+1, \Delta)$  such that

$$(12) \quad \begin{cases} D^j(f-g)(x_i) = 0, & 0 \leq j \leq k-1 \text{ if } k > 0, & \quad 0 \leq i \leq N, \\ D^k(f_h - g)(x_i) = 0, & & \quad 0 \leq i \leq N, \\ D^j g(x_i) = 0, & k < j \leq 2m, & \quad 0 \leq i \leq N. \end{cases}$$

Then, with  $h = \bar{\Delta}$ ,

$$(13) \quad K(\bar{\Delta})^{k-j+\frac{1}{p}} \frac{1}{q} \omega_p(D^k f, \bar{\Delta}) \geq \begin{cases} \|D^j(f-g)\|_{L_q[a, b]}, & 0 \leq j \leq k-1 \text{ if } k > 0, p \leq q < \infty, \\ \|D^k(f-g)\|_{L_p[a, b]}, & j = k, p = q, \\ \|D^j g\|_{L_q[a, b]}, & k < j \leq 2m, p \leq q < \infty. \end{cases}$$

With the above comparison theorem, we give the following result and sketch its proof.

Theorem 4. Given  $f \in W_p^k[a, b]$  with  $0 < k < 2m$  and with  $2 < p < \infty$ , and given  $\Delta \in P_1^1(a, b)$ , let  $s$  be the unique interpolant of  $f$  in  $Sp(2m-1, 2m-1, \Delta)$  such that

$$(14) \quad \begin{cases} (f-s)(x_i) = 0, & l \leq i \leq N-L, & \text{if } k > 0, \\ (f_h - s)(x_i) = 0, & l \leq i \leq N-L, & \text{if } k = 0, \\ D^j(f-s)(a) = D^j(f-s)(b) = 0 & \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq \min(k-l, m-l) & \text{if } k > 0, \\ D^k(f_h - s)(a) = D^k(f_h - s)(b) = 0 & \text{if } k \leq m-l, \\ D^j s(a) = D^j s(b) = 0 & \text{if } k < j \leq m-l. \end{cases}$$

Then, with  $h = \bar{\Delta}$ ,

$$(15) \quad \begin{cases} k-j + \frac{1}{q} \frac{1}{p_\omega} (D^k f, \bar{\Delta}) \geq \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \|D^j(f-s)\|_{L_q[a,b]}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq k-l \text{ if } k > 0, p < q < \infty, \\ \|D^k(f-s)\|_{L_p[a,b]}, \quad j = k, p = q, \\ \|D^j s\|_{L_q[a,b]}, \quad \text{if } k < j \leq 2m-l, p < q < \infty. \end{array} \right. \end{cases}$$

Proof: To sketch the proof of this result, write  $f-s = (f-g) + (g-s)$ , where  $g$  is the interpolant of  $f$  in  $Sp(4m+1, 2m+1, \Delta)$ , in the sense of (12). Applying (13) of Theorem 3 then suitably bounds the derivatives of  $(f-g)$ . Next, because of the definition of  $g$  in (13),  $s$ , as defined in (14), is also the unique interpolant of  $g$  in  $Sp(2m-1, 2m-1, \Delta)$ , in the sense of (4). Applying the first inequality of (6) of Corollary 2 then yields

$$\|D^j(g-s)\|_{L_q[a,b]} \leq K(\bar{\Delta}) \begin{matrix} k+1-j + \frac{1}{q} \frac{1}{p} \\ \|D^{k+1}g\|_{L_p[a,b]} \end{matrix} \text{ for } 0 \leq j < k, \\ p < q < \infty.$$

But, from the last inequality of (13) for  $j = k+1$ ,  $\|D^{k+1}g\|_{L_p[a,b]} \leq K(\bar{\Delta})^{-1} \omega_p(D^k f, \bar{\Delta})$ , whence  $\|D^j(g-s)\|_{L_q[a,b]} \leq K(\bar{\Delta})^{k-j + \frac{1}{q} \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \omega_p(D^k f, \bar{\Delta})$ ,

from which the first two inequalities of (15) follow. Similarly, upon writing  $s=g-(g-s)$ , the above technique, i.e., using the bounds of (13) and (6), yields the third inequality of (15).  
Q.E.D.

Corollary 5. With the hypotheses of Theorem 4, let  $(\Delta_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \in P_1(a,b)$  with  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\Delta}_i = 0$ , and let  $s_i$  be the unique interpolant of  $f$  in  $Sp(2m-1, 2m-1, \Delta_i)$ , in the sense of (14) with  $h = \bar{\Delta}_i$ . Then,

$$(16) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|D_i^k(f-s_i)\|_{L_p[a,b]} = 0.$$

It is interesting to remark that, since the error bounds of (15) for the special case  $p=q=\infty$  essentially reduce for smooth functions to the bounds of (5), then Theorem 4 can be viewed as an extension of Theorem 1. Similarly, the error bounds of (15) represent a sharpening of the error bounds of (6) (with  $k$  replaced by  $k-1$ ) in two ways. First, the added factor  $\omega_p(D_i^k, \bar{\Delta})$  in (15) tends to zero as  $\bar{\Delta} \rightarrow 0$  if  $2 < p < \infty$  (cf. (8)). Second, one obtains the additional estimate for  $\|D_i^k(f-s)\|_{L_p[a,b]}$  in (15) which does not appear in (6) (with  $k$  replaced by  $k-1$ ).

### 3. Extensions

The basic comparison function  $g$ , as defined in Theorem 3, can similarly be systematically used to obtain improved error bounds for L-spline, Hermite L-spline, and polynomial spline interpolation under general boundary conditions, thereby extending the general error bounds of Swartz and Varga [1], both for uniform and nonuniform partitions of  $[a,b]$ . The same can also be done to extend the stability-type error bounds of [1]. Finally, new interpolation error bounds for even-ordered polynomial splines, determined from integral-type interpolation conditions, as considered, for example, in Scherer [2], also can be deduced from the basic comparison Theorem 3.

References

- [1] Swartz, B. K. and R. S. Varga, Error bounds for spline and L-spline interpolation. J. Approx. Theory 6 (1972), 6-49.
- [2] Scherer, K., A comparison approach to direct theorems for polynomial spline approximation. To appear in J. Approx. Theory.

Department of Mathematics  
Kent State University  
Kent, Ohio 44240