

# A REMARK ON THE MAHLER CONJECTURE: LOCAL MINIMALITY OF THE UNIT CUBE

FEDOR NAZAROV, FEDOR PETROV, DMITRY RYABOGIN, AND ARTEM ZVAVITCH

ABSTRACT. We prove that the unit cube  $B_\infty^n$  is a strict local minimizer for the Mahler volume product  $\text{vol}_n(K)\text{vol}_n(K^*)$  in the class of origin symmetric convex bodies endowed with the Banach-Mazur distance.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1939 Mahler [Ma] asked the following question. Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , be a convex origin-symmetric body and let

$$K^* := \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \cdot \xi \leq 1 \ \forall x \in K\}$$

be its polar body. Define  $\mathcal{P}(K) = \text{vol}_n(K)\text{vol}_n(K^*)$ . Is it true that we always have

$$\mathcal{P}(K) \geq \mathcal{P}(B_\infty^n),$$

where  $B_\infty^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x_i| \leq 1, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ ?

Mahler himself proved in [Ma] that the answer is affirmative when  $n = 2$ . There are several other proofs of the two-dimensional result, see for example the proof of M. Meyer, [Me2], but the question is still open even in the three-dimensional case.

In the  $n$ -dimensional case, the conjecture has been verified for some special classes of bodies, namely, for bodies that are unit balls of Banach spaces with 1-unconditional bases, [SR], [R2], [Me1], and for zonoids, [R1], [GMR].

Bourgain and Milman [BM] (see also [Pi]) proved the inequality

$$\mathcal{P}(K)^{1/n} \geq c\mathcal{P}(B_\infty^n)^{1/n},$$

with some constant  $c > 0$  independent of  $n$ . The best known constant  $c = \pi/4$  is due to Kuperberg [Ku].

Note that the exact upper bound for  $\mathcal{P}(K)$  is known:

$$\mathcal{P}(K) \leq \mathcal{P}(B_2^n),$$

where  $B_2^n$  is the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean unit ball. This bound was proved by Santalo [Sa]. In [Pe] and [MeP] it was shown that the equality holds only if  $K$  is an ellipsoid.

Let  $d_{BM}(K, L) = \inf\{b/a : \exists T \in GL(n) \text{ such that } aK \subseteq TL \subseteq bK\}$  be the Banach-Mazur multiplicative distance between bodies  $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . In this paper we prove the following result.

---

*Date:* October 19, 2009.

*1991 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 52A15, 52A21.

*Key words and phrases.* Convex body, Duality, Mahler Conjecture, Polytopes.

Supported in part by U.S. National Science Foundation grants DMS-0652684, DMS-0800243, DMS-0808908.

**Theorem.** *Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be an origin-symmetric convex body. Then*

$$\mathcal{P}(K) \geq \mathcal{P}(B_\infty^n),$$

*provided that  $d_{BM}(K, B_\infty^n) \leq 1 + \delta$ , and  $\delta = \delta(n) > 0$  is small enough. Moreover, the equality holds only if  $d_{BM}(K, B_\infty^n) = 1$ , i.e., if  $K$  is a parallelepiped.*

**Acknowledgment.** We are indebted to Mathieu Meyer for valuable discussions.

**Notation.** Given a set  $F \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , we define  $\text{af}(F)$  to be the affine subspace of the minimal dimension containing  $F$ , and  $l(F)$  to be the linear subspace parallel to  $\text{af}(F)$  of the same dimension. The boundary of a convex body  $K$  is denoted by  $\partial K$ . For a given set  $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , we write  $P^\perp = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \cdot y = 0, \forall y \in P\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the set of all faces  $F$  of all dimensions of the cube  $B_\infty^n$ . We denote by  $c_F$  the center of a face  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . We also denote  $B_p^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum_i |x_i|^p \leq 1\}$ .

By  $C$  and  $c$  (with various indices and superscripts) we denote large and small positive constants respectively that may change from line to line and may depend on the dimension  $n$ , but on nothing else.

## 2. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROOF

The first difficulty in proving local minimality of the unit cube is that there are plenty of small perturbations with the same volume product, namely all close parallelepipeds. We overcome this difficulty by choosing a ‘‘canonical representative’’ in each class of affinely equivalent convex bodies. More precisely, we consider only the bodies  $K$  for which the unit cube is a parallelepiped of the least volume containing  $K$ . In addition to taking care of all close parallelepipeds, it allows us to fix  $2n$  points on the boundary of  $K$  and  $K^*$  (the centers of the  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional faces of  $B_\infty^n$ ). Our next step is to choose several additional points on the boundary of  $K$  and  $K^*$  and to construct two (not necessarily convex) polytopes  $P \subset K$  and  $Q \subset K^*$  such that

$$\text{vol}_n(P)\text{vol}_n(Q) \geq \mathcal{P}(B_\infty^n) - C\delta^2,$$

where  $\delta$  is the least positive number for which  $(1 - \delta)B_\infty^n \subset K$ . We conclude that  $B_\infty^n$  is a lower semi-stationary point for the volume product functional  $\mathcal{P}$ . This means that the perturbation of  $B_\infty^n$  by  $\delta$  in the Banach-Mazur distance may result in decreasing the product volume only by  $\delta^2$ , i.e., in the second order rather than in the first. Our last step is to show that either  $K$  contains a point outside  $(1 + c\delta)P$  or  $K^*$  contains a point outside  $(1 + c\delta)Q$  for some small positive  $c$ . This allows us to conclude that  $\mathcal{P}(K)$  exceeds  $\text{vol}_n(P)\text{vol}_n(Q)$  by at least  $c\delta$  and get the final estimate

$$\mathcal{P}(K) \geq \mathcal{P}(B_\infty^n) + c\delta - C\delta^2$$

from which the strict local minimality follows immediately.

It is worth mentioning that the first part of the proof (lower semi-stationarity) works equally well for some other polytopes, for example, for the regular icosahedron and dodecahedron in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . This indicates that the widely discussed idea to prove the Mahler conjecture by creating some kind of ‘‘gradient flow’’ on the class of convex

bodies with respect to the volume product functional may be harder to realize than it seems.

### 3. AUXILIARY RESULTS

Note that  $\mathcal{P}(TK) = \mathcal{P}(K)$  for all  $T \in GL(n)$ . We will use this fact for choosing a canonical position for  $K$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $P$  be a parallelepiped of minimal volume containing a convex origin-symmetric body  $K$  (note that every such parallelepiped is origin symmetric as well). Let  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a linear transformation such that  $P = TB_\infty^n$ . Then  $T^{-1}K \subset B_\infty^n$  and  $\pm e_j \in \partial T^{-1}K$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $B_\infty^n$  is a parallelepiped of minimal volume containing  $T^{-1}K$ . If  $e_j \notin T^{-1}K$ , then there exists an affine hyperplane  $H \ni e_j$  such that  $H \cap T^{-1}K = \emptyset$ . Note that the volume of the parallelepiped bounded by  $H$ ,  $-H$ , and the affine hyperplanes  $\{x : x \cdot e_i = \pm 1\}$ ,  $i \neq j$ , equals  $\text{vol}_n(B_\infty^n)$ , and that this parallelepiped still contains  $K$ . But then we can shift  $H$  and  $-H$  towards  $K$  a little bit and get a new parallelepiped of smaller volume containing  $K$ .  $\square$

We shall need the following simple technical lemma.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a star-shaped (with respect to the origin) polytope such that every  $(n-1)$ -dimensional face  $F$  of  $P$  has area at least  $A$  and satisfies  $\text{dist}(\text{af}(F), 0) \geq r$ , where  $\text{dist}$  denotes the Euclidean distance. Let  $x \notin (1 + \delta)P$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . Then*

$$\text{vol}_n(\text{conv}(P, x)) \geq \text{vol}_n(P) + \frac{\delta r A}{n}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $y = \partial P \cap [0, x]$ . Let  $F$  be a face of  $P$  containing  $y$ . Then  $\text{conv}(P, x) \setminus P$  contains the pyramid with base  $F$  and apex  $x$ . The assumptions of the lemma imply that the height of this pyramid is at least  $\delta \text{dist}(\text{af}(F), 0) \geq \delta r$ , so its volume is at least  $\frac{\delta r A}{n}$ .  $\square$

If  $K$  is sufficiently close to  $B_\infty^n$ , then  $K$  is also close to any parallelepiped of minimal volume containing  $K$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $K$  be a convex body satisfying*

$$(1 - \delta)B_\infty^n \subset K \subset B_\infty^n.$$

*Then there exists a constant  $C$  and a linear operator  $T$  such that*

$$(1 - C\delta)B_\infty^n \subset T^{-1}K \subset B_\infty^n,$$

*and  $\pm e_i \in T^{-1}K$ .*

*Proof.* Let as before  $P = TB_\infty^n$  be a parallelepiped of minimal volume containing  $K$ . Note that  $\text{vol}_n(P) \leq 2^n$ . On the other hand, if  $x \in P \setminus (1 + \kappa)(1 - \delta)B_\infty^n$ , then, by Lemma 2,

$$\text{vol}_n(P) \geq 2^n(1 - \delta)^n + \kappa \frac{2^{n-1}}{n}(1 - \delta)^n.$$

The right hand side is greater than  $2^n$  if  $\kappa > \kappa_0 = 2n((1 - \delta)^{-n} - 1)$ . Thus,  $P \subset (1 + \kappa_0)(1 - \delta)B_\infty^n$ , and thereby  $(1 - \kappa_0)P \subset (1 - \delta)B_\infty^n \subset K$ . It remains to note that  $\kappa_0 \leq 4n^2\delta$  for sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ .  $\square$

Thus, replacing  $K$  by its suitable linear image we may assume everywhere below that  $K \subset B_\infty^n$ ,  $\pm e_j \in \partial K$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Let  $\delta > 0$  be the minimal number such that  $(1 - \delta)B_\infty^n \subset K$ .

#### 4. COMPUTATION OF THE KERNEL OF THE DIFFERENTIAL OF THE VOLUME FUNCTION

Choose some numbers  $a_k > 0$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, n - 1$ , and define the polytope  $Q_0$  as the union of the simplices

$$S_{\mathbb{F}} = \text{conv}(0, a_0 c_{F_0}, a_1 c_{F_1}, \dots, a_{n-1} c_{F_{n-1}}),$$

where  $\mathbb{F} = \{F_0, \dots, F_{n-1}\}$  runs over all flags ( $F_0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \dots \subset F_{n-1}$ ,  $\dim F_j = j$ ) of faces of the unit cube.

Choose now some points  $x_F$  close to  $x_F^0 = a_{\dim F} c_F$  and consider the polytope  $Q$  defined in the same way using the points  $x_F$ . Consider the function  $g(\{x_F\}_{F \in \mathcal{F}}) = \text{vol}_n(Q)$ . It is just a polynomial of degree  $n$  of the coordinates of  $x_F$ , so it is infinitely smooth.

**Lemma 4.** *If  $\Delta x_F \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\Delta x_F \perp c_F$  for all  $F$ , then  $\{\Delta x_F\} \in \text{Ker} D_{\{x_F^0\}} g$ , where  $D_X g$  is the differential of  $g$  at the point  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Since the kernel of the differential is a linear space, it suffices to check this for the vectors  $\{\Delta x_F\}$  in which only one  $\Delta x_{\tilde{F}} \neq 0$ . Due to symmetry, we may assume that  $c_{\tilde{F}} = (\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_k, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-k})$ . The space orthogonal to  $c_{\tilde{F}}$  is then generated by the

vectors  $e_j$ ,  $j > k$  and  $e_i - e_j$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ . Note now that the polytopes  $Q^+$  and  $Q^-$  built on the points  $x_F^0$ ,  $F \neq \tilde{F}$ , and  $x_{\tilde{F}}^0 \pm h e_j$ , where  $j > k$ , are symmetric with respect to the symmetry  $e_j \rightarrow -e_j$ , so their volumes are the same. On the other hand, the difference of their volumes in the first order is  $2h D_{\{x_F^0\}} g(\{0, \dots, e_j, \dots, 0\})$ , where  $e_j$  stands in the position corresponding to  $\tilde{F} \in \mathcal{F}$ . Thus,

$$D_{\{x_{\tilde{F}}^0\}} g(\{0, \dots, e_j, \dots, 0\}) = 0.$$

To prove the equality  $D_{\{x_F^0\}} g(\{0, \dots, e_i - e_j, \dots, 0\}) = 0$ , consider  $Q'$  and  $Q''$  built using the points  $x_F = x_F^0$ ,  $F \neq \tilde{F}$  and  $x_{\tilde{F}} = x_{\tilde{F}}^0 + h e_i$  or  $x_{\tilde{F}} = x_{\tilde{F}}^0 + h e_j$  respectively. They are also symmetric with respect to the symmetry  $e_i \leftrightarrow e_j$  and the difference of their volumes in the first order equals  $h D_{\{x_F^0\}} g(\{0, \dots, e_i - e_j, \dots, 0\})$ .  $\square$

Below we shall also need the following elementary observation from real analysis.

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $g(X)$  be a smooth function on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and  $X_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . There exists a constant  $\text{Const}$  depending on  $g$  and  $X_0$  such that for all sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$  and all  $X_1, X_2 \in \mathbb{R}^N$  satisfying*

$$\|X_1 - X_0\|, \|X_2 - X_0\| \leq \delta \text{ and } X_1 - X_2 \in \text{Ker} D_{X_0} g,$$

one has  $|g(X_1) - g(X_2)| \leq \text{Const } \delta^2$ .

*Proof.* Using the Taylor formula, we get

$$g(X_j) = g(X_0) + (D_{X_0}g)(X_j - X_0) + O(\delta^2), \text{ where } j = 1, 2.$$

Subtracting these two identities, we obtain

$$g(X_1) - g(X_2) = (D_{X_0}g)(X_1 - X_2) + O(\delta^2) = O(\delta^2),$$

because  $(D_{X_0}g)(X_1 - X_2) = 0$ . □

Let  $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a convex polytope. For a face  $F$  of  $P$ , we define its dual face  $F^*$  of  $P^*$  by  $F^* = \{y \in P^* : x \cdot y = 1 \text{ for all } x \in F\}$  (see Chapter 3.4 in [Gr]).

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $P$  be a convex polytope such that  $0$  is in the interior of  $P$ . Let  $P^*$  be its dual polytope. Choose some pair of dual faces  $F$  and  $F^*$  of  $P$  and  $P^*$  respectively and some points  $x \in F$ ,  $x^* \in F^*$  in the relative interiors of  $F$  and  $F^*$ . Assume that  $K$  is a convex body satisfying  $(1 - \delta)P \subset K \subset P$ . Then there exists a pair of points  $y \in \partial K$  and  $y^* \in \partial K^*$  such that  $y \cdot y^* = 1$  and  $\|y - x\|, \|y^* - x^*\| \leq C\delta$ , where  $C > 0$  does not depend on  $K$  or  $\delta$ , but may depend on  $P, P^*, F, F^*, x$  and  $x^*$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $x \cdot x^* = 1 > 0$ , there exists a self-adjoint positive definite linear operator  $A$  such that  $Ax = x^*$ . This operator can be chosen as follows: Let  $L$  be a 2-dimensional plane through the origin containing both  $x$  and  $x^*$ .  $A$  will act identically on  $L^\perp$ . To define its action on  $L$ , choose an orthogonal basis  $e_1, e_2$  in  $L$  such that  $e_1 = x$  and put

$$A|_L = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & a' \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $x^* = ae_1 + be_2$  and  $a' > 0$  is chosen so large that  $aa' > b^2$ .

We will use below the following simple orthogonality relations:

- (1)  $x \perp l(F^*)$ .
- (2)  $x^* \perp l(F)$ .
- (3)  $l(F) \perp l(F^*)$ .
- (4)  $[A^{-1}l(F^*)]^\perp = \text{span}[x^*, Al(F)]$  and  $[Al(F)]^\perp = \text{span}[x, A^{-1}l(F^*)]$ .
- (5)  $(x^*)^\perp \cap \text{span}(x, A^{-1}l(F^*)) = A^{-1}l(F^*)$ .

(1), (2) and (3) follow directly from the definition of  $F^*$  (see Chapter 3.4 in [Gr]). Let us first prove (4). Since  $l(F) \perp l(F^*)$  and  $A$  is self-adjoint, we also have  $Al(F) \perp A^{-1}l(F^*)$ . Also, since  $x \perp l(F^*)$ , we have  $x^* = Ax \perp A^{-1}l(F^*)$ . Thus  $\text{span}(x^*, Al(F)) \subset [A^{-1}l(F^*)]^\perp$ . On the other hand,  $x \notin l(F)$ , so  $x^* = Ax \notin Al(F)$  and

$$\dim(\text{span}(x^*, Al(F))) = 1 + \dim F = n - \dim F^* = n - \dim A^{-1}l(F^*),$$

so  $[A^{-1}l(F^*)]^\perp$  can not be wider than  $\text{span}(x^*, Al(F))$ . Similarly,

$$[Al(F)]^\perp = \text{span}[x, A^{-1}l(F^*)].$$

To prove (5), we first note that  $A^{-1}l(F^*) \perp x^*$  (see (4)). Since  $x^* \cdot x = 1 \neq 0$ ,  $(x^*)^\perp \cap \text{span}(x, A^{-1}l(F^*))$  is a subspace of codimension 1 in  $\text{span}(x, A^{-1}l(F^*))$ , so it cannot be wider than  $A^{-1}l(F^*)$ .

Let  $\tilde{K} = K \cap \text{span}(x, A^{-1}l(F^*))$  and let  $y \in \tilde{K}$  maximize  $y \cdot x^*$ . Then  $y \in \partial K$  and a tangent plane to  $K$  at  $y$  contains an affine plane parallel to  $(x^*)^\perp \cap \text{span}(x, A^{-1}l(F^*)) = A^{-1}l(F^*)$ . Therefore, there exists  $y^* \in \partial K^* \cap [A^{-1}l(F^*)]^\perp = \partial K^* \cap \text{span}(x^*, Al(F))$  such that  $y \cdot y^* = 1$ .

Now let  $y = \alpha x + h$  and  $y^* = \alpha^* x^* + h^*$ , where  $h \in A^{-1}l(F^*)$  and  $h^* \in Al(F)$ . Note that  $y \cdot x^* = \alpha$ , so by maximality of  $y$ ,

$$\alpha = (y, x^*) > (0, x^*) = 0.$$

Also  $y \cdot y^* = \alpha\alpha^* = 1$ , so  $\alpha^* > 0$ . Let  $\rho > 0$  be such that  $B(x, \rho) \cap \text{af}(F) \subset F$  and  $B(x^*, \rho) \cap \text{af}(F^*) \subset F^*$  where  $B(z, t)$  is the Euclidean ball of radius  $t$  centered at  $z$ . Since  $y \in \partial K$  and

$$K^* \supset P^* \supset F^* \ni x^* + \frac{\rho Ah}{\|Ah\|},$$

we have

$$1 \geq y \cdot \left( x^* + \frac{\rho Ah}{\|Ah\|} \right) = \alpha + \frac{\rho Ah \cdot h}{\|Ah\|} \geq \alpha + \rho' \|h\|, \text{ where } \rho' = \frac{\rho}{\|A\| \|A^{-1}\|}.$$

Since  $y^* \in \partial K^*$  and

$$K \supset (1 - \delta)P \supset (1 - \delta)F \ni (1 - \delta) \left[ x + \frac{\rho A^{-1}h^*}{\|A^{-1}h^*\|} \right],$$

we have

$$\left( (1 - \delta) \left[ x + \frac{\rho A^{-1}h^*}{\|A^{-1}h^*\|} \right] \right) \cdot y^* \leq 1$$

and

$$(1 - \delta)^{-1} \geq \left[ x + \frac{\rho A^{-1}h^*}{\|A^{-1}h^*\|} \right] \cdot y^* = \alpha^* + \frac{\rho A^{-1}h^* \cdot h^*}{\|A^{-1}h^*\|} \geq \alpha^* + \rho' \|h^*\|.$$

Thus  $\alpha \leq 1$  and  $\alpha^* \leq 1/(1 - \delta)$ , which, together with  $\alpha\alpha^* = 1$ , gives  $\alpha \geq 1 - \delta$  and  $\alpha^* \geq 1$ . Hence  $\rho' \|h\| \leq \delta$ ,  $\rho' \|h^*\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \delta} - 1$  and, thereby,  $\|y - x\|, \|y^* - x^*\| \leq C\delta$ .  $\square$

**Remark:** Below (in Section 5) we will need Lemma 6 only for the case when  $x$  and  $x^*$  are collinear. In this case we can choose  $A$  to be a pure homothety and get the points  $y = \alpha x + h$  and  $y^* = \alpha^* x^* + h^*$ , with  $h \in l(F^*)$  and  $h^* \in l(F)$ .

Now define  $c_F^* = \frac{1}{n - \dim F} c_F$ . Choose positive numbers  $\alpha_F$  and  $\alpha_F^*$  satisfying  $\alpha_F \alpha_F^* = 1$  and put  $y_F = \alpha_F c_F$ ,  $y_F^* = \alpha_F^* c_F^*$ .

Let  $Q = \cup_{\mathbb{F}} S_{\mathbb{F}}(Q)$  and  $Q' = \cup_{\mathbb{F}} S_{\mathbb{F}}(Q')$ , where

$$S_{\mathbb{F}}(Q) = \text{conv}(0, y_{F_0}, y_{F_1}, \dots, y_{F_{n-1}}) \text{ and } S_{\mathbb{F}}(Q') = \text{conv}(0, y_{F_0}^*, y_{F_1}^*, \dots, y_{F_{n-1}}^*)$$

and  $\mathbb{F}$  runs over all flags  $\mathbb{F} = \{F_0, \dots, F_{n-1}\}$  of faces of  $B_\infty^n$ .

**Lemma 7.**

$$\text{vol}_n(Q) \text{vol}_n(Q') \geq \mathcal{P}(B_\infty^n).$$

*Proof.* For every flag  $\mathbb{F} = \{F_0, \dots, F_{n-1}\}$ ,

$$\text{vol}_n(S_{\mathbb{F}}(Q)) = \text{vol}_n(S_{\mathbb{F}}(B_{\infty}^n)) \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \alpha_{F_j}, \text{ where } S_{\mathbb{F}}(B_{\infty}^n) = \text{conv}(0, c_{F_0}, c_{F_1}, \dots, c_{F_{n-1}}),$$

and

$$\text{vol}_n(S_{\mathbb{F}}(Q')) = \text{vol}_n(S_{\mathbb{F}}(B_1^n)) \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \alpha_{F_j}^*, \text{ where } S_{\mathbb{F}}(B_1^n) = \text{conv}(0, c_{F_0}^*, c_{F_1}^*, \dots, c_{F_{n-1}}^*).$$

Hence,

$$\text{vol}_n(S_{\mathbb{F}}(Q))\text{vol}_n(S_{\mathbb{F}}(Q')) = \text{vol}_n(S_{\mathbb{F}}(B_{\infty}^n))\text{vol}_n(S_{\mathbb{F}}(B_1^n)).$$

The factors on the right hand side do not depend on the flag  $\mathbb{F}$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}_n(Q)\text{vol}_n(Q') &= \sum_{\mathbb{F}} \text{vol}_n(S_{\mathbb{F}}(Q)) \sum_{\mathbb{F}} \text{vol}_n(S_{\mathbb{F}}(Q')) \\ &\geq \left( \sum_{\mathbb{F}} \sqrt{\text{vol}_n(S_{\mathbb{F}}(Q))\text{vol}_n(S_{\mathbb{F}}(Q'))} \right)^2 = \left( \sum_{\mathbb{F}} \sqrt{\text{vol}_n(S_{\mathbb{F}}(B_{\infty}^n))\text{vol}_n(S_{\mathbb{F}}(B_1^n))} \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{\mathbb{F}} \text{vol}_n(S_{\mathbb{F}}(B_{\infty}^n)) \sum_{\mathbb{F}} \text{vol}_n(S_{\mathbb{F}}(B_1^n)) = \text{vol}_n(B_{\infty}^n)\text{vol}_n(B_1^n) = \mathcal{P}(B_{\infty}^n). \end{aligned}$$

□

## 5. LOWER STATIONARITY OF $B_{\infty}^n$

Now apply Lemma 6 to  $B_{\infty}^n$  and  $B_1^n$  and the points  $c_F \in F$  and  $c_F^* = \frac{1}{n-\dim F}c_F \in F^*$ , where  $F^*$  is the face of  $B_1^n$  dual to  $F$ . Since  $c_F$  and  $c_F^*$  are collinear, we get points

$$x_F = \alpha_F c_F + h_F \in \partial K \text{ and } x_F^* = \alpha_F^* c_F^* + h_F^* \in \partial K^*,$$

where  $\alpha_F \alpha_F^* = 1$ ,  $h_F \in l(F^*)$ ,  $h_F^* \in l(F)$  and  $|\alpha_F - 1|, |\alpha_F^* - 1|, \|h_F\|, \|h_F^*\| \leq C\delta$ .

Since  $\pm e_j \in \partial K$  and  $\pm e_j \in \partial K^*$ , we can choose  $x_F = y_F = x_F^* = y_F^* = c_F = c_F^*$  when  $\dim F = n - 1$ .

Put  $y_F = \alpha_F c_F$  and  $y_F^* = \alpha_F^* c_F^*$ , and consider the polytopes

$$P = \cup_{\mathbb{F}} \text{conv}(0, x_{F_0}, \dots, x_{F_{n-1}}) \text{ and } P' = \cup_{\mathbb{F}} \text{conv}(0, x_{F_0}^*, \dots, x_{F_{n-1}}^*),$$

$$Q = \cup_{\mathbb{F}} \text{conv}(0, y_{F_0}, \dots, y_{F_{n-1}}) \text{ and } Q' = \cup_{\mathbb{F}} \text{conv}(0, y_{F_0}^*, \dots, y_{F_{n-1}}^*).$$

Note that  $x_F - y_F = h_F$ ,  $x_F^* - y_F^* = h_F^*$  and  $h_F, h_F^* \perp c_F$ .

Thus by Lemmata 4, 5.

$$|\text{vol}_n(P) - \text{vol}_n(Q)| \leq C\delta^2 \text{ and } |\text{vol}_n(P') - \text{vol}_n(Q')| \leq C\delta^2,$$

whence

$$\text{vol}_n(P)\text{vol}_n(P') \geq \text{vol}_n(Q)\text{vol}_n(Q') - C\delta^2 \geq \mathcal{P}(B_{\infty}^n) - C\delta^2,$$

where the last inequality follows from Lemma 7.

Since  $K \supset P$  and  $K^* \supset P'$ , it remains to show that for some  $c > 0$ , either  $K \not\subset (1+c\delta)P$ , or  $K^* \not\subset (1+c\delta)P'$ . Then, by Lemma 2, either  $\text{vol}_n(K) \geq \text{vol}_n(P) + c'\delta$ , or  $\text{vol}_n(K^*) \geq \text{vol}_n(P') + c'\delta$ . This yields

$$\mathcal{P}(K) \geq \mathcal{P}(B_{\infty}^n) + c''\delta - C\delta^2 > \mathcal{P}(B_{\infty}^n),$$

provided that  $\delta > 0$  is small enough.

## 6. THE CONCLUSION OF THE PROOF

Note that at least one of the coordinates of one of the  $x_{\tilde{F}}$  with  $\dim \tilde{F} = 0$  is at most  $1 - \delta$ . Indeed, assume that all coordinates are greater than  $(1 - \delta')$  in absolute value with some  $\delta' < \delta$ . Define  $D = \text{conv}\{x_F : F \in \mathcal{F}, \dim F = 0\} \subset K$ . Let  $z \in D^*$ . Choose  $F$  so that  $(x_F)_j z_j \geq 0$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Then

$$1 \geq x_F \cdot z \geq (1 - \delta') \sum_j |z_j|.$$

Thus,  $D^* \subset (1 - \delta')^{-1} B_1^n$  and  $D \supset (1 - \delta') B_\infty^n$ , contradicting the minimality of  $\delta$ .

Due to symmetry, we may assume without loss of generality that  $\tilde{F} = \{(1, \dots, 1)\}$  and that  $(x_{\tilde{F}})_1 \leq 1 - \delta$ . Assume that  $K \subset (1 + c\delta)P$ . Consider the point  $\tilde{x} = (1 - \delta, c'\delta, \dots, c'\delta)$ , where  $c' = 1/(n - \frac{5}{4})$ . Then  $\tilde{x} \in (1 - c''\delta)P^*$ , where  $c'' = 1/(4n - 5)$ . Indeed, it is enough to check that  $\tilde{x} \cdot x_F \leq 1 - c''\delta$  for all vertices  $x_F$  of  $P$ . If  $F \neq \{(1, \dots, 1)\}$ , then all coordinates of  $x_F$  do not exceed 1 and at least one does not exceed  $1/2$ . Thus, if  $\delta$  is small enough, we get

$$\tilde{x} \cdot x_F \leq (1 - \delta) + (n - 2)c'\delta + \frac{c'\delta}{2} = 1 - \delta + (n - \frac{3}{2})c'\delta = 1 - c''\delta.$$

If  $F = \{(1, \dots, 1)\}$ , then

$$\tilde{x} \cdot x_F \leq (1 - \delta)^2 + (n - 1)c'\delta = 1 - 2\delta + \frac{n - 1}{n - \frac{5}{4}}\delta + \delta^2 \leq 1 - 2\delta + \frac{4}{3}\delta + \delta^2 \leq 1 - c''\delta,$$

provided that  $\delta > 0$  is small enough. Therefore if  $c < c''$ , we get  $\tilde{x} \in \frac{1}{1+c\delta}P^* \subset K^*$ .

Now note that for every  $x \in P'$ , we have

$$|x_1| + (1 - C'\delta) \sum_{j \geq 2} |x_j| \leq 1,$$

provided  $C'$  is chosen large enough. Indeed, again it is enough to check this for the vertices  $x_F^*$  of  $P'$ . If  $c_F \neq (\pm 1, 0, \dots, 0)$  we have  $\sum_{j \geq 2} |(x_F^*)_j| \geq 1/3$ , so

$$|(x_F^*)_1| + (1 - C'\delta) \sum_{j \geq 2} |(x_F^*)_j| \leq \sum_{j \geq 1} |(x_F^*)_j| - C'\delta \sum_{j \geq 2} |(x_F^*)_j| \leq 1 + nC\delta - \frac{C'\delta}{3} \leq 1,$$

provided that  $C' \geq 3nC$ , where  $C$  is the constant such that  $\|x_F^* - c_F^*\| \leq C\delta$ . If  $c_F = (\pm 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ , then  $x_F = \pm e_1$  and the inequality is trivial.

Now it remains to note that

$$|\tilde{x}_1| + (1 - C'\delta) \sum_{j \geq 2} |\tilde{x}_j| = 1 - \delta + (1 - C'\delta)(n - 1)c'\delta = 1 + c''\delta - C'(n - 1)c'\delta^2 > 1 + c\delta,$$

provided that  $c < c''/2$  and  $\delta$  is small enough, whence  $\tilde{x} \notin (1 + c\delta)P'$ .

## REFERENCES

- [BM] J. BOURGAIN, V. D. MILMAN, *New volume ratio properties for convex symmetric bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$* . Invent. Math. 88, no. 2 (1987), 319–340.
- [Gr] B. GRUNBAUM, *Convex Polytopes*. Graduate Texts in mathematics, 221, Springer, 2003.
- [GMR] Y. GORDON, M. MEYER AND S. REISNER, *Zonoids with minimal volume-product - a new proof*. Proceedings of the American Math. Soc. 104 (1988), 273–276.
- [Ku] G. KUPERBERG, *From the Mahler Conjecture to Gauss Linking Integrals*. Geometric And Functional Analysis, 18/ 3, (2008), 870–892.
- [Ma] K. MAHLER, *Ein Ubertragungsprinzip fur konvexe Korper*. Casopis Pyest. Mat. Fys. 68, (1939), 93–102.
- [Me1] M. MEYER, *Une caracterisation volumique de certains espaces normes de dimension finie*. Israel J. Math. 55 (1986), no. 3, 317–326.
- [Me2] M. MEYER, *Convex bodies with minimal volume product in  $\mathbb{R}^2$* . Monatsh. Math. 112 (1991), 297–301.
- [MeP] M. MEYER AND A. PAJOR, *On Santalo inequality*. Geometric aspects of functional analysis (1987–88), Lecture Notes in Math., 1376, Springer, Berlin, (1989), 261–263.
- [Pe] C. M. PETTY, *Affine isoperimetric problems*. Discrete geometry and convexity (New York, 1982), 113–127, Ann. New York Acad. Sci., 440, New York Acad. Sci., New York, 1985.
- [Pi] G. PISIER, *The volume of convex bodies and Banach space geometry*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 94. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [R1] S. REISNER, *Zonoids with minimal volume-product*. Math. Zeitschrift 192 (1986), 339–346.
- [R2] S. REISNER, *Minimal volume product in Banach spaces with a 1-unconditional basis*. J. London Math. Soc. 36 (1987), 126–136.
- [Sa] L. A. SANTALO, *An affine invariant for convex bodies of n-dimensional space*. (Spanish) Portugaliae Math. 8, (1949), 155–161.
- [SR] J. SAINT RAYMOND, *Sur le volume des corps convexes sym etriques*. Seminaire d’initiation ‘a l’Analyse, 1980/1981, Publ. Math. Univ. Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, 1981.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, MADISON 480 LINCOLN DRIVE  
MADISON, WI 53706

*E-mail address:* nazarov@math.wisc.edu

ST. PETERSBURG DEPARTMENT OF STEKLOV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, FONTANKA 27,  
ST.PETERSBURG, 191023, RUSSIA

*E-mail address:* fedyapetrov@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KENT STATE UNIVERSITY, KENT, OH 44242, USA

*E-mail address:* ryabogin@math.kent.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KENT STATE UNIVERSITY, KENT, OH 44242, USA

*E-mail address:* zvavitch@math.kent.edu