

MATH- 62052/72052  
Functions of Real Variables 2.  
Lecture 19.

Artem Zvavitch

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Kent State University

Spring, 2020

Let  $F$  be an increasing function on  $[a, b]$ .

Let  $F$  be an increasing function on  $[a, b]$ . We proved before that  $F$  may have (up to) countable number of discontinuities.

Let  $F$  be an increasing function on  $[a, b]$ . We proved before that  $F$  may have (up to) countable number of discontinuities. Moreover if  $x_0 \in (a, b)$  is such a point of discontinuity, we must have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^-} F(x) < \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^+} F(x),$$

in particular by monotonicity, both limits from above must exist.

Let  $F$  be an increasing function on  $[a, b]$ . We proved before that  $F$  may have (up to) countable number of discontinuities. Moreover if  $x_0 \in (a, b)$  is such a point of discontinuity, we must have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^-} F(x) < \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^+} F(x),$$

in particular by monotonicity, both limits from above must exist. Moreover,  $F(x_0) \in [\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^-} F(x), \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^+} F(x)]$ .

Let  $F$  be an increasing function on  $[a, b]$ . We proved before that  $F$  may have (up to) countable number of discontinuities. Moreover if  $x_0 \in (a, b)$  is such a point of discontinuity, we must have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^-} F(x) < \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^+} F(x),$$

in particular by monotonicity, both limits from above must exist. Moreover,  $F(x_0) \in [\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^-} F(x), \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^+} F(x)]$ .

We will consider increasing functions which are *normalized*, i.e. we will require it to be right-continuous on  $[a, b]$  i.e.  $F(x_0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^+} F(x)$ .

on Tuesday we proved:

### Theorem

Let  $F$  be normalized (i.e. right-continuous) increasing function on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

### Theorem

Let  $F$  be normalized (i.e. right-continuous) increasing function on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then there is a unique measure  $\mu$  on the Borel sets  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\mu((a, b]) = F(b) - F(a), \text{ for all } a < b.$$

**Theorem**

Let  $F$  be normalized (i.e. right-continuous) increasing function on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then there is a unique measure  $\mu$  on the Borel sets  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\mu((a, b]) = F(b) - F(a), \text{ for all } a < b.$$

Conversely, if  $\mu$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{B}$  that is finite on all bounded intervals, then

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} \mu((0, x]) & x > 0 \\ 0 & x = 0 \\ -\mu([x, 0)) & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

is increasing normalized function.

## Theorem

Let  $F$  be normalized (i.e. right-continuous) increasing function on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then there is a unique measure  $\mu$  on the Borel sets  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\mu((a, b]) = F(b) - F(a), \text{ for all } a < b.$$

Conversely, if  $\mu$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{B}$  that is finite on all bounded intervals, then

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} \mu((0, x]) & x > 0 \\ 0 & x = 0 \\ -\mu([x, 0)) & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

is increasing normalized function.

**The idea of the proof:** we used the structure of  $\mathbb{R}$  and introduced an exterior measure  $\mu_*$  on  $\mathbb{R}$

$$\mu_*(E) = \inf \sum (F(b_j) - F(a_j)),$$

where the infimum is taken over all covers of  $E$  by  $\cup(a_j, b_j]$ .

## Theorem

Let  $F$  be normalized (i.e. right-continuous) increasing function on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then there is a unique measure  $\mu$  on the Borel sets  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\mu((a, b]) = F(b) - F(a), \text{ for all } a < b.$$

Conversely, if  $\mu$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{B}$  that is finite on all bounded intervals, then

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} \mu((0, x]) & x > 0 \\ 0 & x = 0 \\ -\mu([x, 0)) & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

is increasing normalized function.

**The idea of the proof:** we used the structure of  $\mathbb{R}$  and introduced an exterior measure  $\mu_*$  on  $\mathbb{R}$

$$\mu_*(E) = \inf \sum (F(b_j) - F(a_j)),$$

where the infimum is taken over all covers of  $E$  by  $\cup(a_j, b_j]$ . We studied the properties of  $\mu_*$  and used extension theorems.

- We note that "many" functions  $F$  can produce the same measure  $\mu$  indeed take  $G(x) = F(x) + c$ , where  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  is a constant. Then  $G(b_i) - G(a_i) = F(b_i) - F(a_i)$  and thus generate the same exterior measure  $\mu_*$ .

- We note that "many" functions  $F$  can produce the same measure  $\mu$  indeed take  $G(x) = F(x) + c$ , where  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  is a constant. Then  $G(b_i) - G(a_i) = F(b_i) - F(a_i)$  and thus generate the same exterior measure  $\mu_*$ .
- We note that any increasing normalized function  $F$  on the closed interval  $[a, b]$  can be extended to an increasing normalized function  $F$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ :

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} F(a) & x \leq a \\ F(x) & x \in [a, b] \\ F(b) & x \geq b \end{cases}$$

- We note that "many" functions  $F$  can produce the same measure  $\mu$  indeed take  $G(x) = F(x) + c$ , where  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  is a constant. Then  $G(b_i) - G(a_i) = F(b_i) - F(a_i)$  and thus generate the same exterior measure  $\mu_*$ .
- We note that any increasing normalized function  $F$  on the closed interval  $[a, b]$  can be extended to an increasing normalized function  $F$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ :

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} F(a) & x \leq a \\ F(x) & x \in [a, b] \\ F(b) & x \geq b \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that if  $E \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus [a, b]$ , then  $\mu_*(E) = 0$  and thus the resulting measure  $\mu$  the intervals  $(-\infty, a]$  and  $(b, \infty)$  has measure zero.